



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County
Board of Supervisors**



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
3. Select search options if necessary:
Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again
Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.
(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: *If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.*



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool **T**, and do one of the following:
To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 [REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2 TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 27, 2012, ON PAGE 206.]

3

4

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** GOOD MORNING, THE MEETING OF THE
7 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS NOW IN SESSION. I ASK THE ROOM TO COME
8 TO ORDER. I'D LIKE FOR EVERYONE TO RISE FOR FOR THE INVOCATION
9 AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. THE INVOCATION WILL BE LED BY PASTOR
10 DAVID PALMER OF THE OF THE FIRST UNITED METHODIST CHURCH OF
11 TEMPLE CITY. AND THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE WILL BE LED BY
12 DUSTIN THOMAS HALLIWELL FORMER SPECIALIST, UNITED STATES ARMY,
13 LONG BEACH.

14

15 **PASTOR DAVID PALMER:** LET US PRAY. LOVING AND GRACIOUS GOD. IN
16 THE SEASON OF GRATITUDE AND THANKS, WE BEGIN THIS MEETING BY
17 OFFERING A MOMENT OF THANKS AS WE REMEMBER YOUR MANY AND
18 ABUNDANT BLESSINGS. THANK YOU FOR LIFE, FOR THE MEASURE OF
19 HEALTH THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED, FOR THE SENSE OF PURPOSE IN THIS
20 LIFE AND DESIRE TO FULFILL OUR CALLING. THANK YOU FOR THE
21 ABILITY TO BE INVOLVED IN MEANINGFUL WORK AND FOR THE HONOR OF
22 BEARING APPROPRIATE RESPONSIBILITIES. THANK YOU, AS WELL, FOR
23 THE FREEDOMS THAT WE ENJOY AND FOR THE MANY WHO LABOR TO SERVE
24 AND PROTECT US AND WORK TO PRESERVE THE DIGNITY FOR ALL. WE
25 THANK YOU FOR THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN GIVEN AUTHORITY TO OVERSEE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND TO DECIDE ON THOSE
2 MATTERS BEFORE US TODAY. WE ASK FOR THEIR CONTINUED WISDOM AND
3 GUIDANCE AS THEY DELIBERATE TODAY. WE THANK YOU FOR THE RICH
4 DIVERSITY OF OUR COUNTY AND THE GREAT PEOPLE WHO MAKE UP LOS
5 ANGELES. THANK YOU FOR LOVING US, EVEN SO WITH YOUR BOUNDLESS
6 LOVE AND GRACE. I PRAY FOR OUR COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND
7 FOR ALL WHO ARE GATHERED HERE THIS DAY AND PRAY THAT YOU WOULD
8 BLESS THEM ABUNDANTLY WITH A CONFIDENCE IN WHAT IS GOOD AND
9 FITTING, WITH THE ABILITY TO WORK TOGETHER IN HARMONY, EVEN
10 WHEN THERE IS HONEST DISAGREEMENT, AND WITH PEACEFUL, PERSONAL
11 UNDERSTANDING AS THEIR LIVES ARE A WORK OF JOY IN THIS TASK
12 THAT THEY MAY WORK FOR THE CONTINUED BENEFIT OF ALL WHO LIVE
13 AND WORK AROUND OUR BEAUTIFUL COUNTY. WITH GREAT PRAISE AND
14 THANKS WE OFFER THIS PRAYER, AMEN.

15

16 **DUSTIN THOMAS HALLIWELL:** PLEASE FACE THE FLAG. PLACE YOUR
17 RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR HEART. VETERANS MAY RENDER A HAND SALUTE.
18 PLEASE SAY THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE WITH ME. [PLEDGE OF
19 ALLEGIANCE RECITED.]

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR KNABE, YOUR
22 PRESENTATION.

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
25 IT'S MY PLEASURE TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DUSTIN HALLIWELL WHO LIVES IN THE CITY OF LONG BEACH. HE
2 SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY FROM 2000-2004 AS A
3 SPECIALIST WITH THE SECOND RANGER BATTALION IN OPERATION
4 ENDURING FREEDOM AND OPERATION IRAQ FREEDOM. HE HAS RECEIVED
5 THE ARMY ACHIEVEMENT MEDAL, ARMY COMMENDATION MEDAL, GOOD
6 CONDUCT MEDAL, THE NATIONAL DEFENSE SERVICE MEDAL, THE GLOBAL
7 WAR ON TERRORISM SERVICE MEDAL AND IRAQ CAMPAIGN MEDAL. HE'S
8 CURRENTLY A STUDENT AT U.S.C. HE HAS LIVED IN THE FOURTH
9 DISTRICT FOR SOME 20 YEARS. SO ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD, WE'D
10 LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COME DOWN AND LEAD US
11 IN THE PLEDGE. MOST IMPORTANTLY FOR YOUR SERVICE TO OUR
12 COUNTRY. GOD BLESS YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH IS NOT HERE
15 YET, SO IF PASTOR PALMER WILL WAIT FOR A FEW MINUTES. IN THE
16 MEANTIME, WILL YOU CALL THE AGENDA?

17

18 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
19 BOARD, WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE THREE, AGENDA FOR
20 THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. ITEM 1-D. THAT ITEM IS
21 BEFORE YOU.

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
24 RIDLEY-THOMAS, WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE FOUR, PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEMS 1-12
2 WE WILL HOLD THESE FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING. ON PAGE NINE,
3 ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 13-20. ON ITEM
4 NUMBER 13, THIS INCLUDES THE REVISIONS AS INDICATED ON THE
5 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ON ITEM NUMBER 15, SUPERVISOR KNABE AND A
6 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. AND ITEM
7 NUMBER 16, SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC
8 REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 18, SUPERVISOR
9 YAROSLAVSKY AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM
10 BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 20, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS
11 THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. AND THE REMAINING ITEMS UNDER THE
12 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE BEFORE YOU.

13
14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MOVED BY RIDLEY-THOMAS, SECONDED
15 BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

16
17 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 13, CONSENT CALENDAR, ITEMS 21-27,
18 ON ITEM NUMBER 22, SUPERVISOR KNABE AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
19 REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 23, SUPERVISOR
20 MOLINA, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
21 REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 24, THIS
22 INCLUDES SUPERVISOR KNABE'S AMENDMENT AS INDICATED ON THE
23 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA AND ALSO ON THIS ITEM, SUPERVISOR MOLINA,
24 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT
25 IT BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 26, SUPERVISOR KNABE AND MEMBERS OF



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 27,
2 SUPERVISOR KNABE AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT THIS
3 ITEM BE HELD. THE REMAINING ITEMS UNDER THE CONSENT CALENDAR
4 ARE BEFORE YOU.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED
7 BY RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

8

9 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 17, DISCUSSION ITEMS, ITEMS 28 AND
10 29. ON ITEM NUMBER 28, THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES
11 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO DECEMBER 4,
12 2012 BUT ON THIS ITEM, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE
13 PUBLIC TO HOLD IT. ON ITEM NUMBER 29, WE WILL HOLD FOR A
14 DISCUSSION. ON PAGE 18, MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA
15 WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING
16 AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, ITEM NUMBER 30-A?

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** RIGHT, MOVED BY KNABE. I'LL SECOND
19 IT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

20

21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE
22 AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH
23 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NUMBER 3.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, FOR A
2 PRESENTATION.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, IT'S A PLEASURE TO
5 BRING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PASTOR DAVID PALMER WHO
6 CURRENTLY SERVES AS THE ENGLISH-MANDARIN SPEAKING CONGREGATION
7 AT THE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH IN TEMPLE CITY. HE IS A
8 GRADUATE OF FULLER THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY AND HE WAS ORDAINED IN
9 2003. HE AND SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES MILITARY BASES
10 OVERSEAS IN GERMANY AND ALSO AS A MISSIONARY IN RUSSIA. HE'S
11 CURRENTLY STUDYING FOR HIS DOCTORATE AND HIS RECREATIONAL
12 ACTIVITIES ARE INVOLVED WITH LONG BIKE RIDES, SWIMMING,
13 RUNNING AND IRONMAN TRIATHLON. HE'S MARRIED TO AN ORDAINED
14 MINISTER IN THE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH. SO DOES THAT KIND OF
15 BRING THEM TOGETHER? WE'LL GET THE ORTHODOX IN A MOMENT AND
16 THE LUTHERANS AND THE EPISCOPALS, OKAY, AMEN. AND HE HAS A
17 FOUR-YEAR-OLD SON. WE'RE GLAD BECAUSE AMERICA'S VERY, VERY
18 NICE BECAUSE ALL OF OUR CHRISTIAN DENOMINATIONS ARE WORKING
19 TOGETHER, WHICH MAKES IT A VERY IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE
20 AMERICAN WAY, WHICH ALEXIS DE TOCQUEVILLE NOTICED MANY YEARS
21 AGO WHEN HE TRAVELED AROUND THE UNITED STATES. SO, THANK YOU,
22 PASTOR. [APPLAUSE.]

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** GO AHEAD.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** THIS IS NAMED AFTER A FAMOUS GENERAL BRADLEY.
2 THIS IS BRADLEY WHO IS A DOMESTIC SHORT HAIR. HE'S TWO YEARS
3 OLD. HE'S LOOKING FOR A HOME. ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT
4 LITTLE BRADLEY. HE'S GOT A LOT OF FELLOW TROOPERS AT THE
5 SHELTER WHO WOULD ALSO LIKE TO BE ADOPTED. YOU CAN CALL 562-
6 728-4644 FOR LITTLE BRADLEY. AND MAYBE ONE OF THOSE ARE
7 GETTING ONE OF THE STAR PRESENTATIONS WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ADOPT
8 BRADLEY TODAY. SO GO BRADLEY.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY, THANK YOU. IT'S MY PLEASURE
11 TO INTRODUCE THE OFFICE OF COUNTY -- SORRY HERE -- PRESENTING
12 THE COUNTY STARS FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER. IT'S A PLEASURE TO
13 INTRODUCE THE OFFICE OF COUNTY INVESTIGATIONS O.C.I. TEAM AN
14 AS THE NOVEMBER 2012 L.A. COUNTY STARS WINNER IN THE CATEGORY
15 OF WORKFORCE EXCELLENCE. PLEASE WELCOME THE O.C.I. TEAM
16 MEMBERS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. THE OFFICE
17 OF COUNTY INVESTIGATIONS CREATED AN ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY
18 INVESTIGATIONS, A.C.I. TO BRING TOGETHER COUNTY EMPLOYEES AND
19 INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES TO SHARE AND IMPROVE THEIR KNOWLEDGE IN
20 THEIR CHOSEN FIELD. THE WEBSITE WAS DEVELOPED THAT SERVES AS A
21 PORTAL FOR MEMBERS TO SEE THE LATEST NEWS AND PROFESSIONAL
22 UPDATES IN THE INVESTIGATIONS FIELD. MEDIA ARTICLES AND
23 INDUSTRY JOURNAL CONTRIBUTIONS ARE UPDATED TO THE WEBSITE
24 REGULARLY, AND MEMBERS RECEIVE AN EMAIL NOTIFYING THEM WHEN
25 UPDATES OCCUR TO TRIGGER EACH MEMBER'S EXPLORATION OF THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WEBSITE. THE SITE ALSO PROVIDES INFORMATION ON UPCOMING
2 TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES, EMPLOYMENT SOLICITATIONS, AND LINKS TO
3 OTHER SITES OF INTEREST, LIKE THE CERTIFIED FRAUD EXAMINERS
4 AND THE COUNTY FISCAL MANUAL. A.C.I. HAS OVER 100 MEMBERS AND
5 AFFILIATES. THEIR PROFESSIONAL GROWTH SERVES TO STRENGTHEN OUR
6 OPERATIONAL OVERSIGHT WHICH CONTRIBUTES TO AN ENHANCED COUNTY
7 EFFICIENCY AND ELEVATES THE CONFIDENCE THAT OUR COMMUNITY'S
8 CONSTITUENTS AND TAXPAYERS HAVE IN COUNTY TRANSPARENCY AND
9 ACCOUNTABILITY. PLEASE JOIN ME IN CONGRATULATING THE OFFICE OF
10 COUNTY INVESTIGATIONS, O.C.I. TEAM, FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING
11 WORK. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.]

12
13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH FOR HIS
14 PRESENTATIONS. YOU ONLY HAD THE CAT? SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU
15 HAVE ANY PRESENTATIONS? SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS.

16
17 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS IS AN
18 OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO ACKNOWLEDGE MEMBERS OF OUR WORKFORCE WHO
19 DO THE HEAVY LIFTING IN THE AREA OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY. YOU
20 RECOGNIZE MANY OF THEM FROM DR. JONATHAN FIELDING TO DR.
21 CHRISTINA GALLY, DR. MARV SOUTHARD, JIM ADAMS, DON GORISY,
22 HAROLD STURKER, ALINA BABINOH AND FRANCES INEGAS, AND SHE'S IN
23 MY EAR AIDING ME. MICHAEL GREEN. THAT WOULD BE FRANCES INEGAS,
24 INEGAS, I CAN SAY IT TWICE AND SAY IT RIGHT. SANDRA TEASLEY,
25 AND CAPTAIN KEVIN KIEGENDALL. GIVE THEM ALL A BIG ROUND OF



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 APPLAUSE. [APPLAUSE.] BEING A MEMBER OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
2 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEANS THAT YOU HAVE TO PASS AN APTITUDE
3 TEST IN THE AREA OF LINGUISTICS, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES TO
4 SUR NAMES. AND IT IS A PART OF OUR ASSETS. WE CELEBRATE
5 DIVERSITY, WE RESPECT CULTURE AND THAT'S WHAT MAKES L.A.
6 COUNTY AS STRONG AS IT IS. IF YOU AGREE, YOU OUGHT TO CLAP
7 YOUR HANDS AND CELEBRATE THESE ALLIED HEALTH WORKERS.
8 [APPLAUSE.] THIS IS ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONALS MONTH. OUR
9 PRESENTATION IS IN SALUTE AND RECOGNITION OF THESE
10 PROFESSIONALS WHO ARE AT THE FOREFRONT OF HEALTHCARE DELIVERY.
11 THEY ARE DEDICATED INDIVIDUALS. THEY DO WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE
12 IN A VARIETY OF WAYS. THEY PROVIDE THE SERVICES. THEY ASSIST
13 THOSE WHO ARE PROVIDING THE SERVICES. AND MEMBERS OF THE
14 COUNTY HEALTHCARE TEAM IS TOP NOTCH IN MANY RESPECTS BECAUSE
15 OF THEM. SOME OF THEIR WORK INCLUDES HELPING PATIENTS TO
16 BREATHE, FUNCTION, TO REHABILITATE AND HEAL. THEY ALSO ASSIST
17 WITH DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURES. THEY PERFORM LABORATORY TESTS,
18 DIAGNOSE INJURIES AND ILLNESSES, TREATING PATIENTS AND
19 PREVENTING THE SPREAD OF DISEASES. INDEED, THIS IS IMPORTANT
20 WORK. ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONALS MAKE AN IMPACT IN COUNTY
21 FACILITIES, INCLUDING OUR HOSPITALS, OUR CLINICS, OUR JAILS,
22 OUR JUVENILE HALLS, OUR SCHOOLS, AND OUR LABORATORIES WITHIN
23 THE RESPECTIVE DEPARTMENTS OF PUBLIC HEALTH, HEALTH SERVICES,
24 MENTAL HEALTH, THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, AS WELL AS THE
25 AGRICULTURE COMMISSION. INDEED, THESE REPRESENTATIVES MAKE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT ABUNDANTLY CLEAR TO YOU. THESE PROFESSIONALS ARE KEY IN
2 PROTECTING PATIENTS' RIGHTS AND PROVIDING HEALTH EDUCATION,
3 INSPECTIONS AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES TO INSURE A HEALTHY
4 COMMUNITY. THEY ARE REPRESENTED BY A RANGE OF BARGAINING
5 UNITS. AND WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE WORK THEY PUT FORTH. AND WE
6 ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THIS IS CRITICAL TO THE WORKFORCE THAT SHOULD
7 BE RECOGNIZED FOR THEIR IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE DELIVERY
8 OF QUALITY HEALTH CARE IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND SO I
9 WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE STAFF OF THE C.E.O. FOR WORKING
10 CLOSELY WITH THESE RESPECTIVE BARGAINING UNITS TO ENSURE
11 CONTINUED QUALITY HEALTH CARE SERVICES FOR OUR RESPECTIVE
12 COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND I WANT
13 TO JOIN WITH THE BALANCE OF THE BOARD IN PROCLAIMING NOVEMBER
14 OF 2012 AS ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONALS MONTH THROUGHOUT THE
15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND WE TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE
16 THE PRESENTATION TO ALL OF YOU. AND WE ASK THAT MICHAEL GREEN
17 COME FORTH AND SHARE HIS REMARKS. MICHAEL?

18
19 **MICHAEL GREEN:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS. AND
20 ON BEHALF OF ALL OF OUR WORKERS HERE AND ALLIED HEALTH CARE
21 PROFESSIONALS, FIRST OF ALL, WE WANT TO THANK THE SUPERVISOR
22 FOR REALLY EXTENDING THE WEEK OF ALLIED HEALTHCARE WEEK OF
23 NOVEMBER THE TENTH TO ALL OF THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER. AND ALSO
24 WE WANT TO THANK ALL OF THE SUPERVISORS FOR COMING TOGETHER
25 AND GIVING THIS SPECIAL RECOGNITION TO OUR WORKERS WHO DO SO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MUCH OUT THERE IN L.A. COUNTY AND MAKING SURE NOT ONLY THAT
2 THEY SERVE THE PUBLIC BUT THEY IMPROVE THE QUALITY PUBLIC
3 SERVICES NEEDED IN ORDER WHEN THOSE INDIVIDUALS COME TO THE
4 DOOR. ONE OF THE HUMAN QUALITIES THAT THEY POSSESS IN MAKING
5 THAT HAPPEN IS THE COURAGE, THE COMMITMENT, THE INTEGRITY,
6 MOST OF ALL THE TRUE COMPASSION THAT THEY DO IN THE MAKING
7 SURE THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS THAT NEED HELP ARE NOT STATISTICS
8 BUT THEY ARE HUMAN BEINGS AND MAKING SURE THAT WE CAN IMPROVE
9 ALL OF THE SERVICES THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY. AND ONE OTHER
10 THING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY ON BEHALF OF BOB SCHOONOVER OUR
11 PRESIDENT, LINDA DENT OUR VICE PRESIDENT, I AM HONORED TO BE
12 AMONG ALL OF THEM HERE THAT REPRESENT THEIR COWORKERS OUT IN
13 THE FIELD AND OUR 92,000 MEMBERS. WE'RE SO PROUD OF THEM TODAY
14 AND WE WANT TO SAY THANK YOU. AND IT TAKES TEAMWORK TO MAKE IT
15 HAPPEN. AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN DOWN THE
16 LINE. BUT MOST OF ALL, ONE MORE OTHER THING, IN WORKING
17 TOGETHER, WE WANTED TO DEMYSTIFY THAT LABOR AND MANAGEMENT
18 AGREE TO DISAGREE ALL THE TIME. OUR L.A. COUNTY RESIDENTS AND
19 PEOPLE WHO NEED HELP DESERVE BETTER, AND THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF
20 WHAT HAS HAPPENED RIGHT NOW, WORKING WITH MANAGEMENT FOR THE
21 DIFFERENT HEALTH DEPARTMENTS AS WELL AS THE C.E.O. WITH JOHN,
22 JIM, RHONDA AND BILL, WE WANT TO THANK ALL OF YOU FOR COMING
23 TOGETHER AND CREATING PATHWAYS TO SOLUTIONS TO MAKE THIS A
24 BETTER LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THANK YOU SO MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. LET'S GO TO THE PUBLIC
2 HEARING ITEMS FIRST.

3

4 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** IF WE COULD SWEAR EVERYBODY WHO WILL BE
5 TESTIFYING IN FOR ITEMS 1 THROUGH 12 -- SO ALL THOSE WHO PLAN
6 TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE BOARD ON ITEMS 1 THROUGH 12 PLEASE STAND
7 AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN? IN THE TESTIMONY YOU
8 MAY GIVE BEFORE THIS BOARD, DO YOU SOLEMNLY AFFIRM TO TELL THE
9 TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU
10 GOD? THANK YOU. YOU MAY BE SEATED. WE'RE ON ITEM NUMBER 1. AND
11 THIS IS A HEARING ON ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY
12 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NUMBER 29, MALIBU, 36 VAL VERDE, 37 ACTON
13 AND THE MARINA DEL REY WATER SYSTEM AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR
14 OF PUBLIC WORKS TO IMPLEMENT THE PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR WATER RATE
15 PLAN FOR THE DISTRICTS. THE PURPOSES OF THE FIVE-YEAR WATER
16 RATE PLAN ARE TO MEET THE DISTRICT'S OPERATING EXPENSES
17 NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN SERVICES, MEET THE FINANCIAL RESERVE
18 REQUIREMENTS AND OBTAIN SOME FUNDS FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS WITHIN
19 EXISTING SERVICE AREAS AND DETERMINE WHETHER MAJORITY WRITTEN
20 PROTESTS AGAINST THE PROPOSED PLAN EXIST. THERE IS A
21 DEPARTMENT STATEMENT, CORRESPONDENCE WAS SUBMITTED.

22

23 **DAN LAFFERTY:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS DAN LAFFERTY, I'M A
24 PRINCIPAL ENGINEER WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
25 DISTRICTS. TO MY LEFT I HAVE GREG EVEN, SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FROM THE WATERWORKS DISTRICTS AND TO MY RIGHT ROGER KNOLL FROM
2 KENNEDY JENKS, CONSULTANTS. I'M HERE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME
3 BACKGROUND ON THE OUTREACH AND NOTIFICATION PROCESSES USED TO
4 BRING THIS MATTER BEFORE YOU. WE HELD COMMUNITY MEETINGS IN
5 EACH OF THE DISTRICTS TO INFORM THE CUSTOMERS OF THE PROPOSED
6 RATE INCREASE. ON OCTOBER 11TH, WE SENT EACH OF OUR CUSTOMERS
7 DIRECT NOTIFICATION THROUGH THE MAIL. THE NOTIFICATION
8 PROVIDED A SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED RATE INCREASE, DATE, TIME
9 AND LOCATION OF THIS PUBLIC HEARING, AN INDICATION THAT WITH A
10 MAJORITY PROTEST, WRITTEN PROTEST, WE WOULD NOT MOVE FORWARD
11 WITH THE PROPOSAL. AND THE ADDRESS WHERE THEY COULD SEND THEIR
12 WRITTEN PROTESTS IF THEY CHOSE TO. WE ALSO PUBLISHED THE LEGAL
13 NOTICE OF THIS PUBLIC HEARING ONCE A WEEK FOR TWO CONSECUTIVE
14 WEEKS IN "THE MALIBU TIMES," "THE ARGONAUT," "THE SIGNAL" AND
15 "THE ACTON-AGUA DULCE NEWS." AS OF THIS MORNING AT 10:00, THE
16 TALLY OF THE PROTEST LETTERS THAT WE HAD RECEIVED IN DISTRICT
17 36 OF THE 1,300 CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS WE RECEIVED ONE WRITTEN
18 PROTEST. IN DISTRICT 37 OF THE 1,400 CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS WE
19 RECEIVED NINE WRITTEN PROTESTS. IN MARINA DEL REY OF THE 300
20 CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS, THERE WERE NO WRITTEN PROTESTS. AND IN
21 DISTRICT 29 OF THE 7,700 CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS, WE RECEIVED 169
22 WRITTEN PROTESTS. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, WE'D BE HAPPY TO
23 ANSWER THEM.

24
25 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** YEAH, I THINK JUST BRIEFLY, MAYBE YOU COULD
3 EXPLAIN THE DEPARTMENT'S JUSTIFICATION FOR THESE INCREASES.
4 PART OF THE RATIONALE IS ALWAYS "WELL WE HAVEN'T DONE THIS
5 SINCE..." SO NOW WE'RE GOING TO DO A WHOLE BUNCH NOW. AND THAT
6 ROUTINE GETS PRETTY OLD. IN MARINA DEL REY SPECIFICALLY, ALL
7 OF A SUDDEN YOU HAVEN'T DONE ANYTHING SINCE 2007, BASICALLY.
8 SO NOW YOU'RE ASKING US TO APPROVE A 15 PERCENT INCREASE.

9

10 **DAN LAFFERTY:** THAT'S CORRECT. WE BEGAN NOTICING THAT THERE WAS
11 INCREASING EXPENDITURES IN EACH OF OUR DISTRICTS, THAT WE WERE
12 NOT RECAPTURING THROUGH OUR REVENUES. WE RECOGNIZED THIS
13 PROBABLY ABOUT THREE OR FOUR YEARS AGO. AND WE ACTUALLY HIRED
14 THE KENNEDY JENKS TO PROVIDE A RATE ANALYSIS FOR US. THE
15 PURPOSE OF THE RATE INCREASES IS BASICALLY TWOFOLD. WE HAVE
16 EXPENDITURES THAT ARE HIGHER THAN OUR REVENUES, SO WE NEED TO
17 CLOSE THAT GAP. IF WE DON'T DO THAT, THE DISTRICTS WILL
18 EVENTUALLY RUN OUT OF MONEY. THE OTHER THING IS WHEN WE HIRED
19 KENNEDY JENKS TO DO THE ANALYSIS FOR US, ONE OF THE REASONS WE
20 PICKED THEM IS BECAUSE THEY HAVE EXTENSIVE EXPERTISE IN RATE
21 STRUCTURES FOR WATER UTILITIES. AND WE WANTED TO FIND OUT FROM
22 THEM WHAT WAS THE SORT OF BEST INDUSTRY PRACTICES. IN DOING
23 THAT, WE RECOGNIZE THAT THE RESERVES THAT WE HAVE IN BOTH OUR
24 OPERATION MAINTENANCE FUNDS AND IN OUR CAPITAL FUNDS ARE FAR
25 BELOW INDUSTRY STANDARDS AND QUITE FRANKLY, WE RECOGNIZED, AS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WELL INTERNALLY, THAT SOME OF THOSE, THE FACT THAT WE DIDN'T
2 HAVE THOSE RESERVES CREATED SOME PROBLEMS FOR US WHEN IT CAME
3 TIME TO PAY SOME OF OUR BILLS. SO THE GOAL OF THE RATE
4 INCREASE IS TO BUILD UP, ONE, TO REVERSE THE TREND WHERE WE
5 HAVE EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN REVENUES SO THAT WE ARE
6 ACTUALLY COLLECTING THE MONIES TO PAY OUR BILLS. THE SECOND IS
7 TO BUILD THE RESERVES IN BOTH OF THOSE FUNDS 90 DAYS RESERVE
8 SO THAT FROM AN OPERATIONAL PERSPECTIVE, WE TAKE CARE OF SOME
9 OF THOSE BILLING PROBLEMS THAT WE'VE HAD. AND LASTLY IN THE
10 CAPITAL FUND, THAT RESERVE CAN BE USED FOR EMERGENCY PROJECTS
11 PURPOSES.

12
13 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL AS AN EXAMPLE, WHY DO YOU CONTINUE TO LOSE
14 MONEY? I MEAN IS IT WATER RATES? IS IT -- I MEAN, ARE YOU
15 DEALING WITH THAT ISSUE INSTEAD OF JUST THE RATE INCREASES TO
16 COVER THAT OR TO BUILD THIS? WHAT IS CAUSING THE PROBLEM? IS
17 IT ONE THING, TWO THINGS, THREE THINGS? YOU ALL JUST WALK UP
18 AND SAY WE'RE LOSING MONEY SO WE NEED TO FILL THIS HOLE AND DO
19 THAT. LET THE RATE PAYER SUFFER.

20
21 **DAN LAFFERTY:** THERE'S A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT FACTORS THAT ARE
22 ALL CONTRIBUTING TO THE FACT THAT WE HAVE DECLINING REVENUES
23 AND INCREASED EXPENDITURES. WE'VE DONE A VERY GOOD JOB OF
24 EXPLAINING TO OUR CUSTOMERS THAT THEY NEED TO CONSERVE WATER.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 UNFORTUNATELY FOR US, WHEN THEY DO THAT, THE REVENUES THAT WE
2 COLLECT TO PAY OUR COSTS GO DOWN. IN ADDITION TO THAT --

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** BY THE WAY, THAT'S A VERY GOOD POINT. THAT'S ONE
5 OF THE REASONS I ASKED THE QUESTION. THE FACT IS WE'RE ASKING
6 PEOPLE TO CONSERVE. THEY ARE CONSERVING. BUT IN THE MEANTIME,
7 THAT ALSO IS A VERY SIGNIFICANT HIT TO OUR REVENUES.

8

9 **DAN LAFFERTY:** IT IS. ACTUALLY ONE OF THE THINGS WE LOOKED AT
10 WHEN WE HIRED THE CONSULTANT WAS: IS THERE A WAY THAT WE COULD
11 CREATE A RATE STRUCTURE THAT WAS NOT AS SUSCEPTIBLE TO THOSE
12 CHANGES IN CUSTOMER DEMAND? AND WHEN WE DID THAT, THE RESULT
13 IS -- THE ANSWER TO THAT IS NO, YOU CAN'T REALLY BUILD IN A
14 RATE WHERE YOU ARE SECURED FROM THOSE FLUCTUATIONS IN CUSTOMER
15 DEMAND. IT'S A VERY EXPENSIVE RATE STRUCTURE, AND IT REALLY
16 HITS THE REALLY GOOD CUSTOMERS, THOSE WHO ARE DOING THE BEST
17 JOB OF CONSERVATION THE HARDEST. THE OTHER THING IS WE HAVE
18 DONE A GREAT DEAL OF WORK TRYING TO CONTROL OUR COSTS. WE HAVE
19 ABOUT 189 BUDGETED POSITIONS, 55 OF THOSE ARE CURRENTLY VACANT
20 AND 26 OF THOSE BECAME VACANT DURING THE LAST 18 MONTHS. WE'VE
21 BEEN ABLE TO MOVE SOME PEOPLE OUT. WE GOT RID OF A FEW PROBLEM
22 EMPLOYEES AND THEN THROUGH NATURAL ATTRITION AND WE HAVEN'T
23 BACKFILLED. WE ALSO REVISED ALL OF OUR OPERATIONS TO MAKE BEST
24 USE OF RATES SO THAT WE'RE MAKING SURE THAT WE'RE TAKING
25 ADVANTAGE OF THE LOWEST RATES POSSIBLE AT OUR PUMP STATIONS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND AT ALL OF THE REST OF OUR FACILITIES. WE'VE ALSO IMPROVED
2 EFFICIENCIES OF OUR FACILITIES SO THAT WE ARE USING LESS
3 POWER. PART OF THE PROBLEM FOR US IS THAT THE COST OF POWER
4 OUTPACES INFLATION. AND POWER IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST COSTS
5 BESIDES PURCHASED WATER COSTS, POWER IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST
6 COSTS THROUGH THE DISTRICTS. AND SO WE'VE BEEN DOING WHAT WE
7 CAN TO TRY TO REDUCE THOSE COSTS, BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY,
8 THOSE COSTS ARE INCREASING FASTER THAN INFLATION.

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** IS THAT SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON?

11

12 **DAN LAFFERTY:** CORRECT.

13

14 **SUP. KNABE:** I DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WE HAVE A COUPLE PEOPLE THAT WANT
17 TO BE HEARD. JOAN LAVINE AND ARNOLD SACHS.

18

19 **JOAN LAVINE:** WOULD YOU PREFER THAT I SIT DOWN?

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YES.

22

23 **JOAN LAVINE:** GOOD MORNING. I'M JOAN LAVINE. I OWN PROPERTY IN
24 THE MALIBU AREA, IN THE MALIBU CIVIC CENTER AREA. THIS IS NOT
25 THE ONLY REQUEST FOR RATE INCREASES AND EXPENSES THAT WE ARE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FACING OUT THERE, THOSE OF US WHO ARE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY
2 OWNERS. I HAVE PROTESTED THIS RATE INCREASE BECAUSE OF TWO
3 THINGS. FIRST OF ALL, WE ARE WEREN'T GIVEN ADEQUATE NOTICE. I
4 HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO LOCATE BECAUSE I COULD NOT FIND IT
5 ONLINE OR AT THE LOCAL OFFICES WHAT EXACTLY WAS IN THE
6 PROPOSAL I UNDERSTAND THEY WANT FUNDS FOR OFFSETTING LOSSES. I
7 UNDERSTAND THEY WANT THE FUNDS ALSO TO IMPROVE INFRASTRUCTURE.
8 I'D LIKE TO FIND OUT WHAT THEY PROPOSE TO IMPROVE OUR
9 INFRASTRUCTURE. IT'S OLD, I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT THEY SHOULD
10 COME BACK WITH A PLAN AND A BUDGET. AND I REQUEST THAT YOU
11 CONSIDER CONDITIONING AND RESTRICTING AT LEAST A BLOCK OF
12 THESE FUNDS IF YOU GRANT THE RATE HIKE PROPOSAL TO BE SURE
13 THAT THE FUNDS ARE USED FOR WHAT THEY REQUEST THEM FOR
14 OTHERWISE THIS PROPOSAL LOOKS LIKE IT'S AN OPEN-HANDED REQUEST
15 FOR A HANDOUT TO USE THE FUNDS FOR WHATEVER THEY WANT. ALSO,
16 PLEASE CONSIDER CONTINUING THIS FOR ONE OR TWO MONTHS AND
17 MAKING SURE THAT THEY POST ON THE INTERNET AND HAVE IT AT
18 THEIR LOCAL OFFICES, SUCH AS THE MALIBU CIVIC CENTER ONE,
19 WHATEVER THE ACTUAL PROPOSAL IS. AND WITH THAT, I REQUEST THAT
20 YOU ASK THEM TO PROVIDE YOU WITH A BUDGET AND A BREAKDOWN
21 EXACTLY HOW THEY WANT TO USE THE FUNDS. FROM THE RATE HIKE
22 SUMMARY OF AT LEAST 5 PERCENT PER ANNUM, IT LOOKS LIKE THERE
23 WILL BE AT LEAST A 25 PERCENT RATE INCREASE. THAT IS SURELY
24 WILL BE BEYOND WHAT THEY HAVE DESCRIBED IN THE SUMMARY NOTICE
25 THAT I RECEIVED. THANK YOU FOR CONSIDERING MY IDEAS. AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PLEASE CONTROL THEM AND THEIR EXPENDITURES SO THAT WE GET GOOD
2 USE FROM ANY RATE INCREASES. THANK YOU.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. ARNOLD SACHS? BEFORE
5 YOU START, LET ME CALL ON ALLISON RAY? OKAY. MR. SACHS, YOU'RE
6 ON.

7

8 **ARNOLD SACHS:** YES, THANK YOU, GOOD MORNING. ARNOLD SACHS.
9 LISTENING TO THE REPORT, I WAS JUST CURIOUS. THE GENTLEMAN
10 DOING THE SPEAKING MENTIONED I GUESS FOUR DISTRICTS AND JUST
11 BY THE NUMBERS THAT HE GAVE OUT THAT WAS 10,700 CUSTOMERS, 179
12 WRITTEN PROTESTS. SO IS THERE ANY WAY POSSIBLE TO FIND OUT HOW
13 MANY CUSTOMERS WERE AT THE HEARINGS JUST TO GET A FEEL FOR HOW
14 MANY PEOPLE WOULD BE CONCERNED ABOUT THEIR WATER RATE
15 INCREASES GOING UP? AND SUPERVISOR KNABE, I APPRECIATE YOUR
16 QUESTIONS REGARDING THE HITS TO REVENUE THROUGH WATER
17 CONSERVATION. BUT IF YOU'RE CONSERVING WATER, THAT MEANS
18 YOU'RE ALSO BUYING LESS WATER. SO AT WHAT POINT DOES THAT
19 CONSERVATION AFFECT THE BUYING PART? BECAUSE IF YOU'RE BUYING
20 LESS WATER, THEN YOU'RE USING LESS UTILITIES TO PUMP THAT
21 WATER AND THERE SHOULD BE AN OFFSET SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THE
22 AMOUNT OF REVENUES YOU'RE GETTING BASED ON YOUR CONSERVATION
23 AND THE FACT THAT YOU'RE BUYING LESS PRODUCT AND USING LESS
24 RESOURCES TO MOVE THAT PRODUCT. WHEN DO THEY APPROACH MAYBE AN
25 OFFSET? AND THEN JUST THE IDEA THAT AND ALSO INCLUDED IN THIS,



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 I BELIEVE THERE WAS IN THE NEWSPAPER THAT JANUARY YOU PLAN ON
2 HAVING A PARCEL TAX BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO ADDRESS
3 RUNOFF. SO WILL THAT AFFECT ANY OF THIS INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDED
4 BY THIS WATER AGENCY? AND THEN JUST -- AND UNFORTUNATELY I
5 DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION, BUT LAST YEAR I BELIEVE THERE WAS
6 A STORY OR A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT STORIES IN THE L.A. TIMES
7 REGARDING A WATER DISTRICT BOARD OR SEVERAL WATER DISTRICT
8 BOARDS IN THE INLAND PART OF L.A. COUNTY. AND I REMEMBER ONE
9 PART OF ONE STORY WAS THAT ONE OF THE WATER DISTRICT BOARDS
10 HIRED A PUBLIC RELATIONS FIRM TO PUT OUT GLOWING REMARKS AND
11 REPORTS THAT THE WATER DISTRICT BOARD WAS DOING A FANTASTIC
12 JOB. AND THEY WERE DOING A FANTASTIC JOB BECAUSE THEY WERE
13 PAYING THE PUBLIC RELATIONS FIRM TO WRITE THAT. SO I'M JUST
14 CURIOUS WHAT'S BEING DONE. AND SINCE YOU HAD THE AUDITORS GET
15 AN AWARD TODAY FOR THEIR FOLLOW UP ON AUDITING DEPARTMENTS IN
16 THE COUNTY, HOW MUCH AUDITING IS GOING ON? BECAUSE YOU HEAR A
17 LOT ABOUT ALL THESE DIFFERENT WATER DISTRICT BOARDS AND THE
18 AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT'S FLOATING AROUND IN THAT, NO PUN
19 INTENDED, BUT WHEN WATER DISTRICT BOARDS BLOW THEIR OWN HORN,
20 THERE'S A PROBLEM. AND SO I'M WONDERING WHAT YOU'RE DOING TO
21 LOOK INTO THAT. OTHER THAN THAT -- AND ONE OTHER THING, THE
22 NUMBER OF BUSINESSES THAT SENT PROTEST HEARINGS OR CAME TO
23 THESE HEARINGS OR ARE INVOLVED IN THIS VERSUS THE NUMBER OF
24 RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS. PEOPLE OUGHT TO HAVE A RIGHT TO KNOW



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 JUST WHAT'S BEING PASSED AND WHAT'S BEING SHOVED DOWN THEIR
2 THROAT.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MR. RAY AND MR. PREVEN, WOULD YOU
5 COME UP, PLEASE?

6

7 **ALLISON RAY:** HELLO, MY NAME IS ALLISON RAY. I'M A HOMEOWNER IN
8 MALIBU. AND I AM HERE, I APPRECIATE YOU HEARING MY PROTEST
9 AGAINST THE WATER RATE INCREASE. I SERIOUSLY QUESTION THE
10 NOTICE THAT WAS PROVIDED TO THE DIFFERENT DISTRICTS, HEARING
11 HOW MANY LETTERS CAME IN IN PROTEST FOR THIS. THE REASON THAT
12 I'M VERY SUSPECT OF THIS IS BECAUSE OF THE NOTICE THAT WAS
13 PROVIDED IN MALIBU. I HAD NO NOTICE ON THIS UNTIL THE 15TH OF
14 NOVEMBER WHEN I WAS READING MY LOCAL PAPER. IT WASN'T "THE
15 MALIBU TIMES" AS WAS STATED BY THE GENTLEMAN FROM THE WATER
16 DISTRICT. IT WAS THIS "SURFSIDE" NEWSPAPER I READ ABOUT THE
17 PROPOSED INCREASE AND IT STATED THAT BY TODAY, 51 PERCENT OF
18 HOMEOWNERS HAD TO WRITE SNAIL MAIL LETTERS IN TO THE BOARD, IT
19 SAID, IT DIDN'T SAY TO THE WATERWORKS DISTRICT, IT SAID TO
20 WRITE INTO THE BOARD IN ORDER TO PREVENT THE WATER RATE
21 INCREASE. IT DIDN'T HAVE AN ADDRESS OF WHERE TO WRITE THE
22 LETTER, IT DIDN'T HAVE ANY INFORMATION. SO I TRIED TO CONTACT
23 THE LOCAL PAPER. I KNOW THE PEOPLE WHO WORK THERE. I COULDN'T
24 REACH THEM BECAUSE THE PAPER COMES OUT ON A THURSDAY, THEY'RE
25 OFF ON FRIDAY. WE DIDN'T HAVE MUCH TIME TO GET THESE LETTERS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IN. LEFT THE MESSAGE FOR THE GENTLEMAN WHO WROTE THE ARTICLE.
2 THEN I TRIED CALLING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AT THE NUMBER ON
3 THE WEBSITE TO FIND OUT ANY INFORMATION THAT I COULD. THERE
4 WAS A PHONE NUMBER I CALLED. THE PERSON THAT I SPOKE TO DIDN'T
5 KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT. PUT ME IN CONTACT WITH MR.
6 YAROSLAVSKY'S OFFICE. AND THEY WERE THEN TRANSFERRING ME TO
7 DIFFERENT PEOPLE. AND FINALLY DID, ON MONDAY, GET BACK TO ME
8 WITH THE CONTACT PERSON AT THE WATERWORKS DISTRICT WHO IS RHEA
9 JOSEPH. RHEA CALLED ME AND SAID THAT SHE WAS THE PERSON
10 RECEIVING THESE LETTERS BY MAIL AND COUNTING THEM. SO, WE
11 FINALLY HAD THE CORRECT ADDRESS OF WHERE TO SEND THESE
12 LETTERS. AND I STARTED ASKING PEOPLE WHO I KNEW AT MY
13 CHILDREN'S SCHOOLS. I ASKED PEOPLE IN MY REAL ESTATE OFFICE IF
14 THEY KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS. NO ONE DID. I BELIEVE THAT A
15 LOT OF THOSE 169 PROTESTS THAT MADE IT IN CAME FROM JUST ME
16 GETTING OUT ON FACEBOOK AND TRYING TO GET PEOPLE WHO CARED
17 ABOUT THIS WRITING IN. BUT THERE WASN'T MUCH TIME. ALSO I
18 BROUGHT TO RHEA'S ATTENTION THAT THERE WAS NO ADDRESS IN THE
19 LOCAL PAPER. SHE APOLOGIZED. THE PERSON WHO WROTE THE ARTICLE
20 APOLOGIZED AND THEN IN LAST WEEK'S PAPER THAT CAME OUT ON
21 THANKSGIVING HE DID PUT THE ADDRESS IN THE PAPER BUT THAF WAS
22 A LITTLE BIT TOO LATE TO GET THE LETTERS OUT. I HAVE A BIT OF
23 A PROBLEM WITH RHEA JOSEPH BEING THE PERSON COUNTING THE
24 LETTERS BECAUSE SHE WORKS FOR THE WATERWORKS DISTRICT AND
25 THAT'S A LITTLE BIT OF A CONFLICT OF INTEREST THAT SHE'S



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SITTING THERE COUNTING THEM. SHE WAS NICE ENOUGH TO AGREE TO
2 ACCEPT EMAILS. AND SO I TRIED TO GET AS MANY EMAILS SENT TO
3 HER AND SHE SAID "I WILL COUNT YOUR EMAILS. AND THEN PLEASE
4 FOLLOW-UP WITH A HANDWRITTEN LETTER. AND I'LL ATTACH THEM TO
5 THE EMAILS." BUT THE WHOLE PROCEDURE WAS JUST NOT SUFFICIENT.
6 NOT FAIR. I KNOW THAT EVERYONE THAT I SPOKE WITH IS SO
7 CONCERNED ABOUT THE WATER RATES GOING UP BECAUSE WE PAY SO
8 MUCH. THEY'RE SO HIGH ALREADY.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME IS UP,
11 ALLISON, THANK YOU. IS TERRY GRAY HERE? OKAY COME ON DOWN. MR.
12 PREVEN?

13

14 **ERIC PREVEN:** YES, MY NAME IS ERIC PREVEN AND I AM THE COUNTY
15 RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3 AND I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THIS WOMAN
16 FOR COMING FORWARD AND PROVIDING THAT TESTIMONY. I THINK THAT
17 THERE HAS OBVIOUSLY BEEN SOME SUBSTANTIAL PROBLEMS WITH THE
18 METHODOLOGY OF THE WAY THIS WAS PUSHED THROUGH. OVER NOT IN
19 MALIBU BUT IN THE MARINA DEL REY, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT AN
20 AVERAGE BIMONTHLY BILL INCREASE GOING FROM 14.86 TO 17.28,
21 WHICH IS 3 PERCENT A YEAR, THAT'S 17.28 WOULD BE IN 2017.
22 MARINA DEL REY IS WHERE THE BEACHES AND HARBORS GROUP, ONE OF
23 THE FEW ENTITIES THAT MAKES A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF MONEY BUT
24 STILL NOT ENOUGH, IS PROVIDING VARIOUS SERVICES AND COVERING
25 SOME OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE ALONG WITH THAT, WE HAD A



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DISCUSSION ABOUT THE WATER DISTRICT EARLIER THIS YEAR WHERE IT
2 SEEMED LIKE -- THE SEWER DISTRICT, RATHER, BUT THAT MARINA DEL
3 REY WAS EXEMPT FROM THE INCREASE. BUT HERE WE'RE TAKING THE
4 INCREASE AND OBVIOUSLY THERE'S NO JUSTIFICATION FOR IT AT THIS
5 TIME. IT'S COMPLETELY OUTRAGEOUS. NOW THE FACT THAT TOTAL
6 SALES REVENUE OVER THE FIVE YEARS FOR THE MARINA ALONE WILL BE
7 ABOUT 361,000 DOLLARS, THAT NUMBER COULD BENCHMARK AGAINST
8 CERTAINLY A LOBBYIST FEE HERE AND THERE FOR ONE PARTICULAR
9 OUTFIT OR ANOTHER. WE ARE VERY WORRIED THAT THESE
10 INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS AND INCREASES ARE GOING UP HERE AND ARE
11 VERY MINDFUL OF WHAT THE MUCH BIGGER STORY IS. AND THAT WILL
12 BE COMING UP WITH RESPECT TO THE ORDINANCE FOR RUNOFF WATER
13 THAT IS GOING TO BE ON A BALLOT AND WILL HAVE A CHANCE TO
14 FULLY VET THAT. THAT WILL RAISE ABOUT 295 MILLION DOLLARS ON
15 THE YEAR TO THEN BE DEPLOYED TO SOLVE THIS IMPORTANT PROBLEM.
16 THE QUESTION IS: IS IT SOMETHING WHERE WE NEED? IS IT AN
17 OVERLAP? AND WE'LL GET INTO THAT, OBVIOUSLY. FOR THE MEANTIME,
18 ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, I WOULD URGE THIS BOARD TO SLOW THIS
19 WAY DOWN AND GET THE CONSTITUENTS WHO DID NOT HAVE A PROPER
20 CHANCE TO RESPOND. AND OF COURSE THE RESPONSE AS ALWAYS WHEN
21 YOU'RE ASKED TO INCUR AN ENORMOUS INCREASE IN WATER IS: NO
22 THANK YOU. NO THANK YOU. WE DO NOT WANT AN ENORMOUS INCREASE
23 IN WATER. WE WANT TO FIND A WAY TO HAVE THE BEACHES AND HARBOR
24 THAT IS COULD EASILY FIND 500,000 TO APPLY HERE AND THERE ON
25 THEIR VARIOUS SHELVES TO COVER THESE KIND OF COSTS SINCE THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LESSEES IN THE MARINA DEL REY, AND BY THE WAY THE MEETING ON
2 OCTOBER 10TH WAS HELD AT THE LESSEE ASSOCIATION, WILL BE
3 PAYING SUBSTANTIALLY MORE TO COVER THIS KIND OF STUFF RATHER
4 THAN LESS. AND THE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE THE TENANTS OF THE
5 LESSEES ARE NOT ASKED TO INCUR THIS BURDEN. AND I URGE THE
6 BOARD TO COME CLEAN AND SET THIS RIGHT.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MISS GRAY? MISS GRAY, YOU'RE ON.
9 START HER CLOCK.

10

11 **TERRY GRAY:** OKAY, DON, ZEV, MR. ANTONOVICH, GLORIA, THANK YOU
12 FOR LISTENING TO ME. I DROVE DOWN HERE THIS MORNING. I JUST
13 GOT OUT OF MY CAR AND WALKED MANY BLOCKS FROM THE PARKING LOT
14 BECAUSE THERE'S SOMETHING HAPPENING WITH HALLE BERRY'S FIGHT
15 AT HOME OR SOMETHING THAT KEPT ALL THE PARKING FULL.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I'D LIKE YOU TO SPEAK TO THE ITEM
18 BEFORE US.

19

20 **TERRY GRAY:** I'M SORRY?

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** STICK TO THE ITEM THAT'S BEFORE
23 US.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **TERRY GRAY:** I AM. I'M JUST TELLING YOU I WENT THROUGH A WHOLE
2 LOT TO GET HERE. I CAME FROM SANTA BARBARA.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I APPRECIATE IT.

5

6 **TERRY GRAY:** I LIVE IN TOPANGA AND OUR WATER BILL IS HIGH
7 ALREADY. AND I'M PLEASE WANTING YOU TO THINK ABOUT THE RETIRED
8 PEOPLE AND THE PEOPLE WHO -- I WAS A TEACHER FOR MANY YEARS. I
9 KNOW THAT'S OFF POINT BUT I HAVE ONLY A TEACHER'S RETIREMENT.
10 AND AS THE WATER GOES UP AND UP AND UP, IT'S VERY DIFFICULT
11 FOR US. IT'S NOT JUST THE WATER, IT'S SO MANY OTHER THINGS
12 THAT ARE GOING UP. EVERYBODY THINKS IF THEY JUST RAISE IT A
13 TINY BIT NOBODY WILL MIND, BUT YOU PUT ALL THOSE TINY BITS
14 TOGETHER AND IT MAKES IT HARD. THE OTHER THING IS WE USED TO
15 HAVE OUR OWN WATER DISTRICT AND EVER SINCE WE'VE BEEN WITH THE
16 COUNTY WATER, THEY CHARGE US LATE FEES IF I'M ONE DAY LATE. 10
17 DOLLARS ON A 50 DOLLAR WATER BILL. THAT'S 20 PERCENT AND
18 THAT'S NOT RIGHT EITHER. I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY GET TO DO ALL
19 THIS. AS I WAS WALKING HERE FROM MY CAR ALL I COULD NOTICE WAS
20 THE BEAUTIFUL BUILDINGS, THE NEW MARBLE EVERYWHERE. THE MONEY
21 IS GOING PLACES THAT REALLY DON'T HELP THE PEOPLE. IT'S NICE
22 TO LOOK AT. MUST MAKE YOU FEEL REALLY HAPPY TO WORK HERE. BUT
23 PLEASE THINK ABOUT US BECAUSE WE'RE NOT MADE OF MONEY. WE
24 DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO PAY THESE HIGHER AND HIGHER BILLS FOR
25 EVERYTHING. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY. I HOPE YOU WERE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LISTENING. IT LOOKS LIKE YOU WERE ON YOUR COMPUTERS AND ALL
2 THAT OTHER STUFF. I HOPE YOU WERE LISTENING. THANK YOU VERY
3 MUCH.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WE WERE LISTENING TO EVERY WORD
6 YOU HAD TO SAY. APPRECIATE YOU COMING ALL THE WAY DOWN. COULD
7 WE HAVE THE STAFF BACK FOR A SECOND? CAN YOU ADDRESS THE
8 NOTIFICATION ISSUE?

9

10 **DAN LAFFERTY:** YES, SUPERVISOR. WE SENT AN INDIVIDUAL
11 NOTIFICATION TO EACH CUSTOMER. WE USED A PRIVATE COMPANY TO
12 MAIL THOSE NOTIFICATIONS. AND WE HAVE THE RECEIPTS FOR EACH
13 AND EVERY CUSTOMER ADDRESS, FOR EVERY CUSTOMER WITHIN EACH OF
14 THESE DISTRICTS THAT THE NOTICE WAS INDEED SENT TO THEM. I
15 DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE MAILING, BUT I CAN TELL YOU
16 THAT EVERY SINGLE CUSTOMER WAS MAILED A NOTIFICATION THAT
17 PROVIDED --

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WHEN YOU SAY YOU HAVE RECEIPTS.
20 WHAT IS THAT? WAS EACH MAILING TO THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE SENT
21 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED?

22

23 **DAN LAFFERTY:** NO. THEY HAVE A LIST OF EVERY ADDRESS THAT THEY
24 SENT A NOTICE TO. AND THEY PROVIDED THAT LIST TO US. AND WE
25 VERIFIED THAT THAT WAS THE COMPLETE LIST OF OUR CUSTOMER BASE.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SO THE NOTICE DID INCLUDE THE PROPOSED ANNUAL INCREASE FOR
2 EACH OF THE NEXT FIVE YEARS AND EXPLAINED THAT IT WOULD TAKE
3 PLACE -- THERE WOULD BE THIS PUBLIC HEARING. AND ALSO INCLUDED
4 THE MAILING ADDRESS FOR THE WRITTEN PROTESTS AND THOSE WERE
5 ALL MAILED OCTOBER 11TH.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** AND THAT WAS WELL IN ADVANCE OF
8 THE DEADLINE BY WHICH THEY HAD TO SEND IT?

9

10 **DAN LAFFERTY:** YES. IT'S A 45-DAY WRITTEN NOTICE REQUIRED FOR
11 THE PROTEST. SO THAT WAS WELL WITHIN THE 45 DAYS.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YEAH. WHEN DID THE 45 DAYS LAPSE?

14

15 **DAN LAFFERTY:** I BELIEVE OCTOBER 15TH WAS THE LAST CUTOFF; YOU
16 SAID YOU SEND IT ON OCTOBER 11TH.

17

18 **DAN LAFFERTY:** CORRECT.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SO WHAT IS THE 45 DAYS?

21

22 **DAN LAFFERTY:** WE HAD UNTIL THE 15TH TO MAIL THOSE. BUT WE
23 MAILED THEM ON THE 11TH.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I SEE. WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF
2 THE 45 DAYS GOING BACKWARDS?

3

4 **DAN LAFFERTY:** IT'S A REQUIREMENT UNDER PROPOSITION 218 THAT IN
5 ORDER FOR TO US RAISE THE RATES, WE HAVE TO PROVIDE A 45-DAY
6 WRITTEN NOTICE OF THIS HEARING AND PROVIDE THE INFORMATION
7 THAT WAS CONTAINED IN THE NOTICE SO THAT PEOPLE WOULD
8 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE PROPOSAL WAS FOR AND THEN HOW TO PROTEST
9 AND WHAT THEY WOULD NEED IN ORDER TO PREVENT THIS FROM BEING
10 CONSIDERED. AND THAT IS THE 50 PERCENT MAJORITY PROTEST.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** DO WE ALSO PUBLICIZE THIS IN LOCAL
13 PAPERS?

14

15 **DAN LAFFERTY:** WE PUBLISHED THE NOTIFICATION OF THE HEARING. WE
16 DIDN'T PUBLISH IN THE PAPERS THE PROPOSAL. HOWEVER, IN EACH OF
17 THE COMMUNITY MEETINGS THAT WE HELD, THERE WERE
18 REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE LOCAL MEDIA. IN THE MEETINGS THAT WE
19 HELD IN MALIBU, IT WAS "THE MALIBU TIMES." I KNOW THAT THEY
20 DID WRITE AN ARTICLE FOLLOWING THAT PUBLIC MEETING, THE
21 COMMUNITY MEETING THAT WE HELD.

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** AND IN THE MALIBU TOPANGA AREA,
24 HOW MANY MAILINGS DID YOU SEND?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **DAN LAFFERTY:** IT WAS TO EACH CUSTOMER IN THE DISTRICT.

2

3 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** HOW MANY IS THAT?

4

5 **DAN LAFFERTY:** 7,700 IN ROUND NUMBERS.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** AND WE RECEIVED APPROXIMATELY HOW
8 MANY RESPONSES OR PROTESTS ON THIS?

9

10 **DAN LAFFERTY:** ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTY-NINE.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** TOTAL? IN MALIBU AND TOPANGA ONLY?

13

14 **DAN LAFFERTY:** CORRECT.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SO OUT OF 7,700, WE HAD 169
17 RESPONSES.

18

19 **DAN LAFFERTY:** THAT'S RIGHT. THERE WERE AN ADDITIONAL 15 THAT
20 THEY DID NOT PROVIDE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR US TO BE ABLE
21 TO VERIFY WHETHER THEY WERE A CUSTOMER OR NOT. SO THERE IS AN
22 ADDITIONAL 15 THAT WE WERE NOT SURE WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE
23 ACTUALLY A CUSTOMER OF THE DISTRICT.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SO THE MAILING OBVIOUSLY GOT OUT
2 BECAUSE WE DID GET RESPONSES TO THEM.

3

4 **DAN LAFFERTY:** WE DID.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S CONCEIVABLE SOME PEOPLE
7 DIDN'T GET IT FOR ONE REASON OR ANOTHER OR MISPLACED IT, AS I
8 OFTEN DO WITH THESE NOTIFICATIONS. BUT WE DID NOT SEND THEM
9 AFTER THE DEADLINE. THAT'S CORRECT.

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

12

13 **DAN LAFFERTY:** YOU'RE WELCOME.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IS THERE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON
16 THIS?

17

18 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** MR. CHAIRMAN, ON THIS ITEM, AND MEMBERS OF
19 THE BOARD, IF THERE ARE NO OTHER PUBLIC COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THERE ARE NONE.

22

23 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** I HAVE A SCRIPT TO READ FOR YOU.

24

25 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: "A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT NO
MAJORITY PROTEST HAS BEEN MADE AGAINST THE DISTRICTS NUMBER
29, MALIBU DISTRICT NUMBER 36, VAL VERDE DISTRICT 37 ACTON AND
THE MARINA DEL REY WATER SYSTEM. AS A RESULT, IT WOULD BE
APPROPRIATE FOR THE BOARD TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING, ADOPT
THE RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS TO
IMPLEMENT THE FIVE-YEAR WATER RATE PLAN AND AMEND THE RULES
AND REGULATION THIS IN THE WATERWORKS DISTRICT AND MARINA DEL
REY WATER SYSTEM TO IMPLEMENT THE WATER RATE CHANGES OVER THE
FIVE-YEAR PERIOD."

12

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. IT'S MOVED BY RIDLEY-
THOMAS. I'LL SECOND IT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

15

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 2, THIS IS A HEARING TO
CONSUMMATE THE COUNTY'S ACQUISITION MUCH UNDEVELOPED LAND
LOCATED AT 26200 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY BETWEEN CORAL CANYON
ROAD AND LATIGO CANYON ROAD IN THE CITY OF MALIBU OWNED BY
MANSARD HOLDINGS INC. FOR THE DAN BLOCKER BEACH GENERAL
IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AND AUTHORIZE THE AUDITOR CONTROL TO
ISSUE A WARRANT TO COVER THE PURCHASE PRICE OF 400,000 DOLLARS
FOR THE REAL PROPERTY. THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO
CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. ARNOLD SACHS. START HIS
2 CLOCK.

3

4 **ARNOLD SACHS:** GOING OUT WITH A BANG HERE, THANK YOU. START MY
5 CLOCK. I BELIEVE, OH, ARNOLD SACHS. I BELIEVE THIS IS COUNTING
6 THE LAST TIME THIS YEAR IT WAS BEFORE THE BOARD THE SECOND
7 TIME. BUT WITHIN THE LAST THREE OR FOUR YEARS, I BELIEVE, THIS
8 IS THE THIRD TIME SOMETHING REGARDING DAN BLOCKER BEACH HAS
9 BEEN ON THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA. AND I BELIEVE
10 THE FIRST TIME WAS A FEW YEARS AGO. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN EVEN
11 THREE WHERE THE STATE HAD GIVEN SOME MONEY FOR SOME
12 IMPROVEMENTS TO BE MADE AT DAN BLOCKER BEACH. BUT THAT MONEY
13 WAS TAKEN AND USED FOR ANOTHER PROJECT. AND THE REASON I BRING
14 THAT UP IS BECAUSE WHEN THE STATE GAVE THAT MONEY TO THE
15 COUNTY TO USE FOR THE IMPROVEMENTS IN DAN BLOCKER BEACH
16 APPROXIMATELY THREE YEARS AGO, THERE REALLY WAS NO MENTION OF
17 BUYING ADDITIONAL PROPERTY AT THAT TIME. IT WAS JUST THE
18 MENTION THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE THE FUNDS OR SOMETHING TO THAT
19 EFFECT THAT, WELL, MORE OR LESS DEEP POCKETS SAID WE DON'T
20 WANT THAT IMPROVEMENT, ANYWAY, AND WE'RE GOING TO USE THAT
21 MONEY SOME PLACE ELSE. SO MAYBE IF THE COUNTY AND THE STATE
22 WORKED A LITTLE BIT BETTER, THAT MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN USED TO
23 BUY THAT PROPERTY THEN. MAYBE GOTTEN A BETTER DEAL AT IT. BUT
24 JUST THE IDEA THAT THREE YEARS AGO WHEN THERE WAS MONEY
25 AVAILABLE FROM THE STATE, AGAIN, NO MENTION MUCH BUYING ANY



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ADDITIONAL PROPERTY FOR THESE IMPROVEMENTS. AND NOW MONEY IS
2 AVAILABLE FROM THE STATE. AND NOW WE HAVE TO BUY MORE
3 PROPERTY. AND THE HISTORY, BASED ON THIS ITEM, I HAD A PAPER
4 MY HAND AND I SAID "OH, THEY'RE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT THIS
5 TODAY," AND I LEFT IT HOME. BUT THE STATE HAD BOUGHT
6 ADDITIONAL PROPERTY. BEFORE THEY CEDED PART OF THIS BEACH TO
7 THE COUNTY. SO IF THE STATE HAD BOUGHT ADDITIONAL PROPERTY
8 SURROUNDING THE BEACH, THEN WHY, 10 YEARS LATER, IS THE COUNTY
9 BUYING MORE ADDITIONAL PROPERTY THAT THE STATE DIDN'T FEEL WAS
10 NECESSARY AND THE COUNTY CERTAINLY DIDN'T FEEL WAS NECESSARY
11 THREE YEARS AGO WHEN IMPROVEMENTS WERE SOUGHT FOR DAN BLOCKER
12 BEACH. BUT, YOU KNOW, MY CLOCK HAS STARTED AND I'M RUNNING OUT
13 OF TIME AND THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE AN ANSWER. SO IT'S JUST
14 FOLLOWING THE DOTS IN THIS OPERATION THAT BECOME FRUSTRATING
15 BECAUSE THE ANSWERS CERTAINLY ARE GOLDEN. OH WAIT THERE ARE NO
16 ANSWERS.

17
18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I'LL MOVE IT. KNABE SECONDS.
19 WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE. NEXT ITEM.

20
21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 3, THIS IS A HEARING ON
22 CONVEYANCE OF COUNTY SURPLUS REAL PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN
23 HASLEY CANYON EQUESTRIAN CENTER. THE COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY MAY
24 NO LONGER BE CLASSIFIED AS A PARK RECREATIONAL OR CULTURAL
25 PROPERTY AND IS NOT REQUIRED FOR COUNTY USE. AND FIND THAT THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 APPRAISED VALUE OF 4,000 DOLLARS COMPLIES WITH REQUIREMENTS OF
2 THE PUBLIC RESOURCE CODE. AGAIN THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT
3 STATEMENT. NO CORRESPONDENCE.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MR. SACHS COME BACK DOWN.

6

7 **ARNOLD SACHS:** YES, THANK YOU AGAIN ARNOLD SACHS. IF THIS IS A
8 STAFF PROBLEM THEN I APOLOGIZE TO THE STAFF FOR BRINGING THIS
9 TO YOUR ATTENTION, BUT IF THIS ISN'T, MAYBE THIS COULD BE
10 EXPLAINED. "THIS IS A HEARING ON CONVEYANCE OF COUNTY SURPLUS
11 REAL PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE HASLEY CANYON EQUESTRIAN
12 CENTER FOR THE USE OF PROPERTY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION
13 AND PARK PURPOSES. FIND THAT THE COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY MAY NO
14 LONGER BE CLASSIFIED AS PARK." WELL IF IT'S NO LONGER
15 CLASSIFIED AS PARK, THEN WHY WOULD IT BE USED FOR PARK
16 PURPOSES? THAT'S ALL, RECREATIONAL OR CULTURAL PROPERTY. IF
17 IT'S NOT GOING TO BE CLASSIFIED AS PARK, THEN WHY WOULD THE
18 BUYER WANT TO USE IT AS PARK? WON'T THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH A
19 RECLASSIFICATION FOR THAT THROUGH THE COUNTY PROCESS? COULD
20 THERE BE AN EXPLANATION COMING HERE? IT'S REALLY SOMEWHAT
21 DISINGENUOUS TO SAY THE PROPERTY IS NO LONGER PROPERLY USED
22 FOR PARK PURPOSES OR CLASSIFIED AS PARK PURPOSES BUT THEN IT'S
23 TO BE USED FOR PARK PURPOSES. THAT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE THE ITEM BEFORE
2 US. MOVED BY KNABE. SECONDED BY RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT
3 OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

4

5 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 4, THIS IS THE HEARING TO
6 RECEIVE AND FILE THE FISCAL YEAR- END REPORT OF TRANSACTIONS
7 FOR THE LIBRARY FACILITIES MITIGATION FEE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR
8 2011-2012 AND ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION UPDATING THE CAPITAL
9 IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR THE PUBLIC LIBRARY FACILITIES AS OF
10 SEPTEMBER 30, 2012. NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO
11 CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED. THIS ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. RIDLEY-THOMAS MOVES.
14 MOLINA SECONDS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

15

16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 5, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
17 ESTABLISHMENT OF NEW PARKING RATES AT COUNTY AUTO PARK 11-A.
18 AND TO ADJUST EXISTING RATES AT COUNTY PARK 10 FOR PATRONS
19 VISITING GRAND PARK AND OTHER BUILDINGS IN THE LOS ANGELES
20 CIVIC CENTER. WE HAVE NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO
21 CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED.

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. ERIC PREVEN?

24

25 **ERIC PREVEN:** IS THIS ITEM 5, SIR? THE ONE YOU CALLED?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ITEM 5.

3

4 **ERIC PREVEN:** VERY GOOD. MY NAME IS ERIC PREVEN AND I AM THE
5 COUNTY RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3. THIS IS A HEARING TO
6 ESTABLISH SOME NEW PARKING RATES. OVER THE SUMMER, I BELIEVE
7 IT WAS GLORIA MOLINA AND VARIOUS MEMBERS OF THE PRESS WHO
8 DANCED IN GRAND PARK TOGETHER AS WE CELEBRATED THIS FANTASTIC
9 CIVIC ARRANGEMENT. THE ARRANGEMENT OF THIS PARK WHICH WE ALL
10 DO BENEFIT FROM. AND NOW, JUST A FEW MONTHS LATER WE ARE
11 INCREASING THE PARKING FROM 10 TO 20 DOLLARS. I REALLY WOULD
12 URGE THIS BOARD TO THINK ABOUT THIS. WE'RE ALSO ADDING SOME
13 A.D.A.-APPROVED SPACES, WHICH OBVIOUSLY WE COMPLETELY SUPPORT.
14 BUT AT THIS TIME, WHEN CLASSIC PARKING, ONE OF THE PARKING
15 COMPANIES THAT WORKS VERY CLOSELY WITH THE I.S.D., TO BE
16 INCREASING THE RATES FOR THE ONE LITTLE SLIVER OF SAFE PARKING
17 WHERE WE COULD GET A RATE THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH WHAT ORDINARY
18 RESIDENTS CAN AFFORD AS OPPOSED TO WE DON'T WANT OUR PARKS TO
19 BE ONLY FOR FAT CATS. WE WANT REGULAR RESIDENTS TO BE ABLE TO
20 -- I MEAN I NEVER LIKED TWO DOLLARS FOR TWENTY MINUTES BUT I
21 DESPISE THREE-FIFTY FOR THREE MINUTES OR WHATEVER, FOR FIFTEEN
22 MINUTES. I MEAN, THAT IS GOING IN THE WRONG DIRECTION. IF
23 THERE WERE NOT VARIOUS ENTITIES SKIMMING THIS MONEY, WE WOULD
24 COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND. IF THIS WAS MONEY THAT WAS REALLY GOING
25 TO, FOR EXAMPLE, FACILITATE THE A.D.A. APPROVAL OF VARIOUS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THINGS, IT'S NOT. IT'S JUST REVENUE. I MEAN I'VE HEARD
2 SUPERVISOR KNABE BEFORE SAY: ARE WE JUST DOING THIS 'CUZ?
3 REMEMBER SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WHEN WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE
4 I BELIEVE IT WAS THE HOLLYWOOD BOWL. AND I ASKED THE SAME
5 QUESTION HERE BECAUSE GRAND PARK, THOUGH IT IS MORE OF A
6 MANICURED HALLWAY AND I'M QUOTING SOME GUY AT THE TIMES, THAN
7 A WIDE OPEN SPACE FOR THE LIKES OF SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WHO
8 IS LINKING CLOSELY RIGHT NOW WITH THE SECOND DISTRICT
9 SUPERVISOR AS OPPOSED TO LISTENING, BUT I UNDERSTAND BECAUSE
10 NOBODY WANTS TO HEAR THAT THE PEOPLE, JUST LIKE WITH THE WATER
11 INCREASES, ARE NOT INTERESTED IN INCREASES IN PARKING. AND
12 WE'RE NOT -- YOU KNOW, THIS IS NOT OCCUPY L.A. THIS IS REGULAR
13 RESIDENTS. BY THE WAY, OCCUPY L.A. GETS A MEASURE OF CREDIT
14 FOR BRINGING FORWARD SOME OF THE PROBLEMS. I'M CERTAINLY NOT
15 DISTANCING MYSELF FROM THAT GROUP AT ALL. I ABSOLUTELY SUPPORT
16 THAT. AND WHEN THEY CAME DOWN TO THIS BOARD, IT WAS VERY
17 INTERESTING TO SEE HOW FRUSTRATED THE BOARD BECAME WITH THE
18 IDEA THAT PEOPLE WOULD COME DOWN HERE TO SHINE A LITTLE BIT OF
19 LIGHT. HERE'S THE PARKING. 10 DOLLARS IS BETTER THAN 20. THE
20 RESIDENTS KNOW THE DIFFERENCE AND WE URGE YOU TO GO THE
21 OPPOSITE DIRECTION ON THIS. THANK YOU.

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. ITEM 5 IS BEFORE US.
24 MOVED BY MOLINA. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
25 UNANIMOUS VOTE.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 6, THIS IS A HEARING ON
3 ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION UPDATING THE DEVELOPER FEE PROGRAM
4 WHICH APPROVES THE DEVELOPER FEE DETAILED FIRE STATION PLAN
5 DATED OCTOBER 2012 AND APPROVES THE CONSOLIDATED FIRE
6 PROTECTION DISTRICT DEVELOPER FEE FUNDS 2011-2012 FISCAL YEAR
7 END REPORT. NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS
8 RECEIVED.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ARNOLD SACHS?

11

12 **ARNOLD SACHS:** THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING AGAIN. ARNOLD SACHS.
13 JUST FOR THE RECORD, I'M GUESSING THIS IS GOING TO BE A 5-0
14 VOTE. BUT I AM JUST CURIOUS WHAT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THIS FEE
15 INCREASE. DO YOU RECALL A COUPLE OF MONTHS AGO, I BELIEVE, NOT
16 EVEN, THE STORY IN THE L.A. TIMES REGARDING THE RESPONSE AND
17 THE COVERAGE THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO OCCUR BETWEEN THE UNWRITTEN
18 COVERAGE OR THE UNWRITTEN RULE THAT THE COVERAGE WAS SUPPOSED
19 TO OCCUR BETWEEN THE CITY OF L.A. AND THE COUNTY OF L.A.
20 REGARDING 911 CALLS WHERE AMBULANCES WERE REQUIRED, THAT THE
21 CLOSEST FACILITY WOULD RESPOND. AND THEN IT TURNS OUT THAT
22 ALTHOUGH THAT WAS WRITTEN IN SMOKE, IT REALLY WASN'T BEING
23 ADHERED TO? SO THESE FEES THAT ARE -- AND THIS DEVELOPER
24 PROGRAM, FEE PROGRAM, DOES ANY OF THAT ADDRESS THAT
25 OVERLAPPING OF SERVICES BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE CITY?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BECAUSE IF IT DOESN'T, THEN THIS IS JUST ANOTHER AREA WHERE IT
2 COULD OCCUR. IF IT DOESN'T, WHY NOT? AND IF IT DOESN'T, WHAT
3 DOES THAT MEAN FOR THE OTHER AREAS WHERE THIS PROBLEM OCCURS?
4 IS IT BEING ADDRESSED BY SOMEBODY? AND IF IT'S NOT, WHY NOT?
5 BECAUSE YOU'RE COLLECTING FEES TO PROVIDE SERVICES, AND YOU
6 HAVE THIS UNWRITTEN POLICY, BASICALLY, WITH THE CITY OF L.A.,
7 TO COVER EACH OTHER IN EYE BASED ON LOCATION. WHY AREN'T THESE
8 THINGS BEING ADDRESSED? I KNOW YOU HAVE A LOT OF PRESENTATIONS
9 TO MAKE EVERY MEETING, BUT I KIND OF THINK THAT SOMETHING LIKE
10 THIS COULD BE LOOKED INTO AND ADDRESSED MAYBE BY ONE OF THE
11 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS?

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE THE ITEM BEFORE
14 US. MOVED BY RIDLEY-THOMAS. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
15 OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

16

17 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 7, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
18 THE ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TITLE
19 32, FIRE CODE BY ADJUSTING EXISTING FEES AND ADOPTING NEW FEES
20 RELATING TO THE REVIEW, EVALUATION AND PROCESSING FUEL
21 MODIFICATION PLANS AND DOCUMENTS IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS AND
22 WITHIN INCORPORATED CITIES THAT ARE SERVED BY THE CONSOLIDATED
23 FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY FOR THE PURPOSE
24 OF MEETING OPERATIONAL EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT. THERE IS NO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED. THIS
2 ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MOVED BY KNABE. SECONDED BY
5 RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

6

7 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 8, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
8 ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TITLE 2
9 ADMINISTRATION TO ADD SECTION 2.77.095 WHICH IMPLEMENTS NEW
10 PUBLIC HEALTH FEES TO ALLOW THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S
11 COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES, PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY AND THE
12 VITAL RECORDS OFFICE TO RECOVER COSTS FOR VARIOUS SERVICE
13 PROVIDED TO BOTH MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY-BASED
14 ORGANIZATIONS EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2013. AGAIN NO DEPARTMENT
15 STATEMENT AND NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED. THIS ITEM IS
16 BEFORE YOU.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MOVED BY MOLINA. SECONDED BY
19 ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

20

21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 9, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
22 ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE NOGALES STREET
23 UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT AND ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE
24 AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE DIVISION II OF THE TITLE 16,
25 HIGHWAYS, UNDERGROUNDING OF UTILITIES. IF NO PROTESTS EXIST,



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ADOPT THE RESOLUTION AND ORDINANCE. THERE IS A DEPARTMENT
2 STATEMENT. NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MOVED BY-- THERE'S A STATEMENT BY
5 THE STAFF, OKAY.

6

7 **STEVE DUNN:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS STEVE DUNN AND I'M A
8 SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'M
9 FAMILIAR WITH THE AREA PROPOSED TO BE DESIGNATED AS THE
10 NOGALES STREET UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT. WE HAVE CONSULTED
11 WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES WHICH WOULD BE AFFECTED BY THE
12 FORMATION OF THE PROPOSED DISTRICT. THIS AREA WAS SELECTED FOR
13 UNDERGROUNDING OF UTILITIES BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
14 WORKS IN CONSULTATION WITH THE AFFECTED UTILITY COMPANIES HAS
15 DETERMINED THAT UNDERGROUNDING OF THE EXISTING AND FUTURE
16 OVERHEAD ELECTRIC AND COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES WILL ELIMINATE
17 THE PRESENT UNUSUALLY HEAVY CONCENTRATION AND AVOID THE FUTURE
18 CONCENTRATION OF OVERHEAD FACILITIES. THAT THE IMPACTED
19 PORTIONS OF NOGALES STREET ARE EXTENSIVELY USED BY THE GENERAL
20 PUBLIC AND CARRY A HEAVY VOLUME OF VEHICULAR TRAFFIC. AND THAT
21 IT IS IN THE GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST TO ESTABLISH THE
22 DISTRICT. WE HAVE RECEIVED NO PROTESTS.

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, "A
2 DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT NO MAJORITY PROTEST EXISTS
3 AGAINST THE ESTABLISHING OF NOGALES STREET UNDERGROUND UTILITY
4 DISTRICT. AS A RESULT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO CLOSE THE
5 PUBLIC HEARING, ADOPT THE RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE NOGALES
6 STREET UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT AND ADOPT THE ORDINANCE
7 AMENDING COUNTY CODE DIVISION II TITLE 16 UNDERGROUNDING OF
8 UTILITY'S ORDINANCE BY ADDING 16.34.335."

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MOVED BY KNABE. SECONDED BY
11 ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

12

13 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 10, THIS IS A HEARING ON
14 ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE GRANTING A PUBLIC UTILITY WATER
15 PIPELINE FRANCHISE CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY FOR A
16 PERIOD OF 15 YEARS FOR THE TRANSPORTATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF
17 WATER IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF EAST LOS ANGELES, EAST
18 COMPTON, WEST CARSON, WESTFIELD/ROLLING HILLS ESTATES, LEONA
19 VALLEY, LAKE HUGHES AND LANCASTER. NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND
20 NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED. THIS ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ANY DISCUSSION? MOLINA MOVES.
23 KNABE SECONDS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 11, THIS IS THE DE NOVO
2 HEARING ON PROJECT NUMBER 2012-01296 ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO
3 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE TITLE 22 PLANNING AND ZONING
4 ESTABLISH DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS GOVERNING SECONDHAND STORES.
5 THERE IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS
6 RECEIVED.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE TWO PEOPLE WHO
9 ASKED TO BE HEARD. LUCY.

10

11 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THERE IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THERE IS? SO LET'S HEAR THAT. IN
14 THE MEANTIME, LET ME ASK LUCY PLISKIN AND ALICIA LEY TO COME
15 DOWN AND BE HEARD AFTER THE STAFF PRESENTATION. GO AHEAD.

16

17 **BRUCE DURBAN:** GOOD MORNING HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. I'M BRUCE
18 DURBAN WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. BESIDE ME IS
19 ALLISON STEWART ALSO WITH THE DEPARTMENT. LAST JANUARY YOUR
20 BOARD PASSED A MOTION TO DIRECT THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
21 PLANNING TO PREPARE AN ORDINANCE TO ALLOW SECONDHAND STORES IN
22 THE ZONE C-2 OR THE NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS ZONES THROUGHOUT THE
23 COUNTY. IN THE MOTION, YOUR BOARD RECOGNIZE THAT SECONDHAND
24 STORES AND THE THRIFT INDUSTRY PROVIDE AN IMPORTANT SERVICE BY
25 PROVIDING CONSUMERS WITH MORE CHOICES FOR AFFORDABLE AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PERSONAL HOUSEHOLD PRODUCTS AND AT THE SAME TIME THESE
2 PRODUCTS ARE POTENTIALLY DIVERTED FROM THE COUNTY'S LANDFILLS.
3 TODAY I PRESENT BEFORE YOU A PROPOSED ORDINANCE AS RECOMMENDED
4 BY THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION AMENDING TITLE 22 TO
5 ESTABLISH SECONDHAND STORES IN ZONE C-2, COUNTYWIDE.
6 CURRENTLY, OUR ZONING REGULATIONS DO NOT PERMIT SECONDHAND
7 STORES IN ZONE C-2, ONLY IN ZONES C-3, CM AND ALL OF THE
8 MANUFACTURING ZONES. ON AUGUST 22ND, THE REGIONAL PLANNING
9 COMMISSION CONDUCTED A PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSED
10 ORDINANCE TO TITLE 22. FOUR INDIVIDUALS FROM THE THRIFT
11 INDUSTRY TESTIFIED IN SUPPORT OF THE ORDINANCE. THE COMMISSION
12 ADOPTED A RESOLUTION WHICH RECOMMENDS THE BOARD CONSIDER THE
13 PROPOSED ORDINANCE. THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE WILL ADD A
14 DEFINITION OF SECONDHAND STORES ALONG WITH ADDING THE USE TO
15 THE LIST OF PERMITTED USES IN ZONE C-2 TO INCLUDE USE-SPECIFIC
16 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS. CURRENTLY TITLE 22 LACKS A DEFINITION
17 OF SECONDHAND STORE AND IS NECESSARY TO ADD ONE IN ORDER TO
18 BETTER REGULATE WHAT CAN OR CANNOT BE CONSIDERED A SECONDHAND
19 STORE. THESE STORES ARE TO BE LIMITED TO DONATED CLOTHES,
20 HOUSEHOLD GOODS, AND SIMILAR USED MERCHANDISE. OTHER LAND USES
21 THAT DEAL WITH PRIMARILY USED OR SECONDHAND PRODUCTS SUCH AS
22 ANTIQUE SHOPS, USED VEHICLES AND THEIR PARTS, JUNK AND SALVAGE
23 YARDS AND PAWN SHOPS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED SECONDHAND STORES
24 FOR THE PURPOSES OF TITLE 22. THESE USES ARE ALREADY
25 IDENTIFIED IN THE CODE AND THEIR CURRENT ZONING ALLOWANCES



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REMAIN UNCHANGED. SO A SECONDHAND STORE PERMITTED IN ZONE C-2
2 WILL BE REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING DEVELOPMENT
3 STANDARDS AS PART OF THE MINISTERIAL SITE-PLAN REVIEW. ONE,
4 THERE SHALL BE NO RESIDENTIAL USES PERMITTED IN CONJUNCTION
5 WITH A SECONDHAND STORE. THIS IS REQUIREMENT IS NEEDED TO
6 PREVENT LEGALLY CONFORMING RESIDENTIAL USES AND IN COMMERCIAL
7 ZONES FROM -- IN COMMERCIAL ZONES FROM OPERATING ACCORDINGLY.
8 THE SECOND STANDARD IS A SIGN MUST BE ERECTED CONTROLLING THE
9 OUTSIDE PORTIONS OF THE AREA OF THE SECONDHAND STORES. AND
10 FINALLY -- I APOLOGIZE. MY PAPERS GOT ALL CONFUSED HERE.
11 FINALLY, EITHER WAY THE THIRD DEVELOPMENT STANDARD IS FOR THE
12 DONATION DROP-OFF SORTING AND DISTRIBUTION AREAS SHALL BE
13 LOCATED ENTIRELY ENCLOSED WITHIN A BUILDING. WE RECEIVED -- WE
14 CONDUCTED AN ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND DECLARED A MITIGATED
15 DECLARATION FOR THIS. AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION AT
16 THIS TIME. I'M AVAILABLE FOR COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS? LET ME
19 CALL ON LUCY PLISKIN.

20

21 **LUCY PLISKIN:** THANK YOU. MY NAME IS LUCY PLISKIN. I'M A MEMBER
22 OF THE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT TEAM WITH GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF
23 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF SOUTHERN
24 CALIFORNIA IS AN INSTITUTION HEADQUARTERED IN LOS ANGELES
25 COUNTY WHICH HAS BEEN IN BUSINESS FOR ALMOST 100 YEARS. WE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CURRENTLY OPERATE A TOTAL OF 71 RETAIL STORES, 45 OF WHICH ARE
2 LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. EACH STORE PROVIDES
3 EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR APPROXIMATELY 25 INDIVIDUALS. AND
4 WE HOPE WITH THE GRANTING OF THIS AMENDMENT TO OPEN AN
5 ADDITIONAL FIVE TO SIX STORES OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS. AND
6 THAT WOULD PROVIDE SIGNIFICANT EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR
7 RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. IN ADDITION, THIS IS A WAY TO
8 -- GOODWILL PROVIDES OPPORTUNITIES TO DIVERT ITEMS THAT WOULD
9 OTHERWISE END UP IN LANDFILLS THROUGH THE SALE OF THESE GOODS
10 IN ITS STORES AND ALSO PROVIDES LOW COST ITEMS FOR PURCHASE BY
11 THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE A RESPONSIBLE, WELL RECOGNIZED CHARITY.
12 WE USE THE MONIES THAT WE OBTAIN FROM THE SALES OF THE GOODS
13 IN THESE STORES TO SUPPORT OUR PROGRAMS WHICH ARE TO PROVIDE
14 JOB PLACEMENT AND TRAINING SERVICES AND CURRENTLY WE'RE
15 OPERATING A MAJOR PROGRAM TO HELP VETERANS WITH EMPLOYMENT
16 OPPORTUNITIES AND ALSO THEIR FAMILIES. AND WE URGE ACTION
17 APPROVING THIS AMENDMENT. THANK YOU.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. AND MISS LEY?

20

21 **ALICIA LEY:** GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF
22 THE BOARD. MY NAME STREET ALICIA LEY AND I REPRESENT GOODWILL
23 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, AS WELL. WE'RE VERY THANKFUL WITH THE
24 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN EFFORTS IN SUPPORTING THIS AMENDMENT.
25 MR. CRAIG SMITH, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF GOODWILL SOUTHERN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CALIFORNIA INTENDED TO BE HERE THIS MORNING BUT UNFORTUNATELY
2 WAS CALLED OUT AND HE EXTENDS HIS APOLOGIES. I WOULD LIKE TO
3 TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO THANK MR. RICHARD BROCKNER AND MR.
4 BRUCE DURBIN IN BRINGING THIS AMENDMENT BEFORE YOU TODAY.
5 GOODWILL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA IS IN SUPPORT OF THIS CODE
6 AMENDMENT. THIS CODE AMENDMENT WOULD ALLOW GOOD WILL TO BETTER
7 COMPETE WITH CURRENT RETAIL ENVIRONMENTS. THE IMAGE SECONDHAND
8 RETAIL STORES HAS CHANGED OVER THE PAST 30 YEARS IN THE WAY
9 THEY MANAGE AND OPERATE IT. SECONDHAND RETAIL STORES HAVE
10 GROWN IN POPULARITY. THE AVERAGE GOODWILL SHOPPER IS AN
11 INDIVIDUAL BETWEEN THE A.OF 35 TO 45 YEARS OF AGE WITH AN
12 ANNUAL INCOME OF 40 TO 50,000 DOLLARS A YEAR. THE LOS ANGELES
13 COUNTY CODE HAS NOT BEEN UPDATED TO REFLECT TODAY'S CONSUMER.
14 GOODWILL SUPPORTS THIS CODE AMENDMENT TO FURTHER ITS MISSION,
15 TO HELP INDIVIDUALS FIND EMPLOYMENT. GOODWILL IS A RETAILER OF
16 HIGH QUALITY, GENTLY USED GOODS, PROVIDING CLOTHING AND
17 HOUSEHOLD MERCHANDISE AT AFFORDABLE PRICES. GOODWILL IS
18 COMPARABLE WITH NEW RETAILERS, NEW GOODS IN TERMS OF
19 APPEARANCE, MERCHANDISING AND PRESENTATION, AND GOODWILL HAS
20 BEEN ABLE TO ESTABLISH NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL CENTERS
21 THROUGHOUT THE CITY, THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AND
22 WOULD LIKE TO MOVE FORWARD AND CONTINUE TO DO SO IN AREAS IN
23 THE UNINCORPORATED PARTS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
24 GOODWILL WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT IN THE APPROVAL OF
25 THIS CODE AMENDMENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. OKAY. DO WE HAVE ANY
3 DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM? IF NOT --

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. CHAIRMAN.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MR. KNABE.

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. CHAIR, I HAVE AN UPDATED MOTION I'D LIKE TO
10 PRESENT IN REGARDS TO FOLLOW UP AND SOME CLARIFICATION. GOING
11 BACK TO THE REQUEST THAT I MADE BACK IN LATE JANUARY, I WOULD
12 MOVE THAT THE BOARD TAKE THE FOLLOWING ACTION. ONE, CLOSE THE
13 PUBLIC HEARING. CONSIDER THE ATTACHED NEGATIVE DEC TOGETHER
14 WITH ANY COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS
15 AND FIND THAT BASED ON THE RECORD BEFORE THE BOARD THERE IS NO
16 SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE A SIGNIFICANT
17 EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND THAT THE NEGATIVE DEC REFLECTS
18 THE INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT AND ANALYSIS OF THE COUNTY, THEREFORE
19 ADOPT THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION. TWO, INDICATE THE BOARD'S
20 INTENT TO IMPROVE THE ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE 22 OF THE
21 COUNTY CODE TO ALLOW FOR SECONDHAND STORES IN ZONE C2
22 COUNTYWIDE ALONG WITH DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS REGULATING THAT
23 USE. THREE, AND FINALLY INSTRUCT COUNTY COUNSEL TO PREPARE A
24 FINAL ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 22 RELATING TO SECONDHAND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 STORES TO BRING BACK TO A FUTURE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING
2 FOR THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION. WITH THAT, I MOVE THE ITEM.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. I'LL SECOND THAT. IS THERE
5 ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? IF NOT, KNABE MOVES. I'LL SECOND.
6 WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 12, THIS IS THE DE NOVO
9 HEARING ON PROJECT NUMBER R2009-01269 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
10 AND ENVIRONMENTAL CASE TO AUTHORIZE THE OPERATION AND
11 MAINTENANCE OF A FLOWER SHOP, A BOOKSTORE AND ANY SIMILAR
12 COMMERCIAL USE PERMITTED IF THE C-3 ZONES TO IMPLEMENT A
13 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3323
14 WORKMAN MILL ROAD IN THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY OF WHITTIER.
15 THERE IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS
16 RECEIVED.

17

18 **SPEAKER:** MY NAME IS ANDREW _____ WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
19 REGIONAL PLANNING. AGENDA ITEM 12 IS A COMBINED CHANGE FOR
20 ZONE CHANGE AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THE REGIONAL PLANNING
21 COMMISSION CONDUCTED CONCURRENT PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE PROJECT
22 TO AUTHORIZE THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF AN EXISTING FLOWER
23 SHOP AND A BOOKSTORE AND SIMILAR COMMERCIAL USES. CURRENTLY
24 THE PROPERTY IS ZONED AGRICULTURAL AND THE APPLICANT IS
25 REQUESTING A ZONE CHANGE TO A COMMERCIAL ZONE. THE BOOKSTORE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND FLOWER SHOP HAVE BEEN IN OPERATION SINCE APPROXIMATELY
2 2004. A ZONE CHANGE IS NECESSARY TO BRING THE USE INTO
3 CONFORMANCE WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY GENERAL PLAN. THE PLAN
4 DESIGNATES THIS PARCEL FOR COMMERCIAL USES. THE REGIONAL
5 PLANNING COMMISSION'S VOTE RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE
6 PROJECT WAS UNANIMOUS. THE PROJECT QUALIFIES FOR A CLASS I
7 CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL
8 QUALITY ACT AS IT INVOLVES AN EXISTING USE WITHIN AN EXISTING
9 BUILDING. THE PROJECT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY FOR APPROVAL OF THE
10 ZONE CHANGE AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THIS CONCLUDES MY
11 PRESENTATION. THANK YOU.

12
13 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THERE ARE NO SPEAKERS.

14
15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MOVED BY KNABE. SECONDED BY
16 RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

17
18 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND THAT COMPLETES THE PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR
19 TODAY.

20
21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. I HAVE SOME ADJOURNING
22 MOTIONS. WELL, BEFORE WE DO THE ADJOURNING MOTIONS, LET'S TAKE
23 THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE ASKED TO BE HEARD ON MULTIPLE ITEMS. ERIC
24 PREVEN, ARNOLD SACHS, DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, AND LYNN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PLAMBECK. THOSE ARE THE FOUR THAT I HAVE. AND I HAVE ONE MORE
2 AFTER THAT. YES.

3

4 **ERIC PREVEN:** GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS
5 ERIC PREVEN AND I AM THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3.
6 THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ITEMS I WAS JUST DISCUSSING WITH A
7 CONSTITUENT MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC A MOMENT AGO, ITEM 15, WHICH
8 IS MAYOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTION TO HAVE D.C.F.S., THAT IS THE
9 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TORQUES PUT
10 TOGETHER A TASKFORCE TO DEAL WITH A SCOURGE WHICH IS THE SEX
11 TRAFFICKING AND PROSTITUTION. AND APPARENTLY THERE IS SOME
12 EVIDENCE THAT PEOPLE WHO ARE INVOLVED WITH D.C.F.S. IN TERMS
13 MUCH RECEIVING SERVICES ARE ALSO QUITE VULNERABLE, WHICH OF
14 COURSE COMES AS NO SURPRISE TO THOSE OF US WHO KNOWS WHAT
15 D.C.F.S. IS. IN A WAY, THE D.C.F.S. ITSELF. THE RAISON D'ETRE
16 IS TO PROTECT VULNERABLE YOUNGSTERS LIKE THIS FROM THINGS LIKE
17 SEX TRAFFICKING AND MANY OTHER THREATS TO THE YOUNG PEOPLE. I
18 DON'T KNOW. ON THE ONE HAND I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE FEELING
19 BEHIND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTION. I'M NOT CONVINCED THAT
20 THIS IS -- THIS SEEMS LIKE A BAND-AID KIND OF APPROACH. BUT
21 PERHAPS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT THE SHERIFF AND THE PROBATION
22 DEPARTMENT AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CAN COME UP WITH
23 THAT WILL MITIGATE THIS HORRIBLE, HORRIBLE PROBLEM. SO I
24 SUPPOSE THANK YOU. I SUPPOSE THANK YOU. ITEM 13 WHICH DID COME
25 AND GO, I JUST WANT TO ADDRESS QUICKLY. SUSAN STEINHOUSER IS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BEING REAPPOINTED DOWN AT THE L.A. LAW LIBRARY AND KUDOS ON
2 THAT. STEINHOUSER IS EXCELLENT. WE SHOULD HAVE KRINSKY FROM
3 THE CITIZENS COMMISSION ON JAIL VIOLENCE BE ABSOLUTELY CERTAIN
4 THAT THE 63 RECOMMENDATIONS GET OVER THERE ASAP BECAUSE WE
5 HEARD A WEEK OR TWO AGO THAT THEY HAD NOT YET MADE IT TO THE
6 L.A. LAW LIBRARY WHERE OF COURSE IT IS NEEDED. ITEM 23 AND 24
7 ARE, WELL IT'S THE CERNER CORPORATION AT ITEM 23 AND OUR
8 ELECTRONIC HEALTH CARE RECORD. AND I WOULD LIKE TO ISSUE THE
9 APPROPRIATE AMOUNT OF GRATITUDE TO THE SUPERVISOR FROM THE
10 SECOND DISTRICT FOR RAISING THE FLASHLIGHT ON THIS TO BE SURE
11 THAT WE ARE GETTING THE BEST DEAL. THIS IS A VERY LARGE SUM OF
12 MONEY. BUT WE KNOW WE NEED THE ELECTRONIC HEALTH CARE RECORD.
13 AND I SUPPOSE IT IS TIME TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT. ITEM 24 IS
14 THE RANCHO LOS AMIGOS SEISMIC RETROFIT WHICH ON THE FACE OF IT
15 LOOKS LIKE 162 MILLION BUT LAST WEEK DURING THE FASCINATING
16 CONVERSATION, SUPERVISOR MOLINA MADE CLEAR IT'S REALLY 404
17 MILLION WHEN YOU LOCK AT IT CLOSELY. AND ONE THING THAT I MADE
18 CLEAR A COUPLE WEEKS EARLIER WAS THAT 2 PERCENT, WHICH AMOUNTS
19 TO 2 MILLION DOLLARS OF THE JOB ORDER CONTRACTS, IS GOING TO
20 THIS GROUP IN SOUTH CAROLINA WHERE I'VE ASKED MR. FUJIOKA,
21 I'VE ASKED TOM TINDALL, I'VE ASKED THE SUPERVISORS TO REALLY
22 PUT TOGETHER A CHART THAT LETS US SEE GLOBALLY HOW MUCH THE
23 GORDIAN GROUP IS IN FACT SAPPING OUT OF OUR MANY DEPARTMENTS,
24 INCLUDING PARKS AND REC, WHICH AS WE LEARN MORE ABOUT IT MAY
25 BE THE ORIGINAL TEE-OFF ON THAT PARTICULAR GROUP, I'M NOT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SURE. AND I'VE ALSO ASKED AND I'VE LEARNED THAT ONLY COUNTY
2 COUNSEL WILL RESPOND AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE GORDIAN GROUP
3 HAS A LOBBYIST OR EVER HAD A LOBBYIST, I DON'T KNOW. THE
4 CLOSED SESSION ITEMS TODAY, ALL OF WHICH ARE POINTING TO
5 DOCUMENTATION AVAILABLE AT SOME OTHER POINT. HERE'S THE THING.
6 IF THIS BOARD WOULD MAKE THAT AVAILABLE --

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** HERE'S THE THING. YOUR TIME IS UP.

9

10 **ERIC PREVEN:** DO YOU WANT ME TO FINISH?

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NOPE, I DON'T. MR. SACHS?

13

14 **ARNOLD SACHS:** YES, THANK YOU, GOOD MORNING. I HELD ITEM 15,
15 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WANTS TO DO A TASKFORCE FOR SEX SLAVE
16 TRACKING. SO I'M WONDERING WOULD THIS BE A LA THE JAIL
17 VIOLENCE COMMISSION? WILL WHEN YOU DO THIS TASKFORCE THEY
18 INCLUDE THE COST OF ANY CHANGES THEY WOULD ADVOCATE FOR? AND
19 WHO WILL THE EMPANELED MEMBERS OF THIS TASKFORCE BE? AND JUST
20 OUT OF CURIOSITY, WHO WOKE THEM UP? I MEAN, CHILD SEX SLAVE
21 TRANSIT AND THAT BUSINESS HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR YEARS NOW. AND
22 IT'S ONLY BEEN GETTING WORSE. ONLY BEEN GETTING WORSE. SO WHY
23 ALL OF A SUDDEN THE MOVEMENT BY THE SUPERVISOR TO BRING THIS
24 FORWARD? WHY NOT SOMETHING DONE SOONER? WHAT WOULD BE SO
25 ENCOMPASSING -- OH, COME BACK TO THOSE PRESENTATIONS AGAIN.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND THE FACT, MAYBE, THAT THE PUBLIC IS EATING UP TIME TALKING
2 ABOUT ITEMS ON THE AGENDA THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM
3 DISCUSSING THIS, I DON'T KNOW, IN THE SUPERVISORIAL CHAMBERS
4 FOR THE PUBLIC TO GET AN IDEA. BUT THOSE THINGS HAPPEN. SO
5 MAYBE THAT'S WHAT IT IS. ITEM 22 IS THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY
6 PLAN UPDATE. AND SO I WAS JUST WONDERING. THE PREVIOUS PLAN?
7 HOW OLD WAS THAT? IS IT A TEN-YEAR PLAN? IS IT A FIVE-YEAR
8 PLAN? HOW FAR INTO THE FUTURE? DOES IT INCLUDE THE
9 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT'S NECESSARY FOR TRANSIT, FOR WATER, FOR
10 FIRE, FOR THE RESPONSES THAT WOULD BE INCURRED? OR WILL THAT
11 BE INCLUDED? TWENTY-THREE AND TWENTY-FOUR WERE HELD BY OTHER
12 SUPERVISORS, SO I DON'T KNOW IF WE GET A CHANCE TO SPEAK ON
13 THOSE OR NOT, I'M GOING TO TAKE A CHANCE AND SAY SINCE YOU
14 SAID LAST WEEK, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, THAT WE WOULD, I'M
15 GOING TO GO WITH THAT. AND I HELD ITEM 29, BOND FINANCING
16 BUDGET FORECAST. WILL THAT ALSO BE A DISCUSSION ITEM THAT
17 WE'LL GET A CHANCE TO HEAR OR TAKE PART IN AT THAT TIME? OR DO
18 I HAVE TO SPEAK ON THAT NOW?

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YOU'LL HAVE A CHANCE TO SPEAK ON
21 IT WHEN IT COMES UP.

22

23 **ARNOLD SACHS:** OKAY. THAT'S PRETTY MUCH IT. OH, I'M GOING TO,
24 23, 24 AND 29. SO BASICALLY IT'S A SHORT MORNING. HOW ABOUT
25 THAT?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** DR. CLAVREUL?

3

4 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

5 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. ON ITEM 23 AND 24, ON THE RANCHO LOS

6 AMIGOS, I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE WHOLE THING, ANYWAY. AND

7 HERE IS AN ITEM INSIDE THE DOCUMENT WHICH SAID THAT YOU

8 AUTHORIZED THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS OR DESIGNEE "TO

9 EXECUTE CONSULTANT SERVICE AGREEMENT TO PAY STIPENDS IN THE

10 AMOUNT OF 325,000 DOLLARS TO THE SECOND AND THIRD HIGHEST-

11 RANKED QUALIFIED PROPOSER" WHO DID NOT GET THE CONTRACT. SINCE

12 WHEN DO WE GIVE 325,000 DOLLARS TO COMPANY WHO DO NOT MEET THE

13 CRITERIA AND ARE NOT SELECTED? I MEAN THAT'S A PRETTY, YOU

14 KNOW, 325,000 DOLLARS, I AM AMAZED THAT ON PAGE 15 OF THE

15 CONTRACT, ITEM 8, I'D LIKE TO GET AN ANSWER TO THAT WHY WE ARE

16 PAYING 325,000 DOLLARS FOR PEOPLE WHO DON'T GET THE PROPOSAL.

17 AND ON RANCHO LOS AMIGOS, I MUST AGREE WITH THE SUPERVISOR

18 MOLINA. I WAS THERE DURING THE SIX YEARS SHE TRIED TO PUSH FOR

19 A BIGGER U.S.C. I'M STILL WAITING FOR THE HOSPITAL IN EL MONTE

20 TO BE CONSTRUCTED. I'M NOT OPPOSED TO INCREASE THE SIZE OF

21 RANCHO LOS AMIGOS BUT I THINK WE HAVE TO LOOK VERY CAREFULLY

22 WHAT'S GOING ON BECAUSE LIKE I SAID TO GIVE 325,000 DOLLARS

23 TWICE THAT'S ALMOST A MILLION DOLLARS FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE

24 FAILED. THAT'S A GREAT CONCERN. BUT MAYBE HE'S A FRIEND OF

25 YOURS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. ON ITEM 23, I HAVE EXPRESSED ON



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MULTIPLE TIMES MY CONCERN WITH CERNER. AND IT'S THE SAME ON
2 THAT CONTRACT. THAT'S AN 11-YEAR CONTRACT WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT
3 IT. THEY MENTION ABOUT TO EXECUTE CHANGER ORDER TO PURCHASE
4 OPTIMAL WORK. BUT THEY DON'T TELL YOU WOULD THEY GO TO
5 (INAUDIBLE) FOR OPTIMAL WORK OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. ON THE
6 28TH, WE HAVE SUCH AN EFFICIENT D.H.S. DEPARTMENT THEY HAVE TO
7 REPORT IT WAS NO REPORT POSTED. AND THEY POSTPONED THAT ITEM
8 TO NEXT WEEK. THE MATTER TO IMPLEMENT S.E.A. IS A MATTER OF
9 TIME. WE ALL KNOW THE TIME PRESSURE. AND NOT TO HAVE SOMETHING
10 PRESENTED TODAY IS PRETTY SAD. AND THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR
11 TODAY.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. LYNN PLAMBECK?

14

15 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** I HAVE A COPY OF THE BROWN ACT
16 VIOLATION THAT I HAVE SENT TODAY TO THE D.A.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. LYNN PLAMBECK.

19

20 **LYNN PLAMBECK:** MY NAME IS LYNN PLAMBECK. I'M HERE TO SPEAK ON
21 BEHALF OF SANTA CLARITA ORGANIZATION FOR PLANNING AND THE
22 ENVIRONMENT ON ITEM 22. I REQUEST THAT I BE ALLOWED TO SPEAK
23 ON ITEM 26 AND 27 SEPARATELY. I BELIEVE NOT TO ALLOW ME TO
24 SPEAK ON THOSE ITEMS IS A VIOLATION OF THE BROWN ACT. I'M HERE
25 TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT PROCESS. I'M INSULTED THAT AFTER HUNDREDS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OF HOURS OF VOLUNTEER WORK THAT I CANNOT EVEN BE CALLED TO THE
2 AGENDA ITEM AND LISTEN TO A STAFF REPORT OR WHATEVER IS GOING
3 TO BE PRESENTED BY THE COUNTY BEFORE I GIVE MY PRESENTATION. I
4 BELIEVE IT'S-

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WHICH ITEMS ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?

7

8 **LYNN PLAMBECK:** TWENTY-TWO.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** CAN I HAVE THAT CARD BACK, PLEASE?

11

12 **LYNN PLAMBECK:** APPROVAL OF THE COUNTY GENERAL PLAN UPDATE OR
13 AREA PLAN UPDATE. ITEM 26 IS THE ZONE CHANGE. AND ITEM 27 ARE
14 THE MAP CHANGES. AND I HAVE CORRESPONDENCE TO TURN IN IF
15 SOMEONE WOULD PLEASE TAKE THIS. AND IT WAS SENT TO EACH OF
16 YOUR OFFICES. AND TO THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TO MR. CIEPLIK,
17 AND I'D LIKE SOMEONE TO SIGN THAT THIS WAS RECEIVED. IF I
18 COULD GET THAT? COULD YOU SIGN THIS? SHALL I CONTINUE?

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YES.

21

22 **LYNN PLAMBECK:** I PARTICULARLY OBJECT TO THIS BEING HEARD AND
23 THE INFORMATION POSTED PRIOR TO A NATIONAL HOLIDAY. THIS PLAN
24 WAS THOUSANDS OF HOURS OF PUBLIC WORK. IT COULD HAVE WAITED
25 UNTIL PEOPLE COULD AT LEAST HAVE TIME TO REVIEW IT, ALTHOUGH



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MR. GLAZER DID SEND OUT NOTICES TO PEOPLE THAT COMMENTED.
2 OBVIOUSLY EVERYBODY WAS GOING AWAY FOR HOLIDAYS. IT'S
3 IMPOSSIBLE TO GET A BOARD TOGETHER TO COMMENT OR APPROVE
4 COMMENTS. IT'S REALLY DIFFICULT. IT SEEMS THAT THERE COULD
5 HAVE BEEN SOME CONSIDERATION. THE VERY FACT THAT IT WAS
6 HANDLED IN THAT MANNER MAKES US WONDER IF, IN FACT, THE
7 CHANGES WERE REALLY AS DE MINIMIS AS THEY WERE PROPOSED TO BE.
8 SO THAT'S MY BIG CONCERN. I WOULD LIKE TO AGAIN STATE THAT WE
9 FIND IT APPALLING THAT THIS PLAN WOULD BE APPROVED WITH
10 OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS FOR IMPACT TO GREENHOUSE GASES AND
11 CLIMATE CHANGE. THIS IS AN URGENT MATTER AT THIS POINT. AND TO
12 APPROVE A PLAN THAT REALLY DOESN'T ADDRESS TO THE FULLEST
13 EXTENT POSSIBLE AND BRING OUR GREENHOUSE GASES DOWN, IT'S NOT
14 ACCEPTABLE. WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO FUTURE GENERATIONS? AND HOW
15 CAN YOU MAKE STATEMENTS OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATION AND SAY
16 THAT THERE'S AN ECONOMIC BENEFIT TO HAVING US FACE SOCIAL
17 COLLAPSE DUE TO TEMPERATURE AND SEA RISE? I THINK THAT THERE'S
18 A REALITY THAT'S JUST NOT BEING FACED HERE. I WANT TO QUICKLY
19 SAY SOMETHING ABOUT --

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** TAKE ANOTHER MINUTE BECAUSE I
22 INTERRUPTED YOU EARLIER. SO GO AHEAD.

23

24 **LYNN PLAMBECK:** OKAY. I WOULD LIKE TO QUICKLY COMMENT ON THE
25 MAP ACT, ON THE MAP CHANGES. IT APPEARS THAT THE MAP DOESN'T



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FULLY ENCOMPASS THE SANTA CLARA RIVER S.E.A. AND THE PORTIONS
2 THAT ARE AT THE AREA WHERE IT IS WEST OF THE I-5 AND SOUTH OF
3 126. IT LOOKS LIKE THE AREA THAT WAS INCLUDED -- PROPOSED FOR
4 COUNTY COURTHOUSE THAT THE S.E.A. WAS REMOVED AND I'M JUST
5 WONDERING WHY THAT HAPPENED BECAUSE I DON'T BELIEVE ANYBODY
6 UNDERSTOOD THAT THAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN. AND THEN AS TO THE
7 HILLSIDE ORDINANCE, THE LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THE ACTUAL
8 ORDINANCE THAT TALKS ABOUT ADOPTING AREA-WIDE AND COMMUNITY-
9 SPECIFIC PLANS AND THEN ALLOWING THOSE PLANS TO NOT MEET THE
10 MAXIMUM OR THE DENSITY REQUIREMENTS WOULD SEEM TO BE
11 INCONSISTENT WITH THE GENERAL PLAN. AND I REALLY DON'T
12 UNDERSTAND HOW YOU CAN MAKE A CONSISTENCY FINDING UNDER THOSE
13 CIRCUMSTANCES. AND THAT'S WHAT I HAD TO SAY. AND I WOULD LIKE
14 TO HAVE ADDITIONAL TIME.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU.

17

18 **LYNN PLAMBECK:** I'LL BE JOINING IN FILING A BROWN ACT
19 COMPLAINT.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. WE HAVE NO OTHER PEOPLE WHO
22 HAVE ASKED TO BE HEARD ON THIS. MR. KNABE, YOU HAD HELD THE
23 ITEM 22, I BELIEVE. IS ACTUALLY LET'S TAKE THAT UP AFTER WE
24 GET THROUGH THE ADJOURNING MOTIONS. ALL RIGHT. I HAVE SEVERAL
25 ADJOURNING MOTIONS. FIRST I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE MEMORY OF ALEX SOTERAS WHO IS THE FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE
2 AGOURA/OAK PARK/CONEJO VALLEY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE WHO PASSED
3 AWAY THIS PAST WEEKEND AT THE AGE OF 51 AT HIS HOME IN
4 CALABASAS. A 1984 GRADUATE OF PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY, HE OWNED
5 A MORTGAGE AND RENTAL PROPERTY BUSINESS AND SERVED AS DIRECTOR
6 OF THE UNITED CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE OF THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY.
7 HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS FATHER SOL AND HIS SISTER NICOLE. I KNEW
8 ALEX. HE WAS VERY ACTIVE IN THE COMMUNITY OUT IN THE CONEJO
9 VALLEY AND A VERY GREGARIOUS GUY. AND THIS IS A REAL SAD AND
10 SHOCKING TURN OF EVENTS. I THINK ALL MEMBERS WOULD WANT TO
11 JOIN ON THAT. I ALSO WANT TO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF LARRY HAGMAN
12 LONGTIME RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT, TELEVISION AND FILM ACTOR
13 BEST KNOWN FOR HIS ROLES AS J.R. EWING AND IN "DALLAS" AND
14 MAJOR TONY NELSON IN "I DREAM OF JEANNIE." HE RECENTLY PASSED
15 AWAY AT THE AGE OF 81 AFTER A LONG BATTLE WITH CANCER. HE
16 BEGAN HIS ACTING CAREER IN 1950S IN VARIOUS STAGE PRODUCTIONS
17 AND SOON FOUND WORK IN TV SOAP OPERAS AND BIT PARTS BEFORE
18 WINNING THE LEAD IN "I DREAM OF JEANIE" AS THE ASTRONAUT WHO
19 CRASH LANDS ON AN ISLAND AND ACCIDENTALLY RELEASES A GENIE IN
20 THE FORM OF BARBARA EDEN. LATER HIS PRIME TIME LEAD ROLE AS
21 THE VILLAINOUS OIL BARON J.R. EWING PRODUCED ONE OF THE MOST
22 WATCHED TELEVISION EPISODES AS PEOPLE TUNED IN TO FIND OUT WHO
23 SHOT J.R. HIS LATER ROLES INCLUDED A TURN AS ART CARNEY'S
24 TROUBLED SON IN HARRY AND TONTO. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE
25 MADGE, DAUGHTER HEIDI, AND SON PRESTON. I GOT TO KNOW HIM A



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LITTLE BIT AFTER MY OWN DIAGNOSIS OF DIABETES. HE GAVE ME A
2 MOUTH FULL AND A COUPLE OF BOOKS ON THE LIVER, WHICH I WILL
3 ALWAYS TREASURE EVEN THOUGH I HAVE NOT FINISHED READING THEM.
4 BUT HE WAS A VERY ENGAGED AND INTERESTING GUY. AND I VERY MUCH
5 ENJOYED THE TIME I HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF SPENDING WITH HIM.
6 LASTLY I'D LIKE TO ASK WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF SHAWN "TEX"
7 KEVIN HAKANSSON, A LONGTIME RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT AND A
8 MINISTER IN SANTA MONICA WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 87.
9 SHAWN SERVED AS YOUTH MINISTER AT THE SANTA MONICA FIRST
10 CHRISTIAN CHURCH, THE SANTA BARBARA FIRST CHRISTIAN CHURCH AND
11 THE SANTA MONICA FIRST CHRISTIAN CHURCH. HE WAS THE MINISTER
12 OF THE SUNSET PARK CHRISTIAN CHURCH IN SANTA MONICA FOR MORE
13 THAN 30 YEARS. HE SERVED AS DIRECTOR AND COUNSELOR AT MANY
14 CHRISTIAN CHURCHES AND DISCIPLES OF CHRIST CAMPS AND
15 CONFERENCE ACTIVITIES. HE WAS ACTIVE IN THE LOCAL MASONIC
16 LODGE. HE WAS THE MINISTER FOR MANY YEARS AT THE LOCAL EASTERN
17 STAR HOME. HE WAS ACTIVE IN THE SANTA MONICA Y.M.C.A. AS A
18 MEMBER OF THE Y.'S MEN'S BREAKFAST CLUB AND A Y.M.C.A. BOARD
19 MEMBER. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 39 YEARS, SUSAN, TWO
20 STEP-SONS, KERRY MOORE AND SHAWN MOORE, A BROTHER EDGAR IRVIN
21 AND OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE. I
22 THINK I HELD A COUPLE OF ITEMS LET ME START WITH ITEM 16. I
23 DIDN'T HOLD IT BUT I HAD SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. IS MR.
24 RIDLEY-THOMAS BACK? I HELD IT THIS MORNING. I THINK SUPERVISOR
25 RIDLEY-THOMAS HAS MADE A COUPLE OF MODIFICATIONS TO THE MOTION



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WHICH I THINK SATISFIES MY CONCERNS FOR THE TIME BEING. AND SO
2 I'M NOT GOING TO RAISE ANYTHING FURTHER OTHER THAN TO ASK WHEN
3 THE REPORT BACK COMES FROM THE C.E.O., MR. FUJIOKA, IF YOU
4 COULD HEAR THIS, THAT WE ALSO GET A REPORT ON THE PROGRAM
5 "JUST IN REACH" PROGRAM AS YOU BRING IT BACK, I'D LIKE TO KNOW
6 HOW THAT PROGRAM IS DOING. AND ALSO I JUST HOPE THAT IF WELL,
7 WE'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT.

8

9 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** PERHAPS I CAN SPEAK TO THE ISSUE. THANK
10 YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. IN IS AN EFFORT TO TAP INTO AND PERHAPS
11 REPLICATE A SUCCESS -- THERE IS NOTHING PARTICULARLY NEW ABOUT
12 THE NOTION OF SOCIAL BONDS AS THEY ARE SOMETIMES REFERRED TO.
13 LET ME JUST PUT IT IN THIS LANGUAGE. IT SEEKS TO CREATE
14 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS, WHICH WE ALL TEND TO EMBRACE. BUT
15 IT DOES IT AMONG GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND SOCIAL SERVICE
16 PROVIDERS AND PHILANTHROPIC INVESTORS TO FUND EVIDENCE-BASED
17 SOCIAL PROGRAMS PARTICULARLY IN THE AREAS OF EDUCATION,
18 HEALTH, JUSTICE AND HUMAN SERVICES. THE MOTION SPEAKS TO THAT.
19 AND THESE ARE ALL THE AREAS THAT WE GIVE LEADERSHIP ON AND
20 ATTENTION TO. AS IT RELATES TO THE AMENDMENTS, WE SEEK TO MAKE
21 SURE THAT THE REPORT BACK INCLUDES FURTHER EXPLICATION OF THE
22 CONCEPTS EMBEDDED IN THE MOTION IN TERMS OF SOCIAL IMPACT
23 BONDS. BUT IT REALLY IS TRYING TO CREATE MULTI-STAKEHOLDER
24 PARTNERSHIPS THAT DO SO WITH AN EYE TOWARD INNOVATION AND
25 ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THE OUTCOMES THAT WE SEEK TO CELEBRATE IN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE VARIOUS AREAS THAT I ARTICULATED IN THE AREA OF HEALTH
2 CARE, IN THE AREA OF HUMAN SERVICES, IN THE AREA OF EDUCATION.
3 THESE ARE VERY, VERY IMPORTANT. WE ALL KNOW THAT. WE ALL
4 APPRECIATE THAT. THE MOTION HAS BEEN AMENDED ACCORDINGLY AND
5 IT SHOULD BE IN FRONT OF YOU. I MAKE REFERENCE TO THE
6 HIGHLIGHTS IN RED IN ADDITION TO THE SUGGESTION FROM THE
7 CHAIRMAN TO EXPAND EXPLANATION OF THE CONCEPTS. WITH THAT, I
8 THINK WE ARE ON OUR WAY TO DOING SOMETHING THAT IS VERY, VERY
9 GOOD, VERY, VERY PROMISING, AND WE HAVE A PRIVATE SECTOR
10 INVESTORS WAITING TO COLLABORATE WITH US. WE SIMPLY WANT TO
11 MAKE SURE THAT IT'S DONE PROPERLY. SO I ACCEPT THE AMENDMENTS
12 THAT HAVE BEEN PUT BEFORE US AND WOULD CALL FOR A UNANIMOUS
13 VOTE OF THE ITEM THAT'S BEFORE US, NUMBER 16.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. LET ME JUST ASK ONE
16 QUESTION, MARK. MY UNDERSTANDING FROM WHAT YOUR STAFF HAS
17 COMMUNICATED TO MY STAFF IS THAT THERE WOULD BE NO EXPECTATION
18 ON WHATEVER YOU CALL THE SOCIAL IMPACT BONDS OR WHATEVER THE
19 INSTRUMENT IS TO PAY BACK, FOR THE COUNTY TO PAY BACK ANY OF
20 THE FUNDS THAT ARE ADVANCED OR FUNDED BY A THIRD-PARTY OR A
21 FOUNDATION OR WHOEVER IT MAY BE. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING?

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** YES, IT IS. AND THE OTHER POINT TO BE
24 MADE, MR. CHAIRMAN, SO THAT WE ALL HAVE A SUFFICIENT LEVEL OF
25 COMFORT WHEN THE REPORT BACK COMES, IT WOULD SEEM TO ME TO BE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 APPROPRIATE TO HAVE THAT SPECIFIC POINT ADDRESSED. AND ANY
2 OTHER QUESTIONS.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** C.E.O. GET THAT? ALL RIGHT. I HAVE
5 NO PROBLEM. SO ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? WE HAVE ONE PERSON WHO
6 ASKED TO BE HEARD ON THIS ITEM FROM THE PUBLIC. KIM KEATON?
7 MISS KEATON? ARE YOU A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC OR STAFF PERSON?
8 YOU'VE ENTERED THE HOLY OF HOLIES HERE.

9

10 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** WHERE WOULD YOU WISH TO BE? HERE OR THERE?

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WE NEED A COMPLETE AUDIT OF OUR
13 SECURITY PROCEDURES, SHERIFF. YEAH, SHE'S A MEMBER OF THE
14 PUBLIC, THAT'S ALL. QUASI-PUBLIC.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY AND THINK
17 YOU'LL APPRECIATE THAT THAT'S PROBABLY THE SAFEST OF THE THREE
18 OPTIONS THAT YOU HAD TO CHOOSE FROM, HERE, THERE OR THERE. YOU
19 HAVE THE BEST, I SEE.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** GO AHEAD, MISS KEATON.

22

23 **KIM KEATON:** THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME THE TIME TO SPEAK TODAY.
24 I'M A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC ALSO WITH THE CORPORATION FOR
25 SUPPORTIVE HOUSING WHICH HAS BEEN WORKING WITH THE "JUST IN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REACH" PROGRAM FOR A FEW YEARS AND ALSO HAS BEEN WORKING WITH
2 THIRD SECTOR CAPITAL PARTNERS ON DEVELOPING A PAPER SUCCESS
3 MODEL OR A SOCIAL IMPACT BOND MODEL FOR L.A. COUNTY. PAY FOR
4 SUCCESS IS A NEW FORM OF GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE-BASED
5 CONTRACTING THAT ALLOWS FOR GOVERNMENT TO PAY ONLY FOR
6 RESULTS. IN L.A.'S CURRENT BUDGET CLIMATE, IT'S IMPERATIVE
7 THAT THE COUNTY EXPLORE HOW DIFFERENT FUNDING MECHANISMS CAN
8 HELP THE COUNTY ACHIEVE BETTER HUMAN OUTCOMES FOR LOWER COSTS.
9 UNDER PAY FOR SUCCESS CONSTRUCT, GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS ARE
10 CONTINGENT UPON ACHIEVING RIGOROUSLY MEASURED SOCIAL OUTCOMES.
11 PRIVATE INVESTORS, PHILANTHROPISTS OR IMPACT INVESTORS ABSORB
12 THE UP FRONT FINANCIAL RISK. REINVESTMENT INTO THE PROGRAM
13 OCCURS ONLY WHEN SOCIAL OUTCOMES, SUCH AS REDUCED
14 INCARCERATIONS, AND HOUSING STABILITY, ARE ACHIEVED. WHILE THE
15 PAPER'S SUCCESS MODEL OR SOCIAL IMPACT BONDS OR WHATEVER YOU
16 WANT TO CALL THEM MAY BE NEW TO L.A. COUNTY, THE JUST IN REACH
17 INTERVENTION AND SUPPORTIVE HOUSING ARE NOT NEW AT ALL. WE
18 KNOW THAT THE CURRENT JUST IN REACH PROGRAM HAS ACHIEVED GREAT
19 OUTCOMES BY AMONG OTHER THINGS REDUCING JAIL RECIDIVISM AMONG
20 PARTICIPANTS. WE ALSO KNOW THAT THE JUST IN REACH TARGET
21 POPULATION, WHICH ARE THE HARDEST TO SERVE, HOMELESS REPEAT
22 OFFENDERS WHO THE LARGE MAJORITY OF WHOM HAVE MENTAL ILLNESS
23 AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE ISSUES ARE THE MOST EXPENSIVE CONSUMERS OF
24 COUNTY SERVICES DUE TO THEIR MYRIAD HEALTH AND SOCIAL ISSUES.
25 FINALLY, WE KNOW THAT HOUSING WORKS, SUPPORTIVE HOUSING



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SPECIFICALLY IS A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED EVIDENCE-BASED
2 INTERVENTION FOR HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS WITH COMPLEX NEEDS THAT
3 HAS BEEN PROVEN TIME AND AGAIN, BOTH HERE IN L.A. AND ALL OVER
4 THE COUNTRY TO BE CHEAPER AND MORE EFFECTIVE THAN THE COST OF
5 DOING BUSINESS AS USUAL OR JUST TREATING PROBLEMS AND NOT
6 OFFERING SOLUTIONS. FROM A LARGER PERSPECTIVE, THE PAY FOR
7 SUCCESS SOCIAL IMPACT BOND CONCEPT HAS GAINED NATIONAL
8 ATTENTION AT THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND THE OFFICE OF
9 SOCIAL INNOVATION UNDER PRESIDENT OBAMA. AND IS ALREADY BEING
10 IMPLEMENTED IN THE STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS, NEW YORK CITY AND
11 NEW YORK STATE, MINNESOTA AND CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO. WE
12 BELIEVE THAT L.A. COUNTY HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE PART OF THIS
13 ELITE GROUP THAT'S AT THE FOREFRONT OF CHANGING HOW
14 GOVERNMENTS FINANCE PREVENTATIVE SOCIAL SERVICES BY ONLY
15 PAYING FOR WHAT WORKS. THANK YOU.

16
17 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT SUCCINCTLY SUMMARIZES
18 THE SENTIMENT THAT I THINK WE ALL WISH TO IDENTIFY WHAT THIS
19 IS ABOUT: INNOVATION WITH ACCOUNTABILITY. AND THE EXTENT TO
20 WHICH WE HAVE A NEW WAY OF SPEAKING THE LANGUAGE OF PUBLIC-
21 PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS THAT GET US CLOSER TO FULFILLING OUR
22 MISSION, I THINK WE ALL AGREE THAT THAT'S A GOOD THING. I
23 WOULD SO MOVE.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, AS
2 AMENDED. ALONG WITH THE VERBAL AMENDMENTS WITH THE REPORTS
3 BACK THAT WE'LL GET WITH THE C.E.O., UNANIMOUS VOTE.

4

5 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THANK YOU.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ITEM 18? SOMEBODY IS HOLDING THAT
8 ONE, TOO. I THINK MR. ANTONOVICH, YOU HAVE AN AMENDING MOTION
9 ON THIS? I DO, TOO. WE HAVE SEVERAL PEOPLE WHO WISH TO BE
10 HEARD.

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO
13 PROCEED ON THIS IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT I AUTHORED THIS
14 EFFORT?

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THIS IS YOUR MOTION, WOULD YOU
17 LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC FIRST?

18

19 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THAT'S FINE.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** LET ME ASK LISA PAYNE, RUTH
22 SCHWARTZ, AND JESUS HERNANDEZ TO COME FORWARD.

23

24 **RUTH SCHWARTZ:** THANK YOU, BOARD MEMBERS. I WANT TO THANK
25 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS FOR THIS MOTION. IT'S JUST BEEN THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LAST SEVEN YEARS THAT THE COUNTY'S ACTUALLY BEEN PLAYING A
2 MAJOR ROLE IN ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS. AND I THINK THIS MOTION
3 WOULD HELP US CONTINUE THAT MOMENTUM. THIS WOULD BE ESPECIALLY
4 IMPORTANT ON THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS
5 BECAUSE WE'VE REALLY HAD A DEMISE OF REDEVELOPMENT THIS LAST
6 YEAR. WE'VE HAD 40 PERCENT CUTS IN THE HOUSING BUDGET FOR THE
7 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT UNDER THE HOME PROGRAM. AND WE'RE NEARLY
8 EXHAUSTED OUR BOND AUTHORITY IN THE STATE HOUSING PROGRAM. SO
9 THIS IS A CRITICAL MOTION. I HOPE THAT YOU WILL PUT THE VAST
10 MAJORITY OF FUNDING INTO AFFORDABLE HOUSING SPECIAL NEEDS
11 PROGRAMS AND THOSE PROGRAMS THAT YOU HAVE DEMONSTRATED ARE
12 SUCCESSFUL IN ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS AND ENDLING HOMELESSNESS
13 IN L.A. COUNTY. THANK YOU.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, RUTH.

16

17 **LISA PAYNE:** AND I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW, JESUS HERNANDEZ
18 HAD TO LEAVE.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YOU'RE LISA PAYNE?

21

22 **LISA PAYNE:** YES. I'M LISA PAYNE. I'M THE POLICY DIRECTOR AT
23 THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF NONPROFIT HOUSING.
24 WE'RE A MEMBERSHIP ORGANIZATION OF NONPROFIT COMMUNITY
25 DEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS IN THE FIVE-COUNTY SOUTHERN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CALIFORNIA REGION. OUR MEMBERS BUILD APARTMENTS AFFORDABLE TO
2 HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS, SENIORS AND FAMILIES MAKING UP TO ABOUT
3 45,000 DOLLARS AND FOR SALE HOMES FOR FAMILIES MAKING UP TO
4 ABOUT 75,000 DOLLARS PER YEAR. FIRST THANKS THE BOARD OF
5 SUPERVISORS FOR THE 11 MILLION DOLLAR NOFA THAT'S CURRENTLY
6 OUT. AND WE STRONGLY SUPPORT THE MOTION BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-
7 THOMAS TO DEVELOP A FRAMEWORK FOR AN ONGOING FUND FOR
8 AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AND OTHER TYPES OF ECONOMIC
9 DEVELOPMENT. AS YOU ALL KNOW, DECENT, SAFE, STABLE, WELL-
10 MANAGED, AFFORDABLE HOUSING HELPS KIDS DO BETTER IN SCHOOL,
11 REDUCES THE BURDEN ON THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM, THE COUNTY'S, AS
12 WELL, LETS SENIORS AGE IN DIGNITY, BEAUTIFIES BLIGHTED AREAS
13 AND PROVIDE GOOD- PAYING CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER JOBS TO THE
14 LOCAL COMMUNITY. OBVIOUSLY THERE'S A HUGE NEED FOR AFFORDABLE
15 HOUSING IN THE COUNTY. AND AS RUTH SCHWARTZ JUST TESTIFIED, WE
16 KNOW YOU GUYS HAVE BEEN TRYING TO ADDRESS THAT. THERE ARE OVER
17 50,000 HOMELESS PEOPLE EACH AT THE COUNTY, 9,000 OF WHOM ARE
18 VETERANS AND MORE THAN 16,600 ARE SENIORS OR 55 OR OLDER.
19 THERE ARE ALSO HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF FAMILIES IN THE COUNTY
20 IN OVERCROWDED HOUSING AND/OR PAYING MORE THAN 50 PERCENT OF
21 THEIR INCOME IN RENT. SO ANOTHER THING THAT MAKES THE NEED FOR
22 AFFORDABLE HOUSING ESPECIALLY COMPELLING IS THE MEASURE R
23 BUILD OUT THAT WILL CHANGE THE LAND USE IN THE COUNTY OF L.A.
24 EQUIVALENT TO THE LAND USE CHANGE AROUND THE FREEWAYS SEVERAL
25 YEARS EARLIER. WHILE WE APPLAUD THIS, WE ARE COGNIZANT THAT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MANY STUDIES ARE SHOWING THAT WITHOUT MAKING SURE THAT THE
2 CORE TRANSIT RIDERS CAN ACTUALLY LIVE AROUND THE TRANSIT
3 STOPS, THE REDUCTIONS IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, THE
4 REDUCTIONS IN TRAFFIC, AND THE OTHER BENEFITS WE EXPECT WILL
5 NOT BE REALIZED. AS YOU ALL KNOW, WE'VE SUFFERED HUGE CUTS IN
6 THE FUNDS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. SO WHILE THERE'S THIS GREAT
7 NEED AT THIS TIME IN L.A. COUNTY, WE DON'T HAVE THE MEANS TO
8 DO IT RIGHT NOW WITH THE DISSOLUTION OF R.D.A.S WITH THE STATE
9 BOND MONEY BEING SPENT AND WITH THE FEDERAL FUNDS HAVING BEEN
10 CUT IN HALF. SO THIS FUND WOULD REALLY HELP US BUILD THE KIND
11 MUCH COUNTY WE WANT TO HAVE. THANK YOU.

12
13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-
14 THOMAS?

15
16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE GOOD NEWS IS
17 THAT THOSE WHO HAVE APPEARED TO TESTIFY ACKNOWLEDGE THE WORK
18 THAT THE BOARD HAS UNANIMOUSLY EMBRACED WITH RESPECT TO
19 AFFORDABLE HOUSING. IT IS AN AGENDA OF CONSEQUENCE IN THE
20 COUNTY, AND WE ALL KNOW AND AGREE THAT IT IS. WE WISH TO LOOK
21 AT THE DISSOLUTION OF REDEVELOPMENT FROM A RATIONAL VANTAGE
22 POINT, ESSENTIALLY MAKING THE CONCERN THAT A FRAMEWORK FOR
23 INVESTMENT OF PUBLIC DOLLARS IS THE ORDER OF THE DAY. THAT'S
24 WHY WE EMPHASIZE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.
25 THE MOTION SETS FORTH SEVERAL AREAS, WHICH INCLUDE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT, TO SUPPORT INTERAGENCY
2 COLLABORATION, SETS FORTH THE CONCEPT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3 AND BLIGHT MITIGATION IN OUR RESPECTIVE DISTRICTS AND SETS
4 FORTH THE NOTION OF SUPPORT FOR SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FOR
5 REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, FOR TRANSIT-ORIENTED
6 DEVELOPMENT, FOR LOCAL BIOTECH ENTERPRISES THAT WOULD
7 EFFECTIVELY IMPACT ALL PARTS OF THE DISTRICTS, PARTICULARLY
8 OUR EFFECTIVE DISTRICTS, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES TO OUR
9 MEDICAL CAMPUSES AND HEALTH INSTITUTIONS FROM DISTRICT 1
10 THROUGH DISTRICT 5. AND IT TAKES INTO CONSIDERATION GENERAL
11 COUNTY OPERATIONS. IT IS TO BE APPRECIATED THAT THE
12 REFINEMENTS IN THE FRAMEWORK ARE IN PART A RESULT OF THE
13 FEEDBACK FROM THE RESPECTIVE POINTS OF INTEREST FROM THE BOARD
14 OFFICES. I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE A FEW AMENDING MOTIONS,
15 ONE OF WHICH SPEAKS TO THE ISSUE OF THE GENERAL FUND NOTE,
16 POINT NUMBER 8 ON THE FRAMEWORK IS, IN FACT, TO PAY ATTENTION
17 TO OUR FUNDING OF GENERAL FUND OPERATIONS. VERY IMPORTANT THAT
18 WE DO THIS IN A WAY THAT WE HAVE BUILT CONSENSUS THAT IS
19 RATIONAL, THAT IS COLLABORATIVE. AND I AM ABSOLUTELY
20 CONFIDENT, MR. CHAIRMAN AND COLLEAGUES, THAT THE GOOD THAT WE
21 HAVE DONE CAN BE MAGNIFIED BY PROCEEDING FORWARD WITH A MOTION
22 SUCH AS THIS. AND I RESPECTFULLY ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT OF THE
23 SAME.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? OKAY.
2 YOU DON'T HAVE AN AMENDMENT? 18, YES, YEAH, OKAY. LET ME JUST
3 SPEAK TO THIS GENERAL FUND ISSUE. MY AMENDMENT IS -- AND I
4 WANT TO CALL IT OUT AS A HIGH PRIORITY ITEM FOR US BECAUSE I
5 THINK GIVEN THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WE ARE FACING, WITH THE
6 ALHAMBRA CASE AND SOME OTHER ISSUES THAT ARE LINGERING OUT
7 THERE, BASED ON WHAT I'VE BEEN ADVISED BY THE C.E.O. AND HIS
8 STAFF AND COMMON SENSE IS THAT BEFORE WE RUN THROUGH THE
9 REDEVELOPMENT FUNDS THAT CAME TO US TO THE COUNTY GENERAL
10 FUND, THAT WE BETTER BE DARN SURE THAT WE'VE GOT ALL OF OUR
11 OTHER OBLIGATIONS COVERED. SOME OF US BELIEVE THERE IS
12 SOMEWHAT OF A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION IN THIS STATE AND IN THIS
13 COMMUNITY ABOUT THE WHOLE REDEVELOPMENT THE WAY REDEVELOPMENT
14 WAS DONE. AND SOME BELIEVE THAT REDEVELOPMENT AS A CONCEPT
15 WENT FAR BEYOND WHAT IT WAS ORIGINALLY CONTEMPLATED TO DO AND
16 SIPHONED OFF SERIOUS MONEY FROM THE COUNTIES AND THE SCHOOL
17 DISTRICTS OF THIS STATE AND ESPECIALLY THIS COUNTY. SO, WE
18 HAVE BEEN OPERATING AT SOMEWHAT OF A DISADVANTAGE OVER THE
19 LAST 30 OR 40 YEARS AS A COUNTY AS MONEY WAS DIVERTED TO
20 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD HAVE COME TO THE
21 COUNTY GENERAL FUND. WE'VE MANAGED DESPITE THAT. BUT IT'S
22 INCREASINGLY DIFFICULT TO DO IT. SO I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THIS
23 MOTION BECAUSE IT'S A REPORT BACK AND IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
24 THE C.E.O. AND THE REST OF US TO ENGAGE IN THIS ISSUE. BUT
25 IT'S NOT AN ENDORSEMENT OF THIS OR ANY OTHER PLAN AT THIS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 POINT OF HOW TO DISPOSE OF THE GENERAL FUND, I'M SORRY, THE
2 REDEVELOPMENT FUNDS, OTHER THAN TO LEAVE THEM IN THE GENERAL
3 FUND AT THIS POINT TO COVER SOME OF OUR OBLIGATIONS. AND THEY
4 WON'T EVEN COVER ALL OF OUR OBLIGATIONS. IF WE ARE ABLE TO
5 COVER OUR OBLIGATIONS, THEN I THINK THIS FRAMEWORK, AMONG
6 OTHERS, IS WORTHY OF CONSIDERATION. SO THAT'S WHY I'M MAKING
7 THIS AMENDMENT. THAT'S THE PREAMBLE TO THIS AMENDMENT, WHICH
8 IS A ONE-SENTENCE AMENDMENT. "I THEREFORE MOVE NUMBER 18 BE
9 AMENDED TO DIRECT THE C.E.O. THAT, IN PREPARING THE REPORT
10 BACK, HE CONSIDER THE PROPOSED AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND ECONOMIC
11 DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE COUNTY'S
12 GENERAL FUND NEEDS, THOUGH EXPECT YOU TO BE STRAIGHT UP,
13 HONEST AND BRUTALLY FRANK WITH US WHEN YOU COME BACK ABOUT
14 WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE AND WHAT THE RISK-REWARD RATIO IS ON ALL
15 THIS STUFF.

16
17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MR. CHAIRMAN --

18
19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT AMENDMENT
20 WILL BE INCORPORATED.

21
22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** THE AMENDMENT WAS TO "ANALYSIS REPORTING ON
23 ONE-TIME AND ONGOING REVENUE GENERATED AS A RESULT OF THE
24 COUNTY OF THE REDEVELOPMENT DISSOLUTION."



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** RIGHT, WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT
2 WILL BE ACCEPTED BY THE AUTHOR AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT. SO WE
3 HAVE THE ITEM BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? IS NO
4 OTHER DISCUSSION, THEN RIDLEY-THOMAS MOVES, KNABE SECONDS.
5 WITHOUT OBJECTION, AS AMENDED, IT IS APPROVED. OKAY. ITEM 20.
6 I WAS HOLDING ITEM 20. COULD WE HAVE THE SHERIFF'S -- WHOEVER
7 IS THE RESPONSIBLE STAFF PERSON ON THIS COME FORWARD? OKAY.
8 AND WHILE THEY'RE COMING UP. LET ME CALL UP DANIEL JONES AND
9 JOHN WALSH FOR PUBLIC TESTIMONY ON THIS. DANIEL JONES?

10

11 **DANIEL JONES:** SIR, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YOU'RE ON.

14

15 **DANIEL JONES:** COULD I ASK QUESTIONS TO THESE PEOPLE?

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NO. YOU'RE TESTIFYING BEFORE THE
18 BOARD.

19

20 **DANIEL JONES:** I DIDN'T SWEAR IN OR ANYTHING.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NO, YOU DON'T NEED TO BE SWORN IN.

23

24 **DANIEL JONES:** THIS IS JUST HEARSAY THEN OR IS IT TESTIMONY?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WHAT DID YOU WANT TO SPEAK ON?

2

3 **DANIEL JONES:** I WANTED TO SPEAK ON THE FACT OF WHAT'S THIS

4 MULTIMODALITY, MODAL BIOMETRIC IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM FOR THE

5 INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT? WHAT IS THAT?

6 ARE YOU GOING TO DO SOME MORE SPECIAL TESTING ON HUMAN BEINGS

7 SO YOU CAN IDENTIFY US ALL OVER THE PLANET? ARE YOU GOING TO

8 USE FACIAL RECOGNITION SYSTEMS? ARE YOU GOING TO USE

9 DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FUNDS TO DO ALL THIS? ARE YOU

10 GOING TO MAKE THIS INTO A NAZI STATE?

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I'LL HAVE THEM ADDRESS YOUR

13 QUESTIONS WHEN YOU'RE DONE.

14

15 **DANIEL JONES:** I THOUGHT I COULDN'T ASK ANY.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I'LL ASK THEM TO ADDRESS THOSE

18 QUESTIONS. NOT NOW, WHEN YOU'RE DONE.

19

20 **DANILE JONES:** OH WITH MY TIME.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YEAH, YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES.

23

24 **DANIEL JONES:** THIS IS AN -- HE GOT LUCKY BECAUSE I WAS GOING

25 TO GO TO THE POLICE COMMISSION TODAY AND THEY WERE CLOSED AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WENT TO CITY HALL AND THEY'RE NOT THERE. AND NOW I RUN ACROSS
2 THIS. GUESS WHAT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU DON'T NEED TO KNOW
3 WHO I AM. YOU ALREADY KNOW WHO THAT IS, DON'T YOU? EVERYBODY
4 IN THE STATE DOES BY NOW. THERE'S A REASON FOR THAT.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

7

8 **DANIEL JONES:** I'M NOT FINISHED. THANK YOU. I'M HOLDING FOR
9 EFFECT. THE EFFECT IS THAT WELL THERE'S A LOT OF ISSUES THAT
10 WE THE PEOPLE ARE NOT GOING TO PUT UP WITH IT ANYMORE. YOU SEE
11 I HAVE A DISTINCT HONOR OF HAVING FAMILY TRADITIONS THAT GO
12 BACK TO THE BEGINNING OF THIS STATE. GROUP OF PEOPLE GOT
13 TOGETHER AND THEY HAD AN ASSOCIATION. IT'S CALLED THE
14 CALIFORNIA STATE CONSTITUTION IN SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA. THEY
15 DREW THE LINE, THEY CREATED THE LAW, AND MADE THE STATE
16 RESPONSIBLE FOR IT. AND HOW THEY MADE SURE THAT IS GOING TO
17 HAPPEN TODAY YOU MIGHT CALL IT SLEEPER CELLS. MY PARENTS
18 CALLED IT THEIR CHILDREN. AND YOUR CHILDREN ARE HERE TODAY. MY
19 PARENTS AREN'T. MY PARENTS MADE SURE THAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN
20 AND IT HAS. AND I'M GOING TO TELL EVERY LAW ENFORCEMENT
21 OFFICER, EVERY PERSON WHO HAS RESTRICT IDENTIFICATION ON THEIR
22 DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND THEIR HOME ADDRESS, MY MOM'S BRIDGE
23 PARTNER FOR 40 YEARS WAS A REGIONAL PERSON FOR THE DEPARTMENT
24 OF MOTOR VEHICLES. EVERY YEAR 48 FAMILIES WHO SIGNED THAT
25 CONSTITUTION GOT A COPY OF THE RESTRICTED ADDRESSES IN THIS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 STATE. MY PARENTS KNEW WHAT THE POLICE STATE WAS AND WE'RE
2 GOING TO STOP YOU RIGHT AT YOUR THRESHOLD IF WE HAVE TO. I
3 DON'T WANT TO LET IT GET HERE. I'M THE SANE, CALM, COOL, AND
4 COLLECTED ONE IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN MY MORAL STANDARDS, HIGH
5 MORAL GROUNDS, I HAD TO COME IN AND TELL YOU FIRST. I'LL BE
6 BACK FOR GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. MR. WALSH?

9

10 **JOHN WALSH:** JOHN WALSH BLOGGING AT HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG, SEE
11 WHO IS GETTING A PIE IN THEIR FACE RIGHT HERE. CONCERNING THE
12 SHERIFFS, I THINK THEY'VE DONE AN EXCELLENT JOB. THEY HAD A
13 DIRTY SHERIFF. A WOMAN WHO WAS A HIGH-RANKING WOMAN IN THE
14 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. AND THE SHERIFFS CAUGHT HER ON THE PHONE
15 AGAIN AND AGAIN WIRE TAP GIVING INFORMATION TO A RELATIVE, AN
16 IN-LAW OF HERS WHO WAS A MAJOR DRUG DEALER. WONDERFUL JOB.
17 WONDERFUL JOB. YOU CAUGHT HER. SHE WENT, OF COURSE, ON PAID
18 LEAVE. SHE'S STILL ON PAID LEAVE. SHE HAS LAWYERS. AND HERE'S
19 THE BEST PART. MR. COOLEY DROPPED THE CHARGES BECAUSE HE
20 COULDN'T FIGURE OUT WHY -- HE COULDN'T PROVE INTENTION. HE
21 COULDN'T PROVE THAT WHEN SHE CALLED UP, THEY SET UP A PHONY
22 STING AND TOLD THE HIGH RANKING SHERIFF WOMAN THAT A DRUG
23 SWEEP WAS GOING TO HAPPEN IN A CERTAIN SPOT. IT WAS A PHONY.
24 SHE CALLS UP HER FRIEND, TELLS HIM, AND MR. COOLEY SAYS
25 "THAT'S NOT INTENTION." I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW -- AND I WANT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ALL THE DIRTY COPS IN L.A. TO KNOW: YOU CAN GET CAUGHT ON THE
2 PHONE TALKING TO YOUR DOPE CONNECTIONS, TALKING TO THE GANGS,
3 AND MR. COOLEY AND NOW HIS PROTEGE THAT'S COMING IN WILL NOT
4 PROSECUTE YOU. BE A DIRTY COP. IT WON'T HURT. YOU WILL NEVER,
5 NEVER BE CAUGHT. AND IF YOU'RE CAUGHT, THE D.A., NO WONDER WE
6 DIDN'T VOTE HIM ATTORNEY GENERAL. THANK YOU.

7 HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. DO YOU WANT TO GIVE A
10 SUCCINCT REPORT? OR JUST HERE FOR QUESTIONS?

11

12 **SPEAKER:** WE'RE JUST HERE FOR QUESTIONS, SUPERVISOR.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** LET ME ASK YOU. THIS ACTION IS
15 AUTHORIZING AN R.F.P. TO BE ISSUED, CORRECT?

16

17 **SPEAKER:** YES. THIS PARTICULAR ACTION TODAY IS TO AWARD A
18 CONTRACT FOR A CONSULTANT TO ASSIST THE COUNTY IN CREATING AN
19 R.F.P. FOR A NEW SYSTEM, YES.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I STAND CORRECTED. THAT'S CORRECT.
22 SO WHAT IS THE SCHEDULE FOR GETTING THE R.F.P. OUT? GIVE ME A
23 TIMELINE. YOU MUST HAVE SOME IDEA AT THIS POINT.

24

25 **SPEAKER:** I COULD GIVE YOU A PERSONAL TIMELINE.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NO. I WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE
3 OFFICIAL DEPARTMENTAL TIMELINE. WE'RE GOING APPROVE THIS
4 TODAY. YOU WILL HIRE A CONSULTANT. WHEN DO WE EXPECT TO SEE AN
5 R.F.P. OUT ON THE STREET? JUST ANSWER MY QUESTION, THANK YOU.

6

7 **SPEAKER:** SURE. I EXPECT THIS PARTICULAR R.F.P., BECAUSE WHAT
8 WE'RE DOING IS WITH THIS CONSULTANT, WE'RE REVIEWING THREE
9 R.F.P.S OF A SIMILAR NATURE THAT HAVE BEEN DONE IN OTHER
10 COUNTIES. I STAND CORRECTED. FOUR R.F.P.S, EXISTING R.F.P.S
11 THAT WILL PROBABLY GET THIS THING ON THE STREET SOMEWHERE
12 AROUND JUNE OF 2013. I EXPECT THERE WILL BE ABOUT A TWO-MONTH
13 PERIOD FOR THE VENDORS TO RESPOND. THERE WILL LIKELY BE
14 PROTESTS. AND SO I WOULD EXPECT ABOUT A TWO TO FOUR-MONTH
15 PROCESS OF THE NEGOTIATIONS, WHICH TAKES US OUT TO ABOUT
16 OCTOBER, END OF OCTOBER OF 2013. AND THEN WE'RE ALSO HAVING
17 THE CONSULTANT THAT WE'RE HIRING WORK WITH US THROUGH THOSE
18 PROTESTS AS WELL AS TO WORK ON THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN. SO I'D
19 EXPECT THAT THE FIRST OF THE YEAR IN 2014 WE'LL HAVE AN
20 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN. AND WE WILL BE LOOKING AT GOING THROUGH
21 SOLICITATIONS FOR THE APPROPRIATE HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE WITH
22 THE AWARDED VENDOR. POTENTIALLY, DEPENDING UPON WHO WINS THE
23 CONTRACT, COULD BE A CONVERSION FROM ONE SET OF HARDWARE TO
24 ANOTHER. WE WON'T KNOW THAT UNTIL WE ACTUALLY HAVE ALL THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BIDS IN AND THE COUNTY SELECTS A WINNING VENDOR. SO THAT IS MY
2 BEST ESTIMATE OF WHAT THE TIMELINE WOULD BE.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SO THE TIMELINE FOR WHEN YOU WILL
5 HAVE AN AWARD TO A WINNING VENDOR IS OCTOBER?

6

7 **SPEAKER:** YES. OF 2013.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SO ORIGINALLY YOU FOLKS WANTED TO
10 GO FOR A SOLE SOURCE ON THIS?

11

12 **SPEAKER:** SUPERVISOR, ORIGINALLY I WAS NOT INVOLVED. THIS
13 INFORMATION SYSTEMS ADVISORY --

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I DON'T MEAN YOU, PERSONALLY, I
16 MEAN THE DEPARTMENT.

17

18 **SPEAKER:** THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THERE IS SOME HISTORY ABOUT
19 GOING FOR A SOLE SOURCE. DECISIONS WERE MADE. (INAUDIBLE) WAS
20 REQUESTED TO ASSIST THE SHERIFF AND ALL OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT
21 COMMUNITY IN GETTING A MULTIAGENCY, MULTIMODAL SYSTEM. AND
22 THAT PROMPTED US TO PUT TOGETHER AN R.F.P. IN OCTOBER OF THIS
23 YEAR. AND THE MOTION BEFORE YOU TODAY IS TO AWARD THAT
24 PARTICULAR CONSULTANT TO ASSIST US IN GETTING AN ACTUAL R.F.P.
25 OUT. I DON'T KNOW A LOT ABOUT THE HISTORY OTHER THAN THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CURRENT SYSTEM HAS BEEN WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT SINCE
2 2002. AND THAT WE'RE CURRENTLY ON A THREE-YEAR EXTENSION THAT
3 EXPIRES IN OCTOBER 2014.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT, AS PART OF
6 THIS ITEM TODAY, THAT YOU -- IF IT IS APPROVED, THAT YOU
7 PROVIDE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS EVERY 60 DAYS WITH A STATUS
8 REPORT ON THE R.F.P. FOR THIS PROJECT.

9

10 **SPEAKER:** CERTAINLY.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THERE'S A LOT OF SKEPTICISM AROUND
13 ABOUT WHETHER YOUR DEPARTMENT IS SERIOUS ABOUT THIS BECAUSE OF
14 THE HISTORY OF THIS. SO I THINK SPEAKING FOR AT LEAST TWO OF
15 US WHO HAVE SPOKEN UP HERE, AND I'M SURE THE OTHER THREE WOULD
16 SHARE THIS VIEW, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS GOING TO
17 GET DONE. AND IT'S NOT JUST GOING TO BE ANOTHER ONE OF THESE
18 EVERGREEN R.F.P.S THAT GO OUT INTO NEVER NEVER LAND. THIS IS
19 SOMETHING THAT I'M GOING TO FOLLOW. AND I WANT TO BE UPDATED
20 ON IT. I WANT THE BOARD TO BE UPDATED ON IT ON A BIMONTHLY
21 BASIS, EVERY 60 DAYS. ALL RIGHT. THAT WOULD BE AN AMENDMENT, A
22 VERBAL AMENDMENT TO THIS. ANYBODY ELSE WANT TO BE HEARD ON
23 THIS? IF NOT THE ITEM IS BEFORE US. KNABE MOVES. ANTONOVICH
24 WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE, AS AMENDED,



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 UNANIMOUS VOTE. ALL RIGHT. THAT TAKES CARE OF MY ITEMS. MR.

2 KNABE, YOU'RE UP NEXT.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I HAVE AN ADJOURNMENT. WE
5 ADJOURN IN MEMORY TODAY OF MADAME HO POOI LAN, BELOVED MOTHER
6 OF ALAN THIAN AND CATERINE THIAN OF THE ROYAL BUSINESS BANK
7 WHO PASSED AWAY RECENTLY AT THE AGE OF 83. SHE IS SURVIVED BY
8 HER CHILDREN, VICTOR, ALAN, CATERINE AND HER COUNTLESS FRIENDS
9 AND WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS, MR.
10 CHAIRMAN.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

13

14 **SUP. KNABE:** I'D LIKE TO CALL UP ITEM 24, PLEASE. I'D LIKE TO
15 HAVE DR. KATZ COME FORWARD, PLEASE.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WE ALSO HAVE TWO MEMBERS OF THE
18 PUBLIC.

19

20 **SUP. KNABE:** DO THE PUBLIC FIRST.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WE WILL CALL THE PUBLIC UP WHO
23 ASKED TO BE HEARD ON THIS ITEM. RICHARD BELL AND CARLOS
24 BENEAVIDES. ARE THEY HERE? RICHARD BELL AND CARLOS BENEAVIDES?
25 OKAY. THEY'RE NOT HERE. WHO IS? OH, SORRY. ALL RIGHT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SUPERVISOR MOLINA HAS ASKED FOR 24, 29 AND 23 BE CONSIDERED AT
2 ONE TIME. WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER. SO MR.
3 SACHS, YOU'VE ALSO ASKED TO BE HEARD ON ITEM 29, SO WHY DON'T
4 YOU COME UP. ALL RIGHT MR. BENEAVIDES, YOU'RE UP FIRST.

5

6 **CARLOS BENEAVIDES:** I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY HERE FOR GIVING
7 ME THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THEM. I'M AN OUTPATIENT AT
8 RANCHO LOS AMIGOS. I'VE BEEN AN OUTPATIENT THERE FOR ABOUT
9 FIVE YEARS NOW. I'VE BEEN AN OUTPATIENT THERE FOR ABOUT FIVE
10 YEARS NOW. I'VE HEARD ABOUT OTHER HOSPITALS. AND I BELIEVE IN
11 THE OTHER HOSPITALS. I STARTED THERE. BUT THREE YEARS BEFORE I
12 WENT TO RANCHO LOS AMIGOS, THREE YEARS BEFORE THAT I WAS IN
13 THE VARIOUS HOSPITALS. I WAS TOLD I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO WALK,
14 I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO MOVE. WELL THEY WERE RIGHT ON THE
15 WALKING PART. BUT WHEN I FINALLY DID GET TO RANCHO, THAT'S A
16 PARTICULAR PLACE WHERE THEY REALLY CARE ABOUT YOU AND THEY
17 FORCE YOU JUST LIKE IF IT'S YOUR FAMILY, YOU INSPIRE THE
18 PEOPLE TO DO WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO. AND THANKS TO THEM, I'M NOW
19 ABLE TO DO A LOT OF MY DRAWING AND A LOT OF THE THINGS I
20 NORMALLY DID BEFORE I GOT HURT. THE ONLY PROBLEM THAT I FEEL
21 RIGHT NOW IS THAT WITH MORE STAFF, MORE SPACE, MORE
22 THERAPISTS, THEY'D BE ABLE TO HELP A LOT MORE PEOPLE LIKE
23 MYSELF. THAT'S ABOUT ALL I HAVE TO SAY. IF IT WEREN'T FOR
24 RANCHO, I'D BE PROBABLY LAYING UP AT HOME.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

2

3 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU, CARLOS.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ARNOLD SACHS, ERIC PREVEN, DR.

6 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. AND RICHARD BELL. IS RICHARD BELL HERE? I

7 DON'T BELIEVE HE'S HERE. CARLOS SPOKE. OKAY. MR. SACHS.

8

9 **ARNOLD SACHS:** YES, THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING AGAIN, ARNOLD

10 SACHS. BASICALLY THESE THREE ITEMS: CAPITAL FINANCE, HUGE

11 CAPITAL FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS BY THE COUNTY. AND SO I'M

12 WONDERING, ONLY BECAUSE I LIKE TO GO TO THE GROCERY STORE IF

13 I'M BUYING APPLES, I LIKE TO LOOK AT ONE APPLE VERSUS ANOTHER

14 APPLE. DOESN'T REALLY MAKE SENSE TO LOOK AT ONE APPLE AND A

15 BANANA AND SAY "WELL, THEY'RE BOTH APPLES BECAUSE THEY'RE

16 NOT". SO WHEN I LOOK AT THE FINANCING THAT YOU INTEND TO INCUR

17 HERE FOR THE COUNTY AND THESE PROJECTS, I LOOK AT AN EXAMPLE

18 OF FINANCING DONE BY YOU AND OTHER CAPITAL PROJECTS, AND I

19 LOOK AT METRO. LO AND BEHOLD. AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT? I LOOKED

20 AT OUR MEASURE R THAT PROMISES HUGE CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR THE

21 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WITH JOBS, JOBS, JOBS AND ALL OTHER

22 KINDS OF STUFF. MEASURE R THAT WAS GOING TO CREATE A BILLION

23 DOLLARS FOR CONSTRUCTION. 40 BILLION DOLLARS IN 30 YEARS,

24 WHICH IS NOT JUST A BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR, IT'S A BILLION

25 THREE. AND SO I SUPPORT THAT. WE NEED THE INFRASTRUCTURE. IT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ALL NEEDS TO BE BUILT. IT NEEDS TO BE DONE. AND BASED ON THE
2 SALES TAX THAT WE HAVE, THAT SHOULD BE EASY TO ACCOMPLISH. BUT
3 THEN WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE BUDGET RETURNS FOR METRO FOR 2007
4 FISCAL YEAR TO TODAY, AND IT SAYS PROP A AND PROP C, THE BEST
5 YEARS THEY EVER HAD WAS 650 MILLION DOLLARS, AND THAT'S THE
6 OTHER HALF-CENT SALES TAX THAT'S COLLECTED BY THE COUNTY, THEN
7 HOW DO YOU COME OFF WITH AN ESTIMATE OF 1.3 BILLION DOLLARS
8 FOR MEASURE R? BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT YOU NEED. WELL, IF TWO HALF
9 CENT SALES TAX MAKES 650 MILLION DOLLARS, THEN YOU WOULD
10 EXPECT A THIRD HALF-CENT SALES TAX TO BE IN THAT BALLPARK. BUT
11 YOU'RE NOT EVEN AT 50 PERCENT. SO NOW YOU'RE GOING TO TALK
12 ABOUT FUNDING THESE OTHER CAPITAL PROJECTS BASED ON WHAT? YOUR
13 WISDOM! WELL, WHAT KIND OF WISDOM IS IT WHEN YOU LOOK AT OTHER
14 CAPITAL PROJECTS AND YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE 50 PERCENT OF THE
15 MONEY THAT'S NECESSARY? WHO GETS TO PAY THE BILL? WELL, THE
16 PUBLIC. AND WHERE ARE YOU GOING TO BE? WELL YOU'LL BE TERMED
17 OUT. BUT YOU'LL HAVE A MONUMENT: THIS HUGE DEBT THAT'S GOING
18 TO BE PUT ON THE SHOULDERS OF EVERY PERSON, EVERY ANIMAL,
19 EVERY CONDOM-FILMING LOCATION IN L.A. COUNTY THAT THE PUBLIC'S
20 GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR. AND I'M WONDERING: WHO'S PAYING
21 ATTENTION?

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SORRY. MR. PREVEN, THEN DR.
24 CLAVREUL.

25



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **ERIC PREVEN:** YES. IT IS ERIC PREVEN THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM
2 DISTRICT 3. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO ALERT THE BOARD THAT TOMORROW
3 EVENING AT 7 AND 10:30 ON SO CAL CONNECTED, THERE WILL BE A
4 PIECE THAT RELATES TO SOME TO SOME OF THE WORK DONE HERE AT
5 THE LOCAL BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND WITH THE COUNTY FAMILY.
6 ITEM 29 IS C.E.O. FUJIOKA'S EXCITING REPORT BACK ABOUT THESE
7 BOND COSTS. NOW, IT'S A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE THAT WE ARE BEING
8 ASKED TO SHEPHERD BETWEEN NOW WHERE IT'S ABOUT HALF A PERCENT
9 OF THE COUNTY GENERAL FUND GOING TO SERVICE THESE BONDS. AND
10 IN 10 YEARS, IT WILL BE 1.41 WHICH IS ALMOST TRIPLE. SO THOUGH
11 IT'S VERY COMFORTABLE FOR SUPERVISOR -- SOME OF THE
12 SUPERVISORS AND CERTAINLY FOR MR. FUJIOKA WELL WITHIN WHAT
13 WOULD BE EXPECTED, WE'RE WORRIED, WE'RE VERY WORRIED, BECAUSE
14 BUILT INTO THAT ARE SOME ASSUMPTIONS WHICH OF COURSE ARE
15 TRICKY. HE DOES TWO SCENARIOS, ONE WHERE THERE'S A ONE PERCENT
16 INCREASE TO THE COUNTY BUDGET EVERY YEAR AND ANOTHER WHERE
17 THERE'S A TWO PERCENT INCREASE. NOW A TWO PERCENT INCREASE TO
18 THE COUNTY BUDGET ANNUALLY, WELL MY GOSH, THAT'S A TREMENDOUS.
19 THAT'S GOOD NEWS IF THAT'S IN FACT HAPPENING. WE'RE NOT SURE.
20 SOME OF THESE COSTS, OBVIOUSLY, ARE WORRISOME. I WAS HOPING TO
21 HEAR FROM DR. KATZ ABOUT THE RANCHO SEISMIC RETROFIT WITH
22 NOBODY WOULD DISPUTE IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY. THE QUESTION IS
23 WHETHER WE NEED TO REDO THE ENTIRE PLACE, I GUESS THE SHIP IS
24 SAILING QUICKLY ON THIS PARTICULAR MATTER. I ALSO NOTED THAT
25 THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS GETTING A BRAND NEW FACILITY BURIED IN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HERE. AND THEN CALL IT THE COUP DE GRAS, THE JAIL PLAN, WHICH
2 WAS NOT EXACTLY TRUMPETED, DOES APPEAR IN THIS PARTICULAR
3 DOCUMENT HAVE AND IT APPEARS, THOSE WHO ARE VERY INTERESTED
4 LIKE SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, THAT 750 MILLION DOLLARS, THIS
5 IS A SUBSTANTIAL DECREASE FROM A YEAR OR SO AGO WHEN
6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY IDENTIFIED THAT 1.4 BILLION, WHICH
7 WOULD BE DOUBLE 750 MILLION, IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN ON HIS
8 WATCH. APPARENTLY ON HIS WATCH HALF WILL HAPPEN. AND A JAIL
9 PLAN IS IMPORTANT. WE DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING ABOUT THE ADOPTION
10 OF A PLAN. WE DID NOT UNDERSTAND THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE
11 REDUCING MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL FROM 5,000 TO ABOUT 3,000
12 PRISONERS. MAYBE THIS IS ALL GOOD. THERE'S A LARGE GROUP OF
13 CONSTITUENTS WHO ARE AGAINST ANY JAIL EXPANSION AND ARE HOPING
14 THAT THROUGH THE PARAGRAPH 73 OF THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT AND
15 THROUGH ALL THE EFFORTS OF THE JUSTICE TEAMS WE'RE GOING TO BE
16 ABLE TO TREAT SOME OF THE CLIENTS WHO NEED SERVICES, NOT
17 IMPRISONMENT. WE'RE WORRIED ABOUT THAT. AND ITEM -- THAT IS
18 PRETTY MUCH MY SPEECH ON THIS PARTICULAR THING. ARE THESE BOND
19 COSTS APPROPRIATE GOING FORWARD? WE DON'T KNOW. WE CAN'T THINK
20 ON THIS LEVEL, BUT WE NEED SOME OF THE SPARK GUYS, ONE OF THE
21 CONSTITUENTS TODAY SAID WHERE ARE THE PEOPLE IN SUITS WHO TALK
22 BOND TALK TO QUESTION WHETHER OR NOT THIS STUFF IS
23 APPROPRIATE? BECAUSE WE HEARD MISS MOLINA QUESTION IT LAST
24 WEEK. WE WANT TO KNOW THAT WE'RE NOT BEING SADDLED WITH A
25 BURDEN WE CAN'T HANDLE.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME'S UP. DR.
3 CLAVREUL.

4

5 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. DR.
6 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. YOU KNOW, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY NICE IF
7 WE WILL HAVE HEARD THE REPORT BEFORE WE WERE ALLOWED TO SPEAK.
8 I GUESS I SHOULD BE GRATEFUL THAT YOU CALLED ME BACK TO SPEAK
9 ON THAT ITEM SEPARATELY. BUT IT DOES VERY LITTLE GOOD WHEN YOU
10 DON'T HAVE THE DOCUMENT OF THE REPORT TO TALK ABOUT, WHICH
11 AGAIN VIOLATES THE SPIRIT OF THE BROWN ACT. AND I THINK FOR
12 THE RANCHO LOS AMIGOS, I THINK THE SEISMIC REPAIRS ARE
13 ESSENTIAL AND SHOULD HAVE BEEN A LONG TIME AGO. AND I THINK WE
14 SHOULD MOVE WITH SPEED ON THAT ISSUE. I THINK TO REALLY KNOW
15 THE IMPACT OF THE BONDS, LIKE I SAID IT WILL HAVE BEEN VERY
16 NICE TO HAVE THE REPORT. AND I HAVE DOCUMENTS ON THOSE ISSUE.
17 NOTHING WAS AVAILABLE ON THE WEBSITE AS OF THIS MORNING ON
18 ITEM 29. WHICH MAKE IT, LIKE I SAID, VERY DIFFICULT TO
19 INTELLIGENTLY DEAL WITH THAT ISSUE. SO I THINK I WILL LISTEN
20 TO THE REPORT AND MAYBE PUT IN WRITING WHAT'S GOING ON. I
21 THINK STARTING THIS WEEK I WILL POST ON LACOUNTYOBSERVER.COM
22 ALL THE STUFF I DON'T HAVE TIME TO SPEAK HERE AND REALLY PUT
23 IN DETAIL AND GIVE MY INPUT ON WHAT'S GOING ON BECAUSE THE
24 PUBLIC HAVE VERY LITTLE KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON.
25 AND WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT BOND AND ISSUES LIKE THAT. LIKE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FOR ITEM 28 WHEN WE COULDN'T EVEN HAVE A REPORT WHEN TIME IS
2 OF THE ESSENCE ON GETTING MONEY FROM THE DEVELOPMENT VIS-A-VIS
3 S.E.A. SO I THINK IT'S TIME TO MAYBE MAKE SOME CHANGE AND
4 PEOPLE MAKE DECISIONS ON HAVING TO DOCUMENT. AND I STILL WOULD
5 LIKE TO HAVE A RESPONSE TO MY 325,000 DOLLAR FUND WHEN YOU
6 DON'T GET A PROPOSAL. THAT I WOULD LIKE VERY MUCH TO KNOW WHY.
7 THANK YOU SO MUCH.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, DR. CLAVREUL. THAT
10 CLOSES THE PUBLIC HEARING ON ALL THREE ITEMS. WE HAVE THE
11 FIRST ITEM IS -- WELL, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THEM ALL TOGETHER.
12 YOU CALLED UP 24. SO LET ME -- DO YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO IT
13 FIRST? OR DO YOU WANT TO -- DO YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO IT FIRST?
14 TO THE ISSUE? OR DO YOU WANT TO YIELD TO THE OTHERS?

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, I PREFER TO START WITH DR. KATZ.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I'M SORRY. I DIDN'T SEE YOU THERE.

19

20 **SUP. KNABE:** ABOUT THE ISSUE. I KNOW THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF
21 INFORMATION PUT OUT. BUT I THINK VERY SIMPLY I WOULD ASK THE
22 C.E.O. WHETHER OR NOT THIS RANCHO PROJECT, LET'S GET CAUGHT UP
23 ON SOME OTHER THINGS, BUT CAN WE OR CAN'T WE AFFORD THIS
24 PROJECT?

25



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE ANSWER TO THE DIRECT QUESTION IS YES WE
2 CAN AFFORD IT. ON AN OPERATIONAL BASIS, GIVEN THE CURRENT
3 STATE OF THE FACILITY, INCLUDING THE IMPACT TO THE INPATIENT
4 SERVICES, THE OUTPATIENT, THE INFRASTRUCTURE AT RANCHO, EITHER
5 WE DO IT NOW OR WE MUST DO IT LATER IF RANCHO IS TO REMAIN A
6 VIABLE RESOURCE IN OUR DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND MORE
7 IMPORTANT FOR THE ENTIRE COUNTY. WHAT THIS PLAN DOES IS
8 CONSOLIDATES OUTPATIENT SERVICES OF AT LEAST ONE PORTION OF IT
9 THAT'S LOCATED THROUGHOUT MANY BUILDINGS ON THE NORTH CAMPUS
10 INTO A SINGLE BUILDING. IT IMPROVES THE INFRASTRUCTURE. IT
11 ALSO CONSOLIDATES THE INPATIENT SERVICES IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT
12 TO THE J.P.I. BUILDING THAT AS YOU RECALL THE J.P.I. BUILDING
13 IS 150-BED BUILDING THAT WAS ORIGINALLY APPROVED BY THE BOARD
14 BACK IN 1992 AND CONSTRUCTED AROUND THE 1996 ERA, TIMELINE, I
15 MEAN ERA. WHEN ALL IS SAID AND DONE, THERE WILL BE 162 BEDS AT
16 RANCHO. I KNOW A SIGNIFICANT CONCERN IS WHETHER OR NOT THAT
17 162 WILL BE SUFFICIENT. RIGHT NOW WE'RE NOT RECOMMENDING
18 ADDING ANY MORE BEDS THAN THE PROPOSED 162. BUT TO MAKE IT
19 REAL CLEAR THAT OF THAT 150 HAVE BEEN ON THAT CAMPUS FOR
20 ALMOST 20 YEARS. I THINK WE AS WE MOVE FORWARD I KNOW THERE
21 WILL BE SOME QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE IMPACT OF HEALTH REFORM,
22 AFFORDABLE CARE ACT AND OTHER ISSUES, BUT RIGHT NOW, YES, I
23 FEEL WE DO. BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS IF WE DON'T MOVE FORWARD,
24 THEN WE COULD LOSE RANCHO AS AN EXTREMELY VALUABLE RESOURCE TO
25 OUR COUNTY.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU. MITCH?

3

4 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS, DR. MITCH KATZ,
5 DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. I THOUGHT THAT ONE
6 OF OUR PATIENTS, CARLOS, SPOKE VERY WELL ABOUT THE SPIRIT OF
7 RANCHO. IT IS A VERY SPECIAL PLACE. IT IS A PLACE WHERE
8 SUPERVISOR KNABE, AS YOU SAY, MIRACLES OCCUR. I'VE LOOKED OVER
9 AND I'M VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE DIFFERENT SEISMIC REQUIREMENTS
10 UNDER S.B.306. THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT AS THE 150-BED
11 JACQUELINE PERRY INSTITUTE THAT MR. FUJIOKA TALKED ABOUT
12 CANNOT OPERATE BEYOND 2020 UNLESS WE DO SEISMIC UPGRADES.
13 THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT. YOU CANNOT HAVE AS A HOSPITAL
14 WITHOUT AN I.C.U., WITHOUT THE NECESSARY DIAGNOSTIC EQUIPMENT,
15 THE LAW IS VERY CLEAR THAT TO OPERATE AN INPATIENT FACILITY
16 UNDER THE SEISMIC REQUIREMENTS, IT'S MORE THAN JUST THE BEDS
17 BECAUSE THE IDEA IS WHEN THE EARTHQUAKE HAPPENS, CAN YOU TAKE
18 CARE OF THE PATIENTS? IT'S WONDERFUL THAT IF THEY'RE IN A BED.
19 BUT WHAT IF THEY GET WORSE IN I.C.U.? WHAT IF THEY'RE ALREADY
20 IN THE I.C.U., WHAT IF THEY NEED AN EMERGENT C.T. SCAN? SO
21 THERE'S NO QUESTION THAT IF RANCHO IS TO GO BEYOND 2020, WE
22 WOULD HAVE TO HAVE A SEISMIC UPGRADE. I THINK MR. FUJIOKA HAS
23 WELL EXPLAINED THAT THE OTHER PARTS OF THIS DO NOT HAVE TO BE
24 DONE FOR SEISMIC REASONS, BUT WE HAVE HAD SEVERAL SEWAGE
25 SPILLS. WE CURRENTLY ARE OPERATING CLINICS WITH CURTAINS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 INSTEAD OF DOORS, WHICH NOBODY THINKS IS APPROPRIATE IN 2012.
2 AND CERTAINLY DOESN'T FIT WITH TRYING TO OFFER PEOPLE THE KIND
3 OF PRIVACY THAT THEY ARE ENTITLED TO. I'M VERY PLEASED THAT
4 THE PLAN WOULD PROVIDE 5.9 MILLION IN A VARIETY OF
5 EFFICIENCIES PER YEAR. IT'S 1.2 IN ENERGY SAVINGS. 1.7 BECAUSE
6 THE CURRENT BUILDINGS CREATE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF OPERATIONAL
7 INEFFICIENCY THAT REQUIRES ADDITIONAL STAFFING. AND 3 MILLION
8 A YEAR IS WHAT WE'RE CURRENTLY SPENDING ON DEFERRED
9 MAINTENANCE. SO THE SAVING IS 5.9 MILLION. THE COST ON THE
10 DEBT SERVICE IS AN ESTIMATED TO BE 25 MILLION FOR 28 YEARS.
11 AND HERE IS WHERE I DID LOOK TO MR. FUJIOKA FOR HELP. THE
12 CONCERN THAT I HAD WAS THE WORST CASE SCENARIO TO ME AS A
13 MEDICAL DIRECTOR IS YOU BUILD A BUILDING AND YOU CAN'T HIRE
14 THE DOCTORS AND NURSES, YOU CAN'T PAY FOR THEM BECAUSE YOU
15 SPENT IT ON THE BUILDING. THAT'S WHEN I TURNED TO MR. FUJIOKA
16 AND SAID OKAY, I UNDERSTAND THAT HISTORICALLY D.H.S. HAS PAID
17 ITS DEBT SERVICE AS A REVENUE-PRODUCING DEPARTMENT. BUT WHAT
18 IF WE REACHED A POINT WHERE THERE WAS A CHOICE AND THE ONLY
19 WAY WE COULD PAY THE DEBT SERVICE ON THIS WOULD BE CUTTING
20 SERVICES, WHICH IS SOMETHING AS A HEALTH DIRECTOR I COULD
21 NEVER SUPPORT. AND THAT'S WHEN HE TOLD ME THAT AS HE'S WELL-
22 PRESENTED THAT THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE IS CAPABLE OF PAYING THE
23 DEBT SERVICE IF THE DEPARTMENT ITSELF IS NOT ABLE TO MEET THE
24 FULL DEBT SERVICE. I THINK THERE ARE CERTAINLY, AS WE'LL TALK
25 ABOUT MORE NEXT WEEK UNDER HEALTH REFORM AND IT'S IN THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REPORT, THERE ARE TWO VERY DIFFERENT POSSIBLE SCENARIOS OF HOW
2 HEALTH REFORM GOES FINANCIALLY FOR D.H.S. AND IN ONE WE ARE
3 MUCH BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY AND THE OTHER MUCH WORSE
4 FINANCIALLY. IT IS VERY HARD TO PREDICT ON A LAW THAT HAS SUCH
5 WIDE RANGING IMPLICATIONS THAT IT'S NOT YET IMPLEMENTED YET
6 EXACTLY WHICH OF THOSE TWO SCENARIOS. SO ONCE I FELT THAT WE
7 HAD A BACKSTOP ON THE SERVICES, THEN I DO LOOK FORWARD TO
8 HAVING A RANCHO WHERE WE ARE ABLE TO PROVIDE THE KIND OF
9 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT THAT'S AS GOOD AS THE DOCTORS AND NURSES
10 AND REHAB PEOPLE WHO WORK THERE AND AS THE PATIENTS DESERVE.
11 THANK YOU.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** . THIS IS FOR BOTH, DR. KATZ AND MR. FUJIOKA.
16 YOU'RE SAYING THAT THE BOARD ACCORDING TO THE MEMO IF WE COULD
17 FUND EVERY BOND PROJECT CURRENTLY BEING DEVELOPED, THE DEBT
18 RATIO WOULD BE LESS THAN TWO PERCENT. EVEN THOUGH THE BOND
19 RATING AGENCIES SAY THAT WE COULDN'T HAVE A FOUR PERCENT DEBT
20 RATIO HOWEVER THE AVERAGE ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE BEING
21 RECOMMENDED TO THE BOARD IS OVER 222, ALMOST 223 MILLION
22 DOLLARS. THE C.E.O. IS USING A 19.3 BILLION DOLLAR AS COUNTY
23 GENERAL FUND BUDGET TO DETERMINE THE DEBT RATIO BECAUSE THE
24 BOND MARKETS USE THE COUNTY'S GROSS GENERAL FUND FOR BUDGETING
25 PURPOSES. AND THIS RATIO IS SOMEWHAT MISLEADING BECAUSE EVEN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THOUGH THE COUNTY GENERAL FUND BUDGET IS 19.3 BILLION DOLLARS,
2 THE BOARD ONLY HAS DISCRETION OVER 15 PERCENT OF THE BUDGET,
3 OR APPROXIMATELY 4 BILLION DOLLARS. AND WHEN YOU USE THAT 4
4 BILLION DOLLARS AS THE COUNTY'S DISCRETIONARY GENERAL FUND,
5 THE PERCENT OF DEBT IS NOT 6 PERCENT, I SHOULD SAY WOULD BE 6
6 PERCENT, NOT .5 PERCENT. SO THAT WOULD BE 5.5 PERCENT HIGHER,
7 THAT DEBT. WE JUST RECEIVED AN ADVERSE RULING THAT COULD COST
8 THE COUNTY OVER 35 MILLION DOLLARS AND 15 MILLION DOLLARS
9 ANNUALLY FROM NOW ON, WHICH WAS A SUPREME COURT DECISION THAT
10 WAS RELEASED LAST WEEK. SO THE QUESTION IS: ARE YOU
11 CONSIDERING YOUR BOND REQUESTS IN LIGHT OF ALL THESE EXTRA
12 OBLIGATIONS THAT THE COUNTY WOULD BE FORCED, INCLUDING THE
13 UNKNOWN COSTS, OF THE OBAMA HEALTHCARE LEGISLATION? THAT WOULD
14 BE BOTH TO DR. KATZ AND MR. FUJIOKA.

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE WAY WE STRUCTURED THESE RATIOS AND THE
17 FACT THAT WE BASED IT ON OUR NET BUDGET IS VERY, VERY
18 CONSISTENT WITH THE PRACTICE THIS COUNTY HAS TAKEN FOR MANY,
19 MANY, MANY YEARS AND ALSO CONSISTENT WITH THE POLICIES AND
20 PRACTICES RECOMMENDED AND APPROVED BY OUR BOND RATING
21 AGENCIES. IF YOU LOOK AT OUR TOTAL INDEBTEDNESS, AS YOU GO
22 DOWN TO SOME OF THESE PROJECTS, ESPECIALLY IF YOU LOOK AT THE
23 HEALTH DEPARTMENT, IT HAS TO BE RECOGNIZED THAT THE DEBT THAT
24 WOULD BE SERVICED OR PAID ARE COMING FROM D.H.S. REVENUES.
25 THIS AND FIRE DEPARTMENT PROJECTS HERE, THEY ARE COMING FROM



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THEIR SPECIAL ASSESSMENT FUNDS. AND SO WE'VE LOOKED AT THIS,
2 AND THE WAY WE STRUCTURE THIS DEBT SCHEDULE, WE DID IT IN
3 CONCERT WITH OUR TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR AND OUR OTHER FINANCE
4 STAFF IS ABSOLUTELY CONSISTENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH ACCEPTABLE
5 PRACTICES AND FINANCIAL COMMITMENT.

6

7 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** COULD WE AFFORD ALL THE BONDS IF WE USED THE
8 4 BILLION DOLLARS AS THE DENOMINATOR INSTEAD OF THE 19.3
9 BILLION DOLLARS IN YOUR REPORT?

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WHAT WE HAVE BEFORE YOU TODAY IT'S PART OF THE
12 MEMO THAT WE ISSUED YESTERDAY THAT SPEAKS TO OUR DEBT
13 OBLIGATIONS? IT'S WELL WITHIN OUR POLICY.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AT FOUR BILLION DOLLARS WOULD BE WITHIN OUR
16 POLICY?

17

18 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL IN WORST- CASE SCENARIO, WE SHOW YOU THAT
19 BELOW THE BOARD'S RECOMMENDED TWO PERCENT. BUT THAT TWO
20 PERCENT, WHEN THAT POLICY WAS ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD MANY,
21 MANY YEARS AGO, IT WAS NOT BASED ON JUST THE GENERAL FUND OF
22 ABOVE FOUR OR FIVE BILLION DOLLARS. IT WAS BASED ON THE
23 OVERALL BUDGET AND WHAT'S AVAILABLE.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** BUT DR. KATZ IS SAYING THAT IF THERE IS A
2 SHORTAGE OF FUNDS, THEN THE GENERAL FUND IS WHERE WE WOULD BE
3 BRINGING THE ADDITIONAL REVENUE.

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** TO PROVIDE CONTEXT TO THAT STATEMENT. I THINK
6 IT'S REAL IMPORTANT THAT THE PREMISE, MY STARTING POINT IS A
7 REAL SIMPLE ONE. DO WE MAINTAIN RANCHO AS A VIABLE ENTITY AND
8 NOT ONLY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES BUT FOR THE COUNTY?
9 IF YOU USE THAT AS YOUR STARTING PREMISE, THEN IF THE DEBT
10 SERVICE HAS TO BE PAID, THE COUNTY'S NOT GOING TO STOP PAYING
11 THAT SERVICE IN THE EVENT D.H.S. HAS A SHORTFALL. BUT THAT'S A
12 THRESHOLD QUESTION THAT WE ARE FACED WITH TODAY. IS WHETHER OR
13 NOT RANCHO CONTINUES AS A VIABLE ENTITY. IF WE CAN'T GET
14 BEYOND THAT THRESHOLD QUESTION, THEN THE SECONDARY QUESTIONS
15 ARE NOT RELEVANT. BUT IN MY MIND, RANCHO I THINK IS ONE OF THE
16 BEST FACILITIES WE HAVE AND IS HIGHLY MARKETABLE. AS WE MOVE
17 FORWARD WITH HEALTH CARE REFORM AND WITH THAT AFFORDABLE CARE
18 ACT, WE KNOW THAT PEOPLE WHO ARE CURRENTLY UNINSURED WILL HAVE
19 MANY DIFFERENT OPTIONS. AND THEY'LL GO TO OTHER PLANS. BECAUSE
20 RANCHO IS EXTREMELY UNIQUE AND IS "THE" PREMIER ACUTE REHAB
21 FACILITY ON THE WEST COAST, IT'S EXPECTED THAT THE OTHER
22 PLANS, IT'S HOPED THAT THE OTHER PLANS WOULD STILL CONTRACT
23 WITH US FOR SERVICES AT RANCHO.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** BUT THE QUESTION IS: COULD WE AFFORD THESE
2 RECOMMENDATIONS IF YOU USE THE 4 BILLION DOLLARS AS THE
3 DENOMINATOR INSTEAD OF THE 19.3 BILLION, WHICH IS REALLY A
4 FALSE ASSUMPTION TO BE MADE IN ASSUMING THIS PACKAGE THAT'S
5 BEFORE US. AND THE REASON I SAY THAT, AND I ASK THE QUESTION
6 AGAIN, CAN WE? BECAUSE TODAY SAN BERNADINO CITY HAD FILED
7 THEIR BANKRUPTCY AND THEY HAD TO HAVE SEVERE CUTS. OUR OTHER
8 MUNICIPALITIES ARE HAVING THAT SAME FISCAL PROBLEMS. AND
9 AGAIN, ONE REASON WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO AVOID THAT IN THE PAST
10 IS WE'VE BEEN A LITTLE MORE -- WE HAVE BEEN CONSERVATIVE IN
11 APPROPRIATIONS. SO AGAIN THE QUESTION IS: COULD WE USE THE 4
12 BILLION DOLLARS, THE DENOMINATOR, AND FUND ALL OF THE PROJECTS
13 THAT ARE BEING RECOMMENDED INSTEAD OF THE 19.3 BILLION DOLLARS
14 IN YOUR REPORT?

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I WANT TO GO BACK TO SAYING THAT WE'VE ALREADY
17 BASED ACCEPTABLE STANDARDS. MY ANSWER WOULD BE YES.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** THEN WHY DIDN'T WE USE THE 4 BILLION DOLLAR
20 DENOMINATOR WHY ARE WE USING THE 19.3?

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** BECAUSE WHAT WE'RE USING IS WHAT HAS BEEN
23 RECOMMENDED AND IS ACCEPTABLE IN THE BOND RATING COMMUNITY.
24 THE ONE THING I WANT TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION, THOUGH, IS AS
25 OF 2006-2007, OUR DEBT SERVICE WAS ABOUT 548 MILLION. WE HAD



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CONSIDERABLE DEBT THAT HAS SINCE BEEN RETIRED. AT THAT TIME,
2 EVEN DURING THE HEIGHT OF THE RECESSION, WE WERE ABLE TO MEET
3 THAT DEBT SERVICE. AND SO IF YOU LOOK AT OUR DEBT SERVICE
4 PAYMENT ON A GO-FORWARD BASIS, IT DOESN'T EXCEED THAT 548
5 MILLION THAT WE PAID THREE OR FOUR YEARS AGO.

6

7 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** BUT THE ECONOMY WAS MUCH STRONGER THREE OR
8 FOUR YEARS AGO THAN IT IS TODAY OR THAT IS BEING PROJECTED THE
9 NEXT FEW YEARS AND BOND RATINGS HAVE ALSO MADE FALSE
10 ASSUMPTIONS AND YET WE AT THE BOTTOM END OF THE FOOD CHAIN
11 HAVE TO PAY FOR THOSE ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE ARE MAKING. AND
12 WE'RE FINDING, AGAIN, A PATTERN WHERE MUNICIPALITIES ARE NOT
13 ABLE TO MEET THOSE OBLIGATIONS. SO THIS IS THE TIME THAT WE
14 OUGHT TO BE FISCALLY CONSERVATIVE WHEN WE MAKE THESE
15 PROJECTIONS.

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND. WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, WHEN WE
18 WERE PAYING THE 548 WAS AT THE HEIGHT OF OUR RECESSION.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** I WOULD SAY WE WERE A LITTLE MORE -- WE HAD
21 MORE PEOPLE UNEMPLOYED TODAY.

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AND THE FUTURE DOESN'T LOOK WELL. AND WE HAVE
2 MORE PEOPLE RECEIVING ASSISTANCE, WHICH MEANS WE'RE ON A
3 SLIPPERY SLOPE GOING DOWNWARDS. WE'RE NOT GOING UP THE HILL,
4 WE'RE GOING DOWN THE HILL. ON THE QUESTION OF THE ELECTRONIC
5 HEALTH RECORD SYSTEM, HAVE YOU MADE A COMMITMENT TO COVER THE
6 COST OF ANTICIPATED REVENUES DON'T MATERIALIZE? AND WHERE
7 WOULD THE FUNDING COME FROM?

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE ISSUE WITH THE ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD
10 HAS A PARALLEL WITH THE ISSUE WITH RANCHO. AS WE MOVE FORWARD
11 -- AND DR. KATZ COULD BE THE BEST ONE TO SPEAK TO THIS -- AS
12 WE MOVE FORWARD WITH HEALTH REFORM AND THE AFFORDABLE CARE
13 ACT, WE MUST HAVE AN ELECTRONIC RECORD, HEALTHCARE RECORD.
14 THAT IS NOT AN OPTION. THAT, TO ME, IS A VERY BASIC BUSINESS
15 EXPENSE AS WE MOVE FORWARD. NOT HAVING A MODERN ELECTRONIC
16 HEALTH RECORD WILL PUT US AT A SIGNIFICANT DISADVANTAGE. LIKE
17 TO COMMENT ON THAT?

18

19 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** I WOULD AGREE ON THE ELECTRONIC HEALTH
20 RECORDS. WE ALSO HAVE SPECIFIC REVENUES TIED TO ELECTRONIC
21 HEALTH RECORDS. WE HAVE THE INCENTIVE FUNDS, WHICH ARE FEDERAL
22 FUNDS WHICH YOU ONLY GET IF YOU ACTUALLY HAVE ELECTRONIC
23 HEALTH RECORDS. AND IF YOU GO BEYOND THE CERTAIN POINT, YOU
24 ACTUALLY GET PENALIZED. SO THEY ACTUALLY TAKE AWAY MONEY FROM
25 YOU FOR FAILURE TO HAVE THE ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS. I ALSO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HOPE -- AND WE ARE ALREADY -- THIS IS REFERENCED IN THE HEALTH
2 REFORM REPORT, WE CURRENTLY DO HAVE PEOPLE WHO ARE COPYING
3 RECORDS, PAPER RECORDS, SHREDDING PAPER RECORDS, FILING PAPER
4 RECORDS. AND THOSE EXPENSES WILL GO AWAY AS YOU GO TO AN
5 ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD. SO ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS
6 DEFINITELY HAVE SAVINGS. WE MAY NOT SEE TRUE, COMPLETE
7 RECOVERY OF THE EXPENSE INITIALLY, BUT IF YOU THINK ABOUT THE
8 AIRLINE INDUSTRY, THE CANNING INDUSTRY, ULTIMATELY AUTOMATION,
9 WHICH IS WHAT AN ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD IN A SENSE IS,
10 ULTIMATELY WILL SAVE MONEY DOWN THE LINE. AND AS MR. FUJIOKA
11 SAYS, IT IS SOMETHING THAT I DON'T SEE MUCH OPPORTUNITY THAT
12 WE'RE GOING TO SAY WE'RE NOT GOING TO AN ELECTRONIC HEALTH
13 RECORD AND WE'RE STILL HOPING TO GO FORWARD. I THINK WE WOULD
14 HAVE TO CONSIDER A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT COURSE OF HEALTH
15 SERVICES IF WE DON'T WANT TO DO AN ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** I UNDERSTAND. AND ACCORDING TO YOUR REPORT,
18 YOU SAID THERE WERE STILL MANY UNKNOWNNS REGARDING THE FEDERAL
19 GOVERNMENT'S IMPLEMENTATION OF THE HEALTH CARE REFORM.

20

21 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AND QUESTIONING YOUR ABILITY TO RETAIN NEWLY
24 ENSURED PATIENTS. THE QUESTION SHOULD WE COMMIT TO THIS LARGE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 INVESTMENT AT THIS TIME? OR DO WE LOOK AT EACH OF THESE
2 INDIVIDUALLY?

3

4 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** I UNDERSTAND, SUPERVISOR. THE CHALLENGE IS
5 THAT TRYING TO AVOID THE PENALTY FEES AND TRYING TO GET THE
6 INCENTIVE FEES. SO THIS ONE, IN A SENSE, UNLIKE OTHER CAPITAL-
7 TYPE PROJECTS THAT WHERE YOU DON'T HAVE A PENALTY PHASE, WE
8 COULD BE PENALIZED SIMPLY FOR FAILURE TO HAVE IT. AND WE
9 CERTAINLY WON'T GET THE INCENTIVE. BUT I UNDERSTAND YOUR
10 CONCERN, SUPERVISOR, AND YOU'RE RIGHT TO WORRY. THERE ARE MANY
11 UNKNOWNNS ABOUT HOW HEALTH REFORM ROLLS OUT.

12

13 **SUP ANTONOVICH:** AND ONCE YOU GET IN THE QUICK SAND EXTREMELY
14 IN FACT IT'S MANY TIMES LETHAL, YOU CAN'T GET OUT.

15

16 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES, UNDERSTOOD, SUPERVISOR.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? GO AHEAD
19 SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, AS YOU ALL KNOW, I AM A
22 VERY STRONG SUPPORTER OF OUR COUNTY HEALTH PLAN AND HEALTH
23 PLAN THAT WE HAVE HAD. WE HAVE BEEN A STRONG SUPPORTER OF
24 STATE-OF-THE-ART FACILITIES TO MEET THE ANTICIPATED NEEDS OF
25 OUR COUNTY RESIDENTS. I OPPOSED CLOSING RANCHO LOS AMIGOS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 YEARS AGO AS WELL AS I SUPPORTED THE SEISMIC REHAB IN 2009.
2 BUT I CERTAINLY DON'T DO THIS IN A VACUUM. WE NEED TO KNOW
3 WHAT THE NEEDS ARE PROJECTED TO BE. WE ALSO HAVE TO KNOW WHAT
4 ALTERNATIVES WE HAVE IN ORDER TO MEET THEM. WE ALSO NEED TO
5 KNOW OF COURSE THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THEM AND MORE
6 IMPORTANTLY WHERE THE MONEY IS COMING FROM IN ORDER TO PAY FOR
7 IT. THIS WAS A CASE CERTAINLY WHEN WE WENT THROUGH L.A. COUNTY
8 U.S.C. WE DID IT WITH MARTIN LUTHER KING. WE DID IT WITH
9 HARBOR AS WE'VE DONE IT WITH ALL OF OUR FACILITIES. AND IN THE
10 CASE OF RANCHO LOS AMIGOS, I HAVE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AS TO
11 WHY WE ARE DOING IT IN THIS FASHION. AND THIS IS NOT TO SINGLE
12 OUT RANCHO. BUT BECAUSE IT DESERVES THE SAME SCRUTINY AS ALL
13 OF OUR FACILITIES, NO MORE AND NO LESS. SO I HAVE A NUMBER OF
14 QUESTIONS, ESPECIALLY NOW KNOWING THAT WE HAVE SEVEN BILLION
15 DOLLARS OF PROJECTS. SOMEWHERE IN THE PIPELINE, I'M NOT SURE
16 EXACTLY WHERE THEY ALL ARE. BUT IT CERTAINLY IS SOMETHING THAT
17 WE NEED TO KNOW OUR PRIORITIES AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO PAY FOR
18 THEM. I FOR ONE DO NOT WANT TO LEAVE MY SUCCESSOR IN A FISCAL
19 STRAIGHTJACKET TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO PAY FOR PROJECTS
20 WITH THIS CREDIT CARD THAT WE'RE USING AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
21 I THINK AS WE MOVE FORWARD, WE HAVE TO BE MINDFUL OF ALL
22 ASPECTS OF OUR FISCAL SITUATION, WHICH IS HOW WE WILL SURVIVE
23 THE ECONOMIC DOWNTURN IN THE PAST BECAUSE WE'VE ALWAYS BEEN
24 MINDFUL OF OUR FISCAL SITUATION, AND SO CONSEQUENTLY WE HAVE
25 HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SURVIVE IT WITHOUT THE KIND OF PROBLEMS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT NEIGHBORING COUNTIES HAVE HAD. BUT WITH THAT, OUR
2 EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN WITHOUT COLAS FOR OVER FOUR YEARS. WE HAVE
3 A MASSIVE RETIREE HEALTH OBLIGATION AND WE HAVE SOME LAWSUITS
4 THAT WE'VE RECENTLY HEARD ABOUT THAT HAVE GONE SOUTH. SO I
5 NEED TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS. WHEN THIS PROJECT CAME BEFORE THE
6 BOARD IN 2009, IT WAS FOR SEISMIC REHAB OF THE INPATIENT
7 SERVICES AND A CONSOLIDATION OF WHAT IS KNOWN AS THE INPATIENT
8 SERVICES AT THE J.P.I. BUILDING, IS THAT CORRECT, MR. FUJIOKA?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S PARTIALLY CORRECT. BECAUSE THERE WAS A
11 2009 REPORT. BUT PRECEDING THAT WAS A 2006 REPORT THAT THE
12 BOARD LETTER TITLED RANCHO LOS AMIGOS NATIONAL REHAB CENTER.
13 AND IT INCLUDED A SENATE BILL 1953 COMPLIANCE AND NORTH CAMPUS
14 SITE CONSOLIDATION. THAT WAS ACTUALLY --

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT THERE WAS NO FISCAL REPORT ON THAT ONE AT
17 ALL.

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I BELIEVE IT HAD SOME INFORMATION IN IT THAT
20 SPOKE TO THE FISCAL IMPACT AND THE POTENTIAL FINANCING. BUT
21 YOU'RE RIGHT.

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA:** WHY DON'T YOU READ THE SENTENCE THAT IS ON THE
24 BOARD LETTER.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I WAS GOING TO SAY YOU'RE RIGHT. MORE
2 COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION CAME FORWARD IN 2009.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** I KNOW. BUT THAT REPORT YOU JUST READ FROM SAID
5 NO FISCAL IMPACT.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL, ACTUALLY IT SAYS THAT THE PREVIOUS
8 ESTIMATE FOR CONSOLIDATION PROJECT WAS ESTIMATED AT 42 MILLION
9 DOLLARS AND ON THE ESCALATION OF CONSTRUCTION COSTS, WE ARE
10 NOW ESTIMATING A POTENTIAL IMPACT OF 55 MILLION DOLLARS.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** FIFTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS?

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THEN IT GOES ON. BUT SINCE THIS REPORT WAS
15 ISSUED.

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT WAS A 2006 REPORT, CORRECT?

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT WAS 2006.

20

21 **SUP MOLINA:** AND THEN WE APPROVED THE 2010 WHICH WAS THE REHAB,
22 HIGHS MICK REHAB, CORRECT?

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** ALRIGHT, THAT ONE DIDN'T HAVE THAT IN THERE,
2 EITHER. AS WE STARTED REVIEWING THE BOARD LETTER OF DECEMBER
3 15, 2009, THERE WAS NO COST ESTIMATES TO THE BOARD ITSELF. BUT
4 THE ATTACHMENT INDICATED ON PAGE 50 IF YOU LOOK, A RANGE OF
5 150 TO 173 MILLION. AND THAT THE ESTIMATE WE'RE USING TODAY IS
6 162 FOR THE SEISMIC WORK AND THE INPATIENT CONSOLIDATION,
7 CORRECT? THAT WAS A 2009 REPORT.

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I DON'T HAVE THE REPORT IN FRONT OF ME BUT I'M
10 SURE YOU'RE CORRECT.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** I HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME AND THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT REPORT DID SPEAK TO THE SEISMIC
15 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INPATIENT SERVICES. SINCE THEN WE'VE
16 LOOKED AT THE REHAB, THE HARRIMAN BUILDING, THE CONSOLIDATION
17 OF THE OUTPATIENT FACILITIES AND THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE
18 UTILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE NORTH CAMPUS.

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO NOW YOU'RE SEEKING APPROVAL ON THIS PROJECT
21 FOR THE 404 MILLION?

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

24

25 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND THAT'S BECAUSE IT INCLUDES AN EXPANDED SCOPE?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I'M SORRY.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND THAT'S BECAUSE IT INCLUDES AN EXPANDED SCOPE.

5 IT INCLUDES A CONSOLIDATION OF BUILDINGS AT 86 MILLION. A

6 RENOVATION OF THE HISTORIC HARRIMAN BUILDING AND SUPPORT

7 SERVICES ANNEX TO 85 MILLION AND WHAT YOU CALL THE NORTH

8 CAMPUS INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEMOLITION PROJECT AT ABOUT 71

9 MILLION, CORRECT?

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S CORRECT.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT HAS MORE THAN DOUBLED

14 BOTH IN COST AND WHAT YOU'RE DOING?

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S CORRECT.

17

18 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT THIS BOARD NEVER, EVER SUPPORTED AN EXPANDED

19 SCOPE.

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YOU MENTIONED THE 2009 REPORT DIDN'T HAVE A

22 FISCAL STATEMENT BUT ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT WE HAD IT AT 170.

23 AS WE WENT FORWARD WITH THIS REPORT AND WE LOOKED AT THE NEEDS

24 OF THE FACILITY AND THE COST EFFICIENCY OF EITHER DOING IT NOW

25 OR DOING IT LATER, AT THAT POINT THE DECISION WAS MADE TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RECOMMEND TO YOUR BOARD THAT WE ADDRESS NOT ONLY THE INPATIENT
2 BUT ALSO THE OUTPATIENT SERVICES. AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE COMING
3 BACK TO NOW.

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT WAS APPROVED WHEN?

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S WHAT WE'RE SEEKING APPROVAL TODAY.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S CORRECT. THE EXPANDED SCOPE WAS NEVER
10 APPROVED BY THIS BOARD, IS THAT CORRECT?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I BELIEVE THAT'S TRUE.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** I THINK THAT IS TRUE. NOW, AS I UNDERSTAND IT,
15 FOR THE INPATIENT, THIS IS A CONSOLIDATION OF BEDS, NOT FOR
16 NEW BEDS. YOU'RE GOING TO BE GOING FROM THE CURRENT LICENSING
17 -- THE LICENSED BED CAPACITY THAT WE HAVE NOW WITH 395 TO 162
18 LICENSED BEDS. RIGHT?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S TRUE.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** OR ARE WE CURRENTLY BUDGET ABOUT 200 BEDS AND WE
23 WILL REDUCE THAT TO 162 IN THE YEAR 2018, IS THAT CORRECT?

24

25 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S TRUE.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT FIGURE, DR. KATZ?

3

4 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES. MY UNDERSTANDING IS IT'S BEEN QUITE A
5 WHILE SINCE RANCHO HAS BEEN AT THAT 400 NUMBER.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. BUT WE ARE REDUCING OUR CURRENT
8 BUDGET RIGHT NOW IS FOR 200 BEDS. BUT WE'RE GOING TO REDUCE
9 THAT NUMBER. AND I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW TO 162 IN 2018.

10

11 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** CURRENTLY WE USE ABOUT 90 BEDS FOR MED-SURG AND
14 70 BEDS FOR REHAB PATIENTS AS I UNDERSTAND IT. I FINALLY GOT
15 THE FIGURES, DR. KATZ. SO I THOUGHT YOU SHOULD HAVE THEM.

16

17 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES, THANK YOU.

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** AT LEAST THAT'S WHAT THE SEPTEMBER DATA HAD FOR
20 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. THE MED-SURG BEDS ARE
21 PRIMARILY FOR THE OVERFLOW FROM L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. TO KEEP THE
22 OVERCROWDING DOWN AT THE HOSPITAL, IS THAT CORRECT?

23

24 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES. SINCE WE LAST SPOKE, I UNDERSTAND THAT
25 SOME OF THE BEDS, THE REPORT THAT I SENT YOU SEPARATES REHAB



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND THEN MED-SURG. BUT I'VE LEARNED THAT WITHIN THE MED-SURG
2 CATEGORY ARE THINGS LIKE PRESSURE ULCER SORES THAT OCCUR FROM
3 PEOPLE WITH TRUE REHAB. BUT YOUR POINT WOULD STILL BE ACCURATE
4 THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE MED-SURG REFLECTS PATIENTS FROM
5 L.A.C.+ U.S.C. SO THERE IS A GROUP THAT IS NOT THAT.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND THAT IS A PARTIAL NUMBER OF THE PEOPLE THAT
8 ARE BEING TRANSFERRED ALMOST ON A DAILY BASIS EITHER TO RANCHO
9 OR TO PRIVATE CONTRACTS BECAUSE THIS BOARD DECIDED NOT TO
10 BUILD A HOSPITAL TO WHAT EVERYBODY RECOMMENDED IT TO BE 750
11 BEDS AFTER SIX YEARS OF SCRUTINY AND REPORTS. SO NOW ACCORDING
12 TO YOUR PLAN, WHICH IS IN 2018 WHEN THE DEMOLITION IS DONE AT
13 RANCHO LOS AMIGOS, THIS SHRINKS DOWN TO 160 BEDS. AND THAT'S
14 WHAT THIS REPORT STATES. NOW, CLARIFY FOR ME WHAT THE
15 EXPECTATION IS FOR THE 116 BEDS IN 2018 BECAUSE WE'VE HEARD
16 TWO DIFFERENT THINGS. WE HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT ON ONE HAND THAT
17 ALL 160 BEDS WILL BE NEEDED FOR REHAB PATIENTS. BUT WE HAVE
18 ALSO BEEN TOLD THAT THE TRANSFERS TO ALLEVIATE L.A. COUNTY
19 U.S.C. OVERCROWDING WOULD STILL GO TO RANCHO MED-SURG BEDS
20 AFTER 2018. SO THEY CAN'T BOTH BE CORRECT. WHICH IS THE RIGHT
21 ANSWER?

22

23 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YOU'RE CORRECT, SUPERVISOR. AND I APOLOGIZE
24 BECAUSE MY DEPARTMENT HAS PROVIDED BOTH OF THOSE MESSAGES.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** WHICH IS THE RIGHT ONE?

2

3 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** I BELIEVE THAT THE RIGHT ONE IS THERE WILL
4 BE ROOM FOR L.A.C.+U.S.C. I BELIEVE THAT THE DATA THAT YOU
5 YOURSELF HAVE JUST SAID WHICH IS THAT CURRENTLY ALL OF THE
6 PATIENTS TOGETHER OF BOTH KINDS, REHAB, MED-SURG, DO NOT EQUAL
7 162. SO TO BELIEVE THAT WE WOULD NOT BE ABLE DO THAT, YOU'D
8 HAVE TO BELIEVE THAT BETWEEN NOW AND 2018 THERE WOULD BE A
9 MAJOR INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF REHAB PATIENTS SUCH THAT THERE
10 WAS NO LONGER ROOM AT RANCHO FOR OVERFLOW FROM L.A.C.+U.S.C.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO THEN YOU SEE THE INTENDED USE OF THOSE
13 ADDITIONAL BEDS, SINCE THERE'S NOTHING MIRACULOUS THAT'S GOING
14 TO BE HAPPENING IN THE NEXT FIVE TO SIX YEARS IN THE WHOLE
15 AREA OF REHAB, THAT THEY'RE GOING TO BE USED FOR THE OVERFLOW
16 FROM L.A. COUNTY U.S.C.?

17

18 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** RIGHT.

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** OR FROM OTHER HOSPITALS?

21

22 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** RIGHT BASED ON THE PREVIOUS SET OF YEARS.
23 THE 162 BEDS MEETS THE CENSUS REQUIREMENT OF RANCHO INCLUDING
24 THE L.A.C.+U.S.C. OVERFLOW. AS YOU SAID, YOU'D HAVE TO BELIEVE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT THERE WILL BE MARKEDLY MORE REHAB PATIENTS SUCH THAT IT
2 NO LONGER CAN MEET BOTH NEEDS.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** M.L.K. WILL BE OPEN, THOUGH, RIGHT?

5

6 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** CORRECT.

7

8 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT YOU HAVE EXCESS BEDS AT RANCHO LOS AMIGOS,
9 EXCESS BEDS FOR WHAT IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE, WHICH IS A REHAB
10 FACILITY. AND THAT'S WHAT NEEDS TO BE UNDERSTOOD. SO YOU'RE
11 BUILDING EXCESS BEDS NOW. AND THE BIGGEST PROBLEM IS THAT
12 THERE'S BEEN NEVER A NEEDS ASSESSMENT DONE THAT IS ATTACHED TO
13 THIS EXPANSION. IT'S THAT SOMEBODY PULLED A FIGURE OUT AND
14 SAID LET'S BUILD A BIGGER RANCHO.

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** NOT A BIGGER ONE.

17

18 **SUP. MOLINA:** AGAIN THE ISSUE IS YOU DON'T NEED IT BIGGER
19 BECAUSE IT'S ADEQUATE AS IT IS. IT IS ADEQUATE WITHIN THAT.
20 BUT AGAIN I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH DOING A NEEDS ASSESSMENT
21 BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT SHOULD BE ATTACHED TO WHEN WE MAKE THESE
22 KINDS OF DECISIONS, IS THAT THERE SHOULD BE AN ASSESSMENT IF
23 WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ON THIS DEBT, THEN WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND
24 CLEARLY IS IT SOMETHING WE ABSOLUTELY NEED? BECAUSE WE'RE
25 GOING MAX OUT ON OUR CREDIT CARDS. AND I RAISED THE ISSUE LAST



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MONTH AND WE HAVE A MOTION BECAUSE WE REALLY HAVE TO START
2 LOOKING AT THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT. IT'S BEEN UPHELD BY THE
3 SUPREME COURT. WE ARE NOW IN FAST MODE IN ORDER TO HAVE THIS
4 IMPLEMENTED. THE PRESIDENT WAS REELECTED SO WE KNOW IT'S GOING
5 TO BE SAFE. BUT WE NEED TO HAVE AN IMPACT ON WHAT OUR
6 PROJECTIONS ARE AS FAR AS WHAT THE MONEY IS GOING TO BE, WHAT
7 OUR SITUATION IS GOING TO BE. AND HOPEFULLY DR. KATZ IS GOING
8 TO START REPORTING REGULARLY TO THE BOARD SO WE CAN HAVE A
9 CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT OUR SYSTEM IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE.
10 I'M A LITTLE TROUBLED BY IT BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW THAT OUR
11 SYSTEM IS GOING TO BE ABLE TO ATTRACT PEOPLE WITH INSURANCE. I
12 WANT IT TO. AND I THINK IT SHOULD. AND CERTAINLY RANCHO LOS
13 AMIGOS HAS BEEN ATTRACTIVE IN THE PAST BECAUSE IT IS THE ONLY
14 ONE OF THAT FACILITY. BUT THERE IS NOTHING IN THE FUTURE THAT
15 TELLS US THAT IT'S GOING TO GO BEYOND THE PRESENT AMOUNT. AND
16 EVEN IF IT WENT UP 10 PERCENT, IT DOESN'T FULL USE THE FULL
17 CAPABILITY. IT JUST DOESN'T. SO I THINK THAT'S WHY WE NEED TO
18 DO THE KIND OF ASSESSMENT IN ORDER TO FIND OUT: IS THE DEMAND
19 FOR THESE KINDS OF REHAB BEDS GOING TO GO UP OR IS IT GOING TO
20 GO DOWN? WE DON'T KNOW. WE DON'T KNOW. WE CERTAINLY KNOW,
21 UNFORTUNATELY, THAT THE DEMAND FOR MED-SURG BEDS WILL PROBABLY
22 GO UP. AND WHY, AGAIN, THIS IS MY GUESS, IT'S NOT BASED ON THE
23 NEEDS ASSESSMENT, BUT AS PEOPLE GET INSURANCE THAT DON'T HAVE
24 INSURANCE TODAY, THEY'RE GOING TO GO TO THE HUNTINGTONS,
25 THEY'RE GOING GO TO THE CEDARS, THEY'RE GOING TO GO TO WHITE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MEMORIAL AND ALL OF THOSE OTHER PRIVATE NONPROFIT HOSPITALS
2 THAT IN THE PAST HAVE THE BEEN PROVIDING HEALTHCARE FOR PEOPLE
3 WHO DO HAVE INSURANCE. I AM HOPING AND WE ARE COUNTING ON DR.
4 KATZ AND HIS DEPARTMENT TO REVAMP OUR HEALTHCARE SYSTEM SO
5 HOPEFULLY WE'RE GOING TO BE ATTRACTIVE ENOUGH SO WE CAN INVITE
6 PEOPLE WITH REVENUE TO COME, OTHERWISE WE ARE GOING TO BE
7 BECAUSE WE WILL STILL HAVE 17,000, SECTION 17,000, WHICH MEANS
8 OUR EMERGENCY ROOM WILL BE OPEN AND EVERYONE WILL COME TO IT.
9 AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO PROVIDE HEALTHCARE INSURANCE PROBABLY
10 TO OUR CAPACITY WILL PROBABLY BE 90 PERCENT OF PEOPLE WHO DO
11 NOT HAVE ANY INSURANCE. AND UNDER THE OBAMA CARE ACT WILL
12 NEVER HAVE INSURANCE UNDER THAT METHOD. I THINK THAT IS
13 SOMETHING THAT'S TROUBLING AND WE NEED TO DEAL WITH IT. NOW,
14 PRESENTLY AS I UNDERSTAND, THAT RANCHO CURRENTLY HAS 75,000
15 OUTPATIENT VISITS PER YEAR. AND THE PLAN IS TO ACCOMMODATE THE
16 SAME NUMBER AFTER THE CAMPUS IS RENOVATED. WHAT NEEDS
17 ASSESSMENT WAS DONE TO PROJECT THAT NUMBER? >DR. MITCHELL
18 KATZ: BASED JUST ON CURRENT VOLUME. THE STRAIGHT LINE ASSUMING
19 -- I WILL SAY THAT CERTAINLY IF YOU WERE INCREASING VOLUME, I
20 WOULD SAY -- AND THIS POINT HAS BEEN MADE ABOUT ALL
21 FACILITIES. WHY AREN'T MEDICAL FACILITIES OPEN EVENINGS AND
22 WEEKENDS? WHICH IS WHEN THE AVERAGE PAYING CONSUMER WANTS TO
23 BE SEEN. AND I DO FEEL WITH ALL OUR FACILITIES FOR OUR
24 PATIENTS WE SHOULD BE PUSHING THAT IF WE NEED MORE CAPACITY,



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WE NEED TO BE MORE RESPONSIVE TO WORKING PEOPLE AND WHEN THEY
2 WANT TO GO FOR CARE. BUT THAT ONLY HELPS YOU MORE.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** I KNOW CAPACITY IS ONE THING BUT KEEP IN MIND YOU
5 HAVE TO FIND A WAY TO OPERATE THAT BED. SO YOU'RE GOING TO
6 HAVE TO STAFF THAT BED, AS WELL, WHICH IS GOING TO INCREASE
7 YOUR COST, AS WELL.

8

9 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** CORRECT.

10

11 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO YOU HAVE TO LOOK THAT. IT IS A VERY CONVENIENT
12 THING TO DO, BUT IS IT AFFORDABLE WHEN YOU HAVE TO TALK ABOUT
13 HOW YOU'RE GOING TO STAFF THOSE BEDS. SO THAT'S THE ISSUE, AS
14 WELL. AS FAR AS THE COST, WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THAT WE'RE
15 GOING TO BORROW THROUGH THE ISSUANCE OF 30-YEAR BONDS TO PAY
16 FOR 404 MILLION DOLLARS OF CONSTRUCTION COSTS, BUT ACTUALLY
17 WE'RE BORROWING 477 MILLION DOLLARS, ALMOST A HALF A BILLION
18 DOLLARS. SO THE ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENT IS GOING TO BE
19 ABOUT 27 MILLION STARTING IN 2018 AND WILL GO ON FOR 30 YEARS.
20 NOW, THE DEBT SERVICE PAYMENT IS ON TOP OF WHAT YOU ALREADY
21 HAVE, AS I UNDERSTAND, A 62 MILLION DOLLARS THE DEPARTMENT OF
22 HEALTH SERVICES PAYS FOR ALL OF ITS PROJECTS. THAT'S THE BOND
23 INDEBTEDNESS THAT YOU HAVE FOR 2013, 2014. AND SO NOW ON TOP
24 OF THAT, YOU ARE GOING TO BE PAYING UP TO 45 MILLION, IF I'M
25 NOT MISTAKEN, FOR THE ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD DEBT, CORRECT?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND SO EVEN WITHOUT RANCHO, THE DEPARTMENT WILL
5 BE SADDLED WITH OVER 100 MILLION DOLLARS IN DEBT SERVICE
6 PAYMENTS EACH YEAR, RIGHT?

7

8 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES, SUPERVISOR.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S THE NUMBER YOU ARE CARRYING IN YOUR
11 BUDGET.

12

13 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** SIXTY-TWO, ABSOLUTELY, NO 100.

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** RIGHT NOW YOUR ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENT IS
16 27 MILLION DOLLARS. COME FISCAL YEAR 2018 IT WILL GO TO 59
17 BECAUSE SOME OF THAT CURRENT DEBT WILL BE RETIRED.

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** WAIT. RIGHT NOW WHAT IS THE DEBT SERVICE?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE ANNUAL DEBT PAYMENT TO DATE IS 27.3
22 MILLION DOLLARS.

23

24 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** SO JUST TO RECONCILE, SUPERVISOR, I THINK
25 WHAT THE SUPERVISOR SAID WAS '13-'14 IN WHICH CASE THE NUMBER



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SHE STATED IS THE NUMBER THAT I HAVE BECAUSE IT INVOLVES THE
2 INCLUSION OF M.L.K. AND HIGH DESERT MACC. SO SHE WAS SAYING
3 NOT TODAY BUT '13/'14.

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** OH, OKAY.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** CORRECT? ALL RIGHT. SO AGAIN. THE DEPARTMENT OF
8 HEALTH SERVICES IS GOING TO BE SADDLED WITH 100 MILLION IN
9 DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS EACH YEAR. THAT'S WHAT YOU WILL HAVE.
10 AND TRADITIONALLY THE DEPARTMENTS PAY FOR DEBT SERVICE FROM
11 THEIR OWN BUDGETS. SO IN ORDER TO PAY THIS ADDITIONAL 27
12 MILLION DOLLARS THAT YOU NOW HAVE FOR RANCHO, THIS IS WHAT I'M
13 NOT SURE ABOUT BECAUSE MR. FUJIOKA WAS NOT CLEAR TO MR.
14 ANTONOVICH'S QUESTION ABOUT WHERE IT'S GOING TO COME FROM. IS
15 IT COMING OUT OF YOUR BUDGET? BECAUSE YOU SAID IT WASN'T. AND
16 THEN IT WAS TURNED AROUND AND ASKED OF MR. FUJIOKA AND HE
17 DIDN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION.

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WHAT I HAD MENTIONED BECAUSE WE WORKED WITH
20 THE DEPARTMENT SPECIFICALLY WITH ALLAN WECKER AND WE ASKED HIM
21 TO THE EXTEND THE BOARD CAN AFFORD THE DEBT PAYMENTS. HE SAID
22 THERE'S A LOT OF UNKNOWNNS. HE'S CONFIDENT IN SEVERAL PRO
23 FORMAS THAT HE'S DEVELOPED THAT THEY WILL HAVE THE REVENUE TO
24 PAY FOR BOTH ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS AND RANCHO BUT AS YOU
25 STATED EARLIER EVERYBODY AGREES WITH THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT,



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THERE ARE A LOT OF UNKNOWNNS. DR. KATZ SAID THERE'S A VERY
2 POSITIVE SCENARIO THAT COULD RESULT IN A LOT OF REVENUE AND
3 THERE'S A NEGATIVE SCENARIO THAT COULD RESULT IN LESS REVENUE.
4 BUT WHAT I HAD MENTIONED SHOULD THE DEPARTMENT NOT BE ABLE TO
5 AND GIVEN THE IMPORTANCE OF BOTH ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS AND
6 RANCHO AND GIVEN, IN MY MIND, I COULD BE WRONG, BUT THE
7 POSITION THAT I'M TAKING IS THAT WITH ELECTRONIC HEALTH
8 RECORDS AND RANCHO, THE PROJECTS THAT ARE VITAL TO THE
9 DEPARTMENT AND SO SHOULD THE WORST-CASE SCENARIO HAPPEN, I
10 DON'T SEE THE COUNTY NOT STEPPING UP AND FILLING THAT GAP.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? LET'S UNDERSTAND THIS
13 CORRECTLY. WHAT YOU JUST SAID, I WANT TO KNOW WHAT IT MEANS.

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WHAT I MENTIONED IN TALKING, IT'S TOO BAD
16 ALLAN'S NOT HERE. THIS SCENARIO IS THAT THE CHIEF FINANCIAL
17 OFFICER FOR D.H.S. HAS PUT TOGETHER HE FEELS THAT ONE OF THE
18 BEST CASE SCENARIOS THAT D.H.S. WILL HAVE SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO
19 MEET THE DEBT RESPONSIBILITIES NOT ONLY FOR THE EXISTING DEBT,
20 WHICH HAS A NUMBER OF PROJECTS, BUT ALSO FOR THESE TWO
21 PROJECTS. AND MIND YOU WE HAVE OTHER PROJECTS THAT ARE -- THAT
22 HAVEN'T BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD BUT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED WE
23 HAVE THE MASTER PLAN FOR HARBOR U.C.L.A. MEDICAL CENTER,
24 L.A.C.+U.S.C. AND KING MEDICAL CENTER BUT THEY FEEL, ALLAN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FEELS THAT IN A BEST CASE SCENARIO, THERE WILL BE SUFFICIENT
2 REVENUE TO PAY FOR IT.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** THESE ARE NOT GOOD ANSWERS TO A VERY CLEAR
5 QUESTION, ALL RIGHT? "WE THINK THERE MIGHT BE", "IT LOOKS
6 LIKE", "OUR FISCAL PERSON HAS SAID THERE MIGHT BE"? WE'RE
7 TALKING ABOUT REAL DEBT. DR. KATZ, YOU GOT THE MONEY?

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** DO YOU WANT ALLAN TO COME UP AND SPEAK?

10

11 **SUP. MOLINA:** WHY? DOES ALLAN WORK FOR YOU OR WORK FOR HIM?

12

13 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** ALLAN IS MY C.F. O. BUT I THINK,
14 SUPERVISOR, YOUR POINT IS WELL TAKEN. AND I DON'T KNOW THAT I
15 CAN FULLY ADDRESS IT IN THE SENSE OF I BELIEVE THAT ULTIMATELY
16 AS A HEALTH DIRECTOR WHAT YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO EXPECT FROM ME
17 IS TO PROVIDE THE BEST HEALTH SERVICES. I GET THAT IT'S YOUR
18 BOARD WHO HAS TO DETERMINE HOW YOU WEIGH A VARIETY OF CAPITAL
19 INFRASTRUCTURE PROBLEMS AND HOW YOU WEIGH THAT AGAINST THE
20 TOTAL DEBT AND WHAT PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE IN LOS ANGELES WANT.
21 SO I WOULD NOT WANT TO REPRESENT THAT WE CAN BE CERTAIN. AND
22 ALLAN WOULD NOT SAY HE WOULD BE CERTAIN. AND MR. FUJIOKA DID
23 NOT SAY WE'RE GOING TO BE CERTAIN. WE ARE LOOKING AT A
24 SCENARIO. THERE IS A SCENARIO OUT THERE IS IN THE REPORT
25 THAT'S BEEN DELIVERED THAT WILL BE DISCUSSED THAT YOU WILL



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 LOSE A LOT OF YOUR REVENUE AND HAVE YOUR EXPENSES. IN WHICH
2 CASE, NO WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THE DEBT SERVICE.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** YEAH, NO KIDDING. NO YOU WILL NOT BE ABLE TO. DR.
5 KATZ, FINANCING ISN'T A BIG THING. EVERYBODY CAN DO IT. IT'S
6 LIKE TAKING OUT A CHECKBOOK. HERE WE'RE TALKING MILLIONS AND
7 BILLIONS. BUT IT'S NO DIFFERENT THAN ANY ONE DOES. CAN I
8 AFFORD TO BUY A MILLION DOLLAR HOME? THIS IS WHAT IT'S GOING
9 TO COST ME EVERY MONTH. CAN I AFFORD THAT? DO I WANT IT? OF
10 COURSE I WANT IT. DO I NEED IT? OF COURSE I NEED IT. MAYBE
11 SOMETHING WILL HAPPEN IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF YEARS. I MIGHT WIN
12 THE LOTTERY. I MIGHT DO SOMETHING THAT I MIGHT BE ABLE TO PAY
13 FOR IT. BUT PRESENT DAY I HAVE TO THINK ABOUT WHAT IS IT THAT
14 I'M GOING TO GO WITHOUT IN ORDER TO PAY FOR THAT MORTGAGE?
15 WHAT WILL I GO WITHOUT? AND I THINK THAT'S THE QUESTION WE
16 HAVE TO ASK OF THIS HEALTH BUDGET. I WAS HERE WHEN THIS ROOM
17 WAS LOADED WITH ALL OF OUR EMPLOYEES NOT TO LOSE THEIR JOBS
18 AND WE DID EVERYTHING HERE. AND WE HAD TO STAND UP TO MONTHS
19 OF CONSTANT EVERYBODY NOT WANTING TO LOSE THEIR JOBS. AND WE
20 HAD TO CUT BACK, WAY BACK. I SAID I'D NEVER GO BACK TO A 1994
21 BECAUSE THAT WAS A TERRIBLE PERIOD OF TIME WHEN A LOT OF
22 PEOPLE LOST THEIR JOBS. I AM NOT WILLING TO PUT THAT SAME
23 STRAIGHTJACKET ON MY SUCCESSOR HERE. THAT WE ARE GOING TO
24 CHOOSE BECAUSE WE WANT THE MILLION DOLLAR HOME. I WILL NOT BE
25 ABLE TO AFFORD TO PAY OR FEED MY CHILDREN OR CLOTHE THEM. YOU



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HAVE TO LOOK AT PRIORITIES. I DON'T KNOW WHAT KIND OF OUIJA
2 BOARD ALLAN HAS RIGHT NOW, I REALLY DON'T KNOW. I MEAN I'M
3 GOING ASK HIM AND YOU TO COME UP EVERY MONTH AND TELL ME ABOUT
4 THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT AND HOW I AM GOING TO BE ABLE TO
5 ATTRACT PEOPLE WITH INSURANCE TO PAY FOR THE INDIGENTS. THAT'S
6 THE ONLY WAY WE'RE GOING TO PAY FOR IT. OUR NET COUNTY COST
7 CANNOT PAY FOR ALL OF THAT HEALTHCARE. IT HAS TO BE SUBSIDIZED
8 AT SOME LEVEL. AND WE KNOW THAT UNDER OBAMA CARE, THESE PEOPLE
9 ARE NOT GOING TO GET ANY INSURANCE WHATSOEVER AND WE DON'T
10 KNOW YET THAT THEY'RE GOING TO GIVE US ANY EXTRA MONEY FOR
11 THAT AT THIS POINT IN TIME. SO ALLAN WILL HAVE TO DO AN AWFUL
12 LOT OF WORK OF FIGURING OUT HOW THAT IS GOING TO WORK AND WE
13 KNOW THAT TO BE A FACT TODAY, ALLAN. IT ISN'T A WE MIGHT COME
14 BACK WITH THE MONEY IN 2015 AND FIGURE OUT HOW TO PAY FOR IT.
15 IT'S A REALITY OF OUR SITUATION. SO I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED
16 ABOUT HOW WE'RE ACTING SO CAVALIER ABOUT THEY CAN COME UP WITH
17 THE MONEY, WE THINK THERE'S POSSIBILITIES. ALLAN, IF YOU WANT
18 TO COME TO THE CENTER TABLE, I WILL BE HAPPY TO ASK YOU THE
19 QUESTION SO YOU CAN TELL ME WHERE IT'S GOING TO COME FROM. DID
20 YOU GET THE FIGURES BEFOREHAND? WERE YOU LISTENING?

21

22 **ALLAN WECKER:** YES, I WAS.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO TELL ME AGAIN, OF THE 100 MILLION DOLLARS
2 RIGHT NOW THAT IS COMING OUT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
3 SERVICES BUDGET, RIGHT?

4

5 **ALLAN WECKER:** YES, IT IS.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND THAT IS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF ITS
8 BUDGETING.

9

10 **ALLAN WECKER:** YES IT IS.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** FOR 2013-2014. IS THAT CORRECT? RIGHT, DR. KATZ,
13 THAT'S CORRECT?

14

15 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES.

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND THE 27 MILLION, AS WELL.

18

19 **ALLAN WECKER:** 27 MILLION IS RANCHO? YES, THE GOAL WOULD BE TO
20 BE ABLE TO PAY FOR IT OUT OF OUR EXISTING RESOURCES.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** OUT OF WHAT?

23

24 **ALLAN WECKER:** OUT OF BASICALLY-- WHAT WE'RE HOPING TO DO,
25 BECAUSE THE RANCHO-



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** WAIT, WAIT. "HOPING"? IS THAT A WORD YOU USE IN
3 BUDGETING? WHERE IS IT COMING FROM? DON'T HOPE ABOUT MONEY.
4 TELL ME WHERE.

5

6 **ALLAN WECKER:** THE 27 MILLION, THE FIRST TIME IT'S GOING TO HIT
7 OUR BUDGET IS IN 1819.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** IN 1819 OR 2018?

10

11 **ALLAN WECKER:** SORRY 2018.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT WOULD BE NICE IF IT WAS SITTING THERE IN 1819.

14

15 **ALLAN WECKER:** IN 2018/'19. AND THE KEY THAT BOTH YOU AND DR.
16 KATZ CAME IN IS THAT REALLY IT IS, THE AMOUNT OF INSURANCE
17 PATIENTS THAT WE HAVE IN THE SYSTEM. AND THE KEY RIGHT NOW IS
18 GOING TO BE ONCE, GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE. HEALTHY WAY L.A., WE
19 GET APPROXIMATELY 150 MILLION DOLLARS. WHEN THIS POPULATION
20 TURNS TO 100 PERCENT FEDERAL IN JANUARY OF 2014, THAT AMOUNT
21 IMMEDIATELY GOES TO 300 MILLION BECAUSE THE CURRENT SHARING
22 RATIO BETWEEN US AND THE FEDS ARE 50/50. THIS GROUP GOES
23 THROUGH SO WE IMMEDIATELY GET ADDITIONAL REVENUE IN OUR
24 SYSTEM. THE KEY IS GOING TO BE: CAN WE KEEP THOSE PEOPLE IN
25 OUR SYSTEM DURING THE TIME PERIOD.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** THANK YOU. THAT IS THE KEY. SO NOW, MR. NO
3 INSURANCE, RIGHT, WHO GOES TO L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. AND NOW HE
4 GETS INSURANCE. SO DOES HE GO TO L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. OR DOES HE
5 GO TO HUNTINGTON OR DOES HE GO TO WHITE OR DOES HE GO TO
6 POMONA? WHERE DOES HE GO?

7

8 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** HISTORICALLY HE LEAVES US. YOU KNOW THAT.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S HISTORICALLY WHAT HAS HAPPENED.

11

12 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** RIGHT, HISTORICALLY HE LEAVES US. OR MORE
13 PARTICULARLY SHE LEAVES US BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED WITH
14 PREGNANT WOMEN AND THEIR CHILDREN.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND THAT'S ALWAYS WHAT HAS HAPPENED. BUT WHAT
17 WE'RE GOING TO DO IS HOPEFULLY TRANSFORM OUR SYSTEM, RIGHT?

18

19 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** CORRECT. AND WE HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT
20 WE HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL. IT'S A WORK IN PROGRESS.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** WE DON'T HAVE A HISTORY OF BEING SUCCESSFUL, BUT
23 WE HOPE WE CAN BE.

24

25 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** CORRECT.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1
2 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND HOPE ABOUT ATTRACTING IS NOT WORTHWHILE. WHAT
3 I'M TALKING ABOUT IS ACTUAL EXPENSE. YOU ARE NOW COMMITTING 27
4 MILLION DOLLAR ADDITIONAL DEBT SERVICE. I WANT TO UNDERSTAND
5 HOW IT WILL COME OUT OF THE PRESENT BUDGET UNLESS, KEEP IN
6 MIND YOU WILL HAVE ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS, AS WELL, BECAUSE
7 YOU'RE ALSO GOING TO KNOW THAT NOW YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET ALL
8 THESE ADDITIONAL MONIES THAT HAVE BEEN COMING IN THROUGH
9 DIFFERENT KIND MUCH MECHANISMS IN ALL DIFFERENT KINDS OF WAYS
10 FROM THE FEDS. THAT'S GOING TO CHANGE. NOW YOU HAVE TO HAVE
11 INSURANCE CARRYING PEOPLE WALK INTO THE DOOR. AND THAT'S HOW
12 YOU'RE GOING GET YOUR REVENUE. I HAVEN'T SEEN THOSE DYNAMICS
13 AND I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU ALL PRODUCED A BUDGET THAT SHOW ME
14 HOW THAT'S GOING TO WORK IN 2018. BUT I GUESS WHAT I'M ASKING
15 IS: YOU'RE OBLIGATING NOW OR YOU'RE SAYING TO US THAT YOU CAN
16 ABSORB 27 MILLION DOLLARS, AND I WON'T BE HERE IN 2018. I WILL
17 BE WATCHING FROM THAT TV LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE WATCHES, AND I
18 WILL BE WONDERING IF ALLAN AND DR. KATZ WILL BE TRYING TO
19 FIGURE OUT WHERE THAT 27 MILLION DOLLARS IS GOING TO PAY FOR
20 OR WHAT RECOMMENDATIONS THEY'LL BE MAKING TO THAT BOARD AT
21 THAT POINT IN TIME ABOUT HOW MANY EMPLOYEES WE SHOULD LAYOFF.
22 I'M WONDERING WHAT YOU'RE GOING DO. BECAUSE THIS IS NOT-- THE
23 AFFORDABLE CARE ACT FOR US IS RISKY. I SUPPORTED IT AND I
24 WANTED IT TO HAPPEN. I THINK THAT EVERYONE SHOULD HAVE HEALTH
25 INSURANCE. AND I'M VERY CONCERNED BECAUSE ONE OF THE THINGS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT WE KNOW WILL HAPPEN IS 17,000 WILL NOT GO AWAY. AND WE
2 WILL CONTINUE TO BE A MECHANISM OR THE HEALTH DELIVERY
3 PROVIDER OF LAST RESORT FOR ANYONE WITHOUT INSURANCE. AND THAT
4 IS GOING TO COME OUT OF OUR NET COUNTY COST AND IT'S
5 EXPENSIVE, SO THAT'S THE REALITY THAT'S WHAT THIS BOARD HAS TO
6 AGREE. UNLESS THERE IS SOME KIND OF AGREEMENT NOW THAT IT'S
7 COMING OUT OF OUR NET COUNTY COSTS TODAY. IS THIS 27 MILLION
8 DOLLARS GOING TO COME OUT OF OUR NET COUNTY COSTS?

9

10 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** WHEN YOU SAY NET COUNTY COSTS YOU MEAN THE
11 COUNTY AS OPPOSED TO D.H.S.?

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S CORRECT.

14

15 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** HERE OBVIOUSLY I NEED TO HEAR FROM YOU
16 SINCE I'M ONLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE HEALTH. I OF COURSE AS A
17 HEALTH DIRECTOR, I SAY COUNTY HAS A VARIETY OF CAPITAL NEEDS.
18 I'M CERTAINLY NOT GOING TO BE OPPOSED TO THE IDEA THAT THE
19 COUNTY WANTS TO PRIORITIZE HEALTH FACILITIES.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** THE COUNTY IS ASKING FOR IT TO COME OUT OF YOUR
22 BUDGET. AND MR. WECKER IS HOPING THAT THE MONEY WILL BE THERE.

23

24 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** AND MR. FUJIOKA SAID THE MONEY WILL NOT BE
25 THERE, I HAVE BEEN VERY CLEAR. THE MONEY WILL BE THERE. WHAT I



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DON'T WANT IS I DON'T WANT TO CUT SERVICES IN ORDER TO PAY FOR
2 A BUILDING BECAUSE TO ME THAT MAKES NO SENSE, RIGHT? YOU HAVE
3 A BUILDING. NO DOCTORS, NO NURSES WHAT GOOD IS THAT?

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** I GOT TO LAYOFF SOMEBODY TO PAY OFF 27 MILLION
6 UNLESS I KNOW WHERE IT'S COMING FROM SOMEWHERE. >DR. MITCHELL
7 KATZ: RIGHT SO IT DOES NOT SEEM UNREASONABLE TO ME, BUT I HAVE
8 NO KNOWLEDGE OF THE COUNTY'S OVERALL CAPITAL GOALS, TO SAY
9 THAT I WILL HANDLE IT, BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE THAT WE WILL BE A
10 SUCCESS. I HAVE TO BELIEVE THAT WE'RE A SUCCESS. I HAVE TO DO
11 EVERYTHING POSSIBLE FOR US TO BE A SUCCESS. BUT THAT IF THERE
12 IS NOT ENOUGH MONEY, THAT'S WHERE I SAID TO MR. FUJIOKA, WOULD
13 THE COUNTY BE ABLE TO BACK STOP US IF WE COULDN'T. AND I THINK
14 THAT MY OWN FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROJECT WOULD HAVE BEEN
15 DIFFERENT IF HE WOULD HAVE SAID "NO, MITCH, ABSOLUTELY NOT. IF
16 YOU SUPPORT THIS PROJECT, IT'S COMING OUT OF HEALTH MONEY.
17 EVEN IF THAT MEANS YOU'RE FIRING DOCTORS AND NURSES." I THINK,
18 SUPERVISOR, I WOULD HAVE HAD A DIFFERENT FEELING.

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO OBVIOUSLY MR. FUJIOKA ASSURES YOU IT WOULD
21 COME OUT OF THAT COUNTY COST IF YOU DON'T HAVE THE MONEY,
22 THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE SAYING? >DR. MITCHELL KATZ: YES.

23

24 **SUP. MOLINA:** WELL MR. FUJIOKA HASN'T GOTTEN APPROVAL FROM US
25 ON THAT YET. BUT IT SEEMS LIKE YOU'VE BEEN RUNNING A ONE MAN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SHOW OVER HERE ALL OF A SUDDEN. LET ME ASK YOU A DIFFERENT
2 QUESTION ABOUT THE 27 MILLION DOLLARS. IF WE WERE TO GIVE YOU
3 27 MILLION DOLLARS UNDER HEALTHCARE REFORM, IS THIS WHERE YOU
4 WOULD SEND THE MONEY?

5

6 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** IT'S HARD SUPERVISOR TO ANSWER THAT BECAUSE
7 GENERALLY YOU AS A HEALTH PLANNER ARE TAUGHT TO THINK OF
8 CAPITAL COSTS AND OPERATING COSTS DIFFERENTLY. I WOULD HAVE TO
9 WORK ON THAT QUESTION.

10

11 **SUP. MOLINA:** I DIDN'T SAY IT WAS ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. I'M
12 GIVING YOU 27 MILLION DOLLARS.

13

14 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** I UNDERSTAND THAT. WHEN I LOOK AT THE
15 RANCHO PROJECT, I SAY YOU HAVE 150 BEDS. YOU'RE NEVER GOING TO
16 FAVOR CLOSING THOSE BEDS. THAT WOULD NOT BE A SENSIBLE THING
17 TO DO. AND I KNOW YOU'RE NOT ADVOCATING FOR THAT. SO MY
18 PRIMARY PRIORITY IS THAT THOSE 150 BEDS HAVE TO OPERATE BEYOND
19 2020. I THINK ANY FISCAL PERSON, INCLUDING FROM WHAT YOU'VE
20 SAID YOURSELF AND THE PRIOR APPROVAL WOULD SUPPORT THAT. I
21 THINK BEYOND THAT, IF I HAD TO FACE A CHOICE, I WOULD HAVE TO
22 LOOK AT WHAT I COULD GET ON EITHER SIDE AND WHAT THE
23 IMPLICATIONS. AND I'D WANT TO DISCUSS THAT OPENLY WITH YOU AND
24 THE PUBLIC. I MEAN THAT'S NOT A QUESTION FOR JUST ME TO
25 ANSWER.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. BUT HERE THAT IS WHAT WE'RE DOING
3 RIGHT NOW. THAT IS WHAT WE'RE DOING RIGHT NOW. WITHOUT ANY
4 NEEDS ANALYSIS, WITHOUT ANY EVALUATION OF HEALTHCARE REFORM,
5 WITHOUT ANY EVALUATION WHATSOEVER. RIGHT NOW WE'RE GETTING
6 DOUBLE ANSWERS. IT'S GOING TO BE A REHAB FACILITY, BUT HALF OF
7 THE BEDS ARE GOING TO BE MED-SURG FOR OVERFLOW FROM L.A.
8 COUNTY U.S.C. IT'S CONFUSING, CONFUSING SET OF RESPONSES. AND
9 A LOT OF IT IS BECAUSE IT'S BEING GLOSSED OVER. AND IT'S JUST
10 REALLY SOMETHING THAT I TREMENDOUSLY RESENT BECAUSE I THINK
11 THIS IS A SIGNIFICANT ISSUE. NOT INSIGNIFICANT IN ITS
12 IMPORTANCE. A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE. WE NEED TO DO A NEEDS
13 ASSESSMENT AS TO ARE WE -- AND I KNOW THE ACCUSATIONS THAT I
14 RECEIVE WHEN I WANTED TO BUILD A 750-BED HOSPITAL AT L.A.
15 COUNTY U.S.C. WITH BACKUP, WITH PEOPLE COMING IN HERE AND
16 TESTIFYING FROM EXPERTS SAYING THAT IS WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO
17 NEED. BUT, INSTEAD, IT WAS DECIDED WE COULDN'T AFFORD THAT. SO
18 WE WERE ONLY GOING TO BUILD A 600. EVEN THOUGH THE NEED WAS
19 THERE. NOW WE'RE BEING TOLD "LET'S BUILD SOMETHING WITHOUT THE
20 NEED." AND NOBODY COMING TO THE TABLE AND SAYING THERE IS A
21 NEED. NOBODY COMING TO THE TABLE AND SAYING THERE'S A NEED. SO
22 IT JUST IS REALLY DIFFERENT WHEN IT'S ONE SIDE OF TOWN VERSUS
23 ANOTHER SIDE OF TOWN. THE PATIENTS ARE ALL THE SAME. RANCHO
24 DESERVES TO BE THE HIGH CALIBER HOSPITAL THAT IT ALWAYS HAS
25 BEEN AND SHOULD BE MAINTAINED AS STATE-OF-THE-ART. BUT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OVERBUILDING BEDS WHERE THEY ARE NOT NEEDED DOES NOT MAKE
2 SENSE. NOBODY HERE CAN SAY THAT IT MAKES SENSE BECAUSE THERE
3 IS NO DOCUMENTATION, THERE IS NO NEEDS ASSESSMENT THAT SAYS
4 160 BEDS IS WHAT YOU WILL FILL WITH REHAB PATIENTS. THERE IS
5 NOTHING TODAY THAT WE HAVE. NOW LET ME GO THROUGH THE ANALYSIS
6 ABOUT THIS BONDED INDEBTEDNESS WHICH AGAIN HAS VARIOUS
7 SCENARIOS. IF I'M READING THIS CORRECTLY, THE COUNTY BONDED
8 ALL OF ITS PROJECTS CURRENTLY ON THE TABLE, I AM TOLD, ON --
9 THIS WAS A LIST THAT I WAS GIVEN BY MR. FUJIOKA'S REPORT I AM
10 TOLD THAT WE HAVE HERE 7 BILLION DOLLARS IN DEBT SERVICE WHICH
11 WOULD PEAK AT A LITTLE OVER 300 MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR IN
12 2021. IS THAT CORRECT MR. FUJIOKA?

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE LIST WE WERE ASKED TO COMPILE WAS PROJECTS
15 THAT ARE PROJECTS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN APPROVED BY THE
16 BOARD, PROJECTS THAT ARE AND PENDING BOARD APPROVAL, AND THEN
17 PROJECTS THAT REPRESENT BOARD REQUESTS. THE SEVEN BILLION
18 DOLLARS REPRESENTS EVERYTHING SHOULD THE BOARD DECIDE TO GO
19 FORWARD WITH EVERY KIND OF SYSTEM, INCLUDING THOSE THAT
20 REPRESENT THE BOARD-REQUESTED PROJECTS AND THOSE PROJECTS
21 PENDING BOARD APPROVAL. AND SO WE WERE ASKED, FOR EXAMPLE, WE
22 HAVE THE JAIL PLANS THAT WE HAVE OUT THERE. AND SOMEONE HAD
23 MENTIONED THAT A REPORT HASN'T COME FORTH BECAUSE IT IS OUR
24 INTENT TO SUBMIT THE REPORT PROBABLY IN THE LATER PART OF
25 DECEMBER. WE'RE WAITING TO HAVE THE DISCUSSION ON THE



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 ALTERNATIVES FOR INCARCERATION. BUT WHAT WE DID TO INSURE THAT
2 EVERYTHING WAS PUT OUT THERE, IF THERE'S ANY KIND OF PROJECT
3 THAT HAD THE POSSIBILITY OF MOVING FORWARD, WE LISTED IT. THE
4 D.W.P. _____ YARD RELOCATON PROJECT IS SOMETHING THAT HAS
5 NOT BEEN CONSIDERED BY THIS BOARD. IT'S SOMETHING THAT I THINK
6 WOULD BE A GOOD THING TO DO BECAUSE THE POTENTIAL USE THAT I
7 THINK WE'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH YOUR OFFICE. THAT'S ON A
8 REQUESTED PROJECT LIST. THAT CLEARLY ISN'T SOMETHING THAT WE
9 HAVE ANY BOARD DIRECTION TO MOVE FORWARD RIGHT NOW. BUT WE
10 WANTED TO PUT THE COMPLETE UNIVERSE ON THIS LIST SO THAT
11 THERE'S 718 AS ACCURATE AS YOU DESCRIBE IT, BUT IT DOES
12 INCLUDE SOME ITEMS THAT NEED CONSIDERABLE BOARD REVIEW AND
13 WITH THAT APPROVAL.

14

15 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU KNOW, IT'S INTERESTING, TALK ABOUT FEELING A
16 LITTLE BIT RESENTFUL. I LOOKED AT THIS, AND I LOOKED AT THE
17 L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. AND THIS IS SEVEN BILLION DOLLARS. SEVEN
18 BILLION DOLLARS. AND HE FORGOT TO PUT A NUMBER FOR L.A. COUNTY
19 U.S.C.

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** HE PUT THE NUMBER FOR THE RELOCATION THAT WOULD
22 START YOUR FOOTPRINT.

23

24 **SUP. MOLINA:** I KNOW, BUT THERE'S NO NUMBER.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. KNABE:** SAME CREDIT CARD, TOO, BY THE WAY.

2

3 **SUP. MOLINA:** YES IT'S THE SAME CREDIT CARD.

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT YOU HAVE TO REMOVE THAT BEFORE YOU BUILD YOUR
6 FOOTPRINT.

7

8 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO WHAT'S THE COST FOR THAT?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAD TALKED TO D.W.P. AT THE TIME THIS
11 REPORT WAS PUT TOGETHER ON FRIDAY.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND THAT. THE D.W.P. REPORT CAME OUT
14 ABOUT SIX MONTHS AGO.

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT SUBSEQUENT TO THAT-

17

18 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'M JUST POINTING IT OUT. IT WAS AVAILABLE. IT
19 SHOULD BE ON THERE. WHICH WOULD MAKE THE SEVEN BILLION A
20 LITTLE BIT BIGGER.

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE RANGE THEY HAD IN THAT REPORT WAS 250 TO
23 470. THAT'S A PRETTY BIG RANGE.

24

25 **SUP. MOLINA:** NO KIDDING.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SO IF I WOULD HAVE PUT 470, THEN I'M SURE
3 CRITICISM WOULD HAVE COME --

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT YOU HAVE EXACT FIGURES ON ALL OF THIS. FOR
6 EXAMPLE, ON HERE YOU HAVE AGAIN AN EXPANSION FOR WHAT IS
7 CALLED RANCHO SOUTH CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT AT 1.1 BILLION DOLLARS.
8 YOU KNOW THAT FIGURE TO BE EXACT?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH -- THAT'S THE REPORT
11 FROM THE RANCHO MASTER PLAN THAT HASN'T BEEN SIGNED OFF YET.
12 BUT WE HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH GENSLER AND ASSOCIATES AND BEEN
13 ABLE TO DO SOME WORK ON THAT.

14

15 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT THAT'S THE FIGURE, 1.1. MILLION?

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE WERE ABLE TO DO SOME WORK AND PUT THE
18 FIGURE. WHERE WE COULDN'T, LIKE THE L.A.C.+U.S.C. MASTER PLAN
19 IT SAYS TO BE DETERMINED. BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE FULL SCOPE
20 OF THAT PLAN DEVELOPED.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT YOU HAVE THE FULL SCOPE FOR THE RANCHO SOUTH
23 CAMPUS FOR 1.1 BILLION?

24

25 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE'RE CLOSE TO HAVING THAT PROJECT.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU'RE ANSWERING QUESTIONS, BILL, "I DIDN'T HAVE
3 IT AND YET WE DO HAVE IT." AND THEN "I DON'T HAVE IT." SO I'M
4 ASKING YOU YOU DON'T HAVE THE FULL SCOPE OF L.A. COUNTY.
5 U.S.C. BUT YOU DON'T ALSO HAVE THE FULL SCOPE FOR THE SOUTH
6 RANCHO, EITHER.

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAVE A REPORT THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH THE
9 FOURTH DISTRICT UPON RELEASING OUR TIMELINE FOR BRINGING IT TO
10 THE BOARD IS SOMETIME IN THE LATTER PART OF NEXT YEAR, THE
11 BEGINNING OF SUMMER.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** WELL, AGAIN, JUST SOMETIMES I GUESS SOME THINGS
14 ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN OTHERS. AND L.A. COUNTY U.S.C., WE PUT
15 A MOTION IN. IT WAS SUPPORTED BY ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES, WHICH I
16 APPRECIATED, TO LOOK AT THE COST OF TRYING TO PUT THAT
17 TOGETHER. AND, AGAIN, I THINK THAT THAT DOES HAVE TO BE
18 REFINED. WE NEED THE REAL NUMBER. WE CERTAINLY HAVE THE NEEDS
19 ASSESSMENT. IT'S THE ACTUAL CENSUS EVERY SINGLE DAY. SO WE
20 DON'T NEED A NEEDS ASSESSMENT. BUT WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT
21 EXACTLY HOW WE BEGIN THE PROCESS OF PUTTING THAT IN. THE
22 PROBLEM WILL BE IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE EXCESS BEDS AT
23 RANCHO UNLESS THEY DO A DIFFERENT NEEDS ASSESSMENT. WHICH
24 NEEDS TO BE DONE EVENTUALLY IN THIS PROCESS. SO IF THIS COUNTY
25 BONDED ALL THESE PROJECTS CURRENTLY ON THE TABLE AND ALL OF



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THESE PROJECTS ON THREE PAGES AS I SAID AMOUNT TO SEVEN
2 BILLION PLUS BECAUSE NOW WE KNOW SOME WERE LEFT OUT. THAT
3 SERVICE TO THIS DEBT WOULD BE, AS I UNDERSTAND, 300 MILLION A
4 YEAR BY 2021. NOW, THAT'S ABOUT FIVE TIMES THE 60 MILLION
5 DOLLARS THAT WE ARE PAYING TODAY. FIVE TIMES. SO I'M NOT SO
6 SURE WHEN YOU SAY YOU'RE VERY COMFORTABLE WITH WHAT THAT DEBT
7 IS, YOU SEEM TO BE VERY COMFORTABLE WITH THAT NUMBER, MR.
8 FUJIOKA.

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ACTUALLY THE CURRENT DEBT PAYMENT FOR FISCAL
11 YEAR 2012-2013 IS ABOUT 96 MILLION DOLLARS FOR CURRENT AND
12 BOARD-APPROVED PROJECTS GOING TO 2021 WE'RE LOOKING AT IF WE
13 STAY WITHIN -- IF WE ACHIEVE ONLY A ONE PERCENT GROWTH, WE'LL
14 STILL BE AT 1.4 PERCENT IN TERMS OF THE RATIO OF OUR DEBT TO
15 OUR OVERALL BUDGET. STILL WITHIN THE --

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO, AGAIN, THE TWO PERCENT RATIO WOULD BE HOW
18 MUCH?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IF WE WERE TO GO TO FULL TWO PERCENT, IT WOULD
21 BE ABOUT THAT TIME OF 2021, WE WOULD HAVE A DEBT SERVICE
22 PAYMENT OF 423 MILLION DOLLARS ANNUALLY.

23

24 **SUP. MOLINA:** HOW MUCH?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** FOUR HUNDRED TWENTY-THREE.

2

3 **SUP. MOLINA:** \$422 [SIC] MILLION. SO AT THAT POINT IN TIME, YOU
4 WOULD BE AT THE MAX OF YOUR DEBT RATIO AT THAT TIME.

5

6 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO, THE COUNTY-APPROVED POLICY.

7

8 **SUP. MOLINA:** UNDER THE POLICY.

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** UNDER THE FIGURE RECOMMENDED BY THE RATING
11 AGENCIES AS RELATES TO A PRUDENT DEBT RATIO, THAT FIGURE WOULD
12 BE 846 MILLION DOLLARS.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU HAVE TO BE CAREFUL WITH THE RATING AGENCIES
15 BECAUSE THOSE ARE THE FOLKS THAT LEND YOU MONEY. IT'S JUST
16 SORT OF SAYING THE PEOPLE THAT WENT TO COUNTRYWIDE AND SAID
17 YOU CAN GET THIS HOUSE WITH NO DOWN PAYMENT. YOU CAN AFFORD TO
18 PAY FOR IT. AND NOW THEY'VE ALL BEEN EVICTED AND HAVE BEEN
19 WONDERING HOW THEY'RE GOING TO FIGURE OUT SINCE THEY COULDN'T
20 AFFORD, THEY LOST THEIR HOMES. I THINK WE NEED TO WORRY ABOUT
21 THAT FIGURE, MR. FUJIOKA. AND I'M REALLY WORRIED ABOUT HOW
22 YOU'RE MAKING THESE KINDS OF RECOMMENDATIONS. THIS COUNTY'S
23 ALWAYS STAYED UNDER THE TWO PERCENT. AND EVEN IN ITS TOUGHEST
24 TIME. BUT, AGAIN --

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I WOULD TOTALLY AGREE WITH YOU. I WOULD NEVER
2 RECOMMEND YOU GO BEYOND TWO PERCENT.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. SO WHEN YOU'RE MAXING OUT -- MAXING
5 OUT AT THE COUNTY TWO PERCENT, THAT'S WITH THE IDEA THAT
6 NOTHING ELSE WILL HAPPEN, THAT WE DON'T NEED TO DO ANY CAPITAL
7 IMPROVEMENTS, THAT WE NOW DON'T NEED TO BUILD ANY NEW
8 BUILDINGS, YOU'RE MAXING THE COUNTY OUT. AND THAT STILL
9 DOESN'T MEET ALL OF THE NEEDS THAT ARE PUT HERE. SO I AM
10 SAYING THAT YOU HAVE TO BE CAREFUL. I KNOW THAT WHEN YOU GET A
11 CREDIT CARD, YOU'RE GIVEN A THOUSAND DOLLAR MAX. BUT THAT
12 DOESN'T MEAN GO OUT AND SPEND A GRAND TODAY. THAT'S HOPEFULLY
13 AFTER THE LIFETIME OF HAVING CREDIT ON SOMETHING. SO YOU HAVE
14 TO BE CAREFUL WITH IT. AND PEOPLE WHO DON'T MANAGE THEIR
15 CREDIT CARDS ARE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE PROBLEMS ALL OF THE TIME.
16 AND THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE WALKING ONTO. AND I'M REALLY
17 NERVOUS ABOUT IT. NOW, THERE'S ONE OTHER COMPONENT THAT I
18 CLEARLY DON'T UNDERSTAND BECAUSE YOU KNOW WE DIDN'T DISCUSS
19 THIS IN OUR BUDGET. I HAD ASSUMED AS WE WERE LOOKING AT OUR
20 BUDGET THAT WE WERE TRYING TO BE AS PRUDENT AND FISCALLY
21 CONSERVATIVE AS POSSIBLE. SO THAT JUST HAPPENED AT THE END OF
22 SEPTEMBER. BUT NOW ALL OF A SUDDEN WE HAVE THIS LIST HERE AT
23 CHRISTMASTIME, I GUESS YOU DO GIVE OUT PRESENTS AT THAT TIME.
24 AND ALL OF A SUDDEN WE GOT ALL OF THESE BILLS THAT WE'RE
25 GIVING PRESENTS TO FUTURE TAXPAYERS, I GUESS, BECAUSE WE'RE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 KIND OF MAXED OUT ON OUR CREDIT CARDS. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE IT
2 TO THE MAX. WE'RE NOT SURE. WE'RE HOPING, RIGHT, MR. WECKER,
3 WE'RE HOPING WE WILL GET THE MONEY BECAUSE USUALLY IT'S A WORD
4 FOR BUDGETING, ATTEND. OBVIOUSLY I ATTENDED DIFFERENT CLASSES
5 THAN YOU DID. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT OTHER FISCAL ISSUES, MR.
6 FUJIOKA BECAUSE I'M NOT SO SURE I UNDERSTAND HOW WE'RE GOING
7 TO PAY OUR OBLIGATIONS. ONE OF THEM THAT HONESTLY I'VE BEEN
8 TALKING ABOUT THIS HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE'RE GOING TO
9 PAY FOR OUR CREDIT CARD DEBT. BUT WE HAVEN'T -- WE HAVE
10 ANOTHER DEBT THAT IS EVEN LARGER. AND IT'S SMALL POTATOES
11 COMPARED TO OUR CREDIT CARD DEBT, WHICH IS CALLED RETIREE
12 HEALTH. I WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE TOTAL UNFUNDED RETIREE HEALTH
13 OBLIGATION IS TODAY.

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I 'D HAVE TO GET THAT FOR YOU. ON THAT
16 PARTICULAR SUBJECT, WE'VE HAD A NUMBER OF CONVERSATIONS WHERE
17 WE HAVE A HOST OF RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WILL SEEK BOARD
18 APPROVAL AND THAT WILL AGGRESSIVELY ATTACK AND REDUCE THAT
19 UNFUNDED LIABILITY.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY?

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I CAN GET IT REAL QUICKLY.

24

25 **SUP. MOLINA:** I HAVE. IT'S 24 BILLION DOLLARS.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AND WITH THAT, SOME OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS
3 THAT WE'VE ALREADY PRESENTED TO THE BOARD COULD EASILY CUT
4 THAT BY A MINIMUM OF A THIRD TO AS MUCH AS A HALF.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** OKAY. 12 BILLION DOLLARS, THAT'S UNDER THE
7 HOPEFUL SCENARIO UNDER MR. WECKER'S PROPOSAL. THAT'S 12
8 BILLION DOLLARS, RIGHT?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I'M AGREEING WITH YOU.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** ALRIGHT, SO TELL ME WHAT OUR ANNUAL RETIREE
13 HEALTH COST IS TODAY.

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** RETIREE HEALTH COST I BELIEVE IT'S ABOUT 500
16 MILLION DOLLARS.

17

18 **SUP. MOLINA:** OR BETTER KNOWN AS HALF A BILLION, RIGHT?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT'S TRUE. CURRENTLY WE'RE ON A PAY-AS-YOU-GO
21 PROCESS BY TAKING ACTION THAT -- THE PROPOSALS THAT WE'VE
22 RECOMMENDED AND ACTUALLY HAVE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD WILL
23 REDUCE THAT. BUT THE FACT IS THAT HEALTHCARE COSTS, YOU'RE
24 RIGHT, IS INCREASING. THERE'S A CONCERN WITH THAT. BUT IT'S
25 TIME --



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** HOW ARE YOU TRYING TO REDUCE IT, MR. FUJIOKA?

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL I THINK SOMETHING LIKE THAT WE SHOULD BE
5 DISCUSSING IN CLOSED SESSION BECAUSE IT WILL BE PART OF OUR
6 BARGAINING STRATEGY TO GO TO WITH LABOR, HAVING THAT
7 DISCUSSION.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO YOU WANT TO REDUCE IT BY HAVING EMPLOYEES PAY
10 MORE?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THERE'S A HOST OF ISSUES, A HOST OF ACTIONS
13 THAT CAN BE TAKEN THAT NOT ONLY INCLUDE THAT ONE ISSUE.

14

15 **SUP. MOLINA:** WHAT OTHER ONES?

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I REALLY THINK THIS IS NOT AN APPROPRIATE
18 VENUE TO TALK ABOUT THAT.

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'M JUST TRYING TO RAISE IT WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK
21 OF COSTS THAT WE HAVE, BILL. THIS IS LEGITIMATE DISCUSSION. I
22 MEAN WE'RE APPROVING AND YOU WANT US TO APPROVE 404 MILLION
23 DOLLARS OF DEBT. WE DON'T HAVE A NEEDS ASSESSMENT FOR THOSE
24 BEDS AT ALL AT THIS POINT IN TIME. I'M SAYING SLOW THE PROCESS
25 DOWN. I'M NOT SAYING DENY RANCHO. DO A NEEDS ASSESSMENT IN



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 SOME FASHION SO WE CAN TELL. DON'T GO OFF AND GIVE CHRISTMAS
2 PRESENTS OUT WITHOUT YOUR ABILITY TO PAY FOR IT. THAT'S WHAT
3 I'M SAYING. I SAID YOU SHOULD BE PRUDENT. BUT I'M ASKING YOU
4 RIGHT NOW. THIS IS UNFORTUNATELY A BOMB THAT IS BEING THROWN
5 INTO THIS PROCESS. RETIREE HEALTH HAS BEEN A PROBLEM FOR A
6 WHILE. A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, YOU TOLD ME DON'T SWEAT IT. WE
7 GOT SOME MARINA BONDS THAT ARE BEING RETIRED OUT. AND ALL OF
8 THAT BONDING THAT WE DID, WE'LL BE ABLE TO COME UP WITH THE
9 MONEY. BUT WE'VE HAD A TOUGH TIME BECAUSE "IT'S A BIGGER NUT"
10 AS THEY SAY THAN WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE, RIGHT? IT'S A HALF A
11 BILLION DOLLARS. SO RIGHT NOW, I AM TOLD THAT BY THE YEAR
12 2019, THIS FIGURE IS GOING TO RISE TO 1 BILLION. OUT OF OUR
13 BUDGET. AND THAT'S NOT A HOPEFUL NUMBER. THAT'S A PROJECTED
14 NUMBER.

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL IF WE CAN PUT THAT REPORT IN CONTEXT, I
17 MEAN THAT STATEMENT, BECAUSE WE HAVE PRESENTED INFORMATION TO
18 THE BOARD. AND WHAT WE SAID IS IF NOTHING IS DONE, IF NONE OF
19 THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE'RE BRINGING FORWARD ARE PUT IN
20 PLACE, THEN THAT DOLLAR FIGURE WILL GET UP TO THAT HIGH. WHAT
21 I'M SAYING IS RIGHT NOW, HAVING THAT DISCUSSION AND DISCUSSING
22 THE RECOMMENDATIONS, I'M SURE OF THE HOST OF RECOMMENDATIONS
23 WE HAVE, THERE WILL BE SOME THIS BOARD WILL READILY EMBRACE
24 AND WILL ASK US TO MOVE FORWARD. THERE MAY BE OTHERS THAT YOU
25 WON'T. AND SO HAVING THAT CONVERSATION --



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** I GUESS, BILL, I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING, YOU KNOW,
3 I'VE APPLAUDED YOU IN THE PAST ON HOW YOU DO BUDGETING. I'M
4 NOT SURE I WOULD DO THAT TODAY BECAUSE YOU'RE ASKING US TO GO
5 AHEAD AND OBLIGATE ON THIS CREDIT CARD WITHOUT REALLY
6 UNDERSTANDING OTHER FISCAL RESPONSIBILITIES THAT WE HAVE AT
7 THE TABLE TODAY. ALL RIGHT? AND THEN YOU'RE SAYING WE SHOULD
8 GO INTO CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS THAT. WHAT? THE MAGIC THAT'S
9 GOING TO COME OUT OF CLOSED SESSION? HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE
10 BULLDOGS AND GO OVER THERE AND GO "YEAH, TELL THE UNIONS WE
11 WANT SOME MONEY FOR RETIREE HEALTH" AND OTHER KINDS OF
12 PROCESSES. BUT THE POINT IS: UNTIL THAT IS DONE, UNTIL THAT IS
13 DONE, IT'S A HALF A BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR. HOW ARE WE GOING
14 PAY IT NEXT YEAR IF WE DON'T GET IT OUT OF OUR EMPLOYEES?

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT DOLLAR AMOUNT IS CURRENTLY IN OUR BUDGET
17 BASE. I'VE BEEN -- I FULLY SUPPORT AND I'VE ACTUALLY BROUGHT
18 SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FORWARD. AND I THINK WE SHOULD GET TO
19 THE TABLE VERY QUICKLY WITH LABOR TO TALK ABOUT A RETIREE
20 HEALTHCARE PROGRAM.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT ACCORDING TO-- IT SAYS IN YOUR BUDGETARY
23 SCENARIO, YOU HAVE A SHORTFALL IN 2013/14 OF 150 MILLION
24 DOLLARS. NOW, DOES THAT INCLUDE THE RETIREE HEALTH COSTS?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

2

3 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO IN 2013, YOU INCLUDED THE 528 MILLION DOLLARS
4 THAT YOU OWE FOR RETIREE HEALTH.

5

6 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AS PART OF OUR BUDGET BASE. BUT THAT FIGURE
7 THAT YOU'RE SHARING INCLUDES THE ONE-TIME MONEY THAT WE USED
8 LAST YEAR. THAT WHAT WE DO, WE'RE VERY, VERY CONSERVATIVE IN
9 OUR ESTIMATES. WE DON'T ASSUME THAT WE'LL HAVE THAT ONE-TIME
10 MONEY AGAIN. BUT THERE'S SOMETHING THAT WE WILL BE WORKING
11 CLOSELY-

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO LET ME UNDERSTAND THAT. SO THAT HALF A BILLION
14 DOLLARS IS GOING TO BE PAID WITH ONE-TIME MONEY?

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO. THE HALF A BILLION DOLLARS IS GOING TO BE
17 PAID WITH ONGOING MONEY. BUT IT IS PART OF OUR BUDGET BASE. SO
18 THE FORECASTS YOU'RE LOOKING AT INCLUDES ALL OF OUR
19 ANTICIPATED FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS, WHICH INCLUDES RETIREE
20 HEALTHCARE COSTS.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO THE DEFICIT THAT YOU'RE PROJECTING FOR 2013 OF
23 150.4 MILLION, THAT'S WHAT YOUR REPORT SAYS?

24

25 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S WHAT MY REPORT SAYS.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT SAYS WE WOULD HAVE A SHORTFALL OF THAT IS
3 INCLUSIVE OF THAT RETIREE HEALTH?

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES, IT IS.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO AGAIN THE FOLLOWING YEAR IN '14/15 WHERE WOULD
8 IT COME FROM?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AGAIN, AS PART OF OUR BUDGET BASE. THE MONEY
11 WE SPEND FOR OUR RETIREE HEALTHCARE AND OUR HEALTHCARE
12 BENEFITS FOR ACTIVE EMPLOYEES ARE CURRENTLY IN OUR BUDGET
13 BASE. WHAT YOU'RE SEEING RIGHT NOW ANTICIPATES VERY MINIMAL
14 GROWTH IN KEY REVENUE FACTORS LIKE PROPERTY TAX, SALES TAX,
15 OTHER KEY REVENUES. WHAT WE DO, THIS IS A SNAPSHOT IN A POINT
16 IN TIME. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER VERSUS WHEN
17 YOU PUT THE BUDGET TOGETHER AND PRESENT IT TO YOUR BOARD IN
18 APRIL, THE REVENUE PICTURE STARTS TO EVOLVE AND STARTS TO
19 IMPROVE. WHAT WE HAVEN'T ANTICIPATED WHATSOEVER IN THAT FIGURE
20 IS ANY ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT AS A CONSEQUENCE OF
21 THE DISSOLUTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES. AND SO THAT
22 PARTICULAR REPORT, WE RELEASED A FIVE-YEAR FORECAST IN
23 DIFFERENT POINTS IN TIME. AND AS YOU'LL RECALL, THE NUMBER,
24 THE PROJECTION FOR NOT ONLY OUR DEFICIT BUT ALSO IN OUT YEARS
25 I THINK OF THAT SAME REPORT YOU SEE THREE YEARS FROM NOW



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THERE'S A SURPLUS OF AN EXCESS OF 100 MILLION DOLLARS. I DON'T
2 HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME. BUT A SURPLUS I BELIEVE STARTING IN
3 '14-- I BELIEVE IT'S FISCAL YEAR 2014/'15 AND '15/16, OUT
4 YEARS AS AN ACTUAL SURPLUS. AND SO THAT DOES CHANGE AS OUR
5 REVENUE FIGURES START TO CHANGE AND BECOMES CLEAR.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. BUT THAT ALSO DOES NOT INCLUDE THE
8 NEW LAWSUIT THAT WE JUST LOST TO ALL OF OUR CONTRACT CITIES.

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT LAWSUIT, THE ONGOING IMPACT ON THAT
11 LAWSUIT IS ABOUT 15 MILLION DOLLARS. THE ONE-TIME IMPACT.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT CUTS YOUR SURPLUS NOW TO 50 MILLION.

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO. ABSOLUTELY NOT.

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** ARE YOU TELLING ME OF A SURPLUS AND WE JUST LOST
18 THIS LAWSUIT. I JUST GOT THE CALCULATIONS OF WHAT THE FUTURE
19 WOULD COST US. WE DIDN'T HAVE MONEY TO PAY FOR THE LAWSUIT
20 BECAUSE WE DIDN'T SET IT ASIDE. SO WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WHERE
21 TO GET THAT FROM. BUT YOU'RE SAYING GOING FORWARD, IT'S GOING
22 TO COST US 50 MILLION DOLLARS SO YOU COULDN'T HAVE PUT IT INTO
23 YOUR PROJECTIONS BECAUSE YOU JUST GOT THE FIGURES FOR IT.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I'M MENTIONING THAT SURPLUS AS IT RELATES TO
2 DOCUMENTING AND FUNDING THE FIVE-YEAR FORECAST. THE MONEY THAT
3 WILL BE USED TO PAY THIS JUDGMENT, THIS ALHAMBRA LAWSUIT
4 JUDGMENT, I BELIEVE THAT'S A MATTER OF DISCUSSION THAT WE'LL
5 HAVE IN CLOSED SESSION. AND WE'VE IDENTIFIED A SOURCE OF FUNDS
6 THAT WOULD NOT REQUIRE US TO DIP INTO OUR TWO CRITICAL
7 RESERVES.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** I DIDN'T EVEN GO INTO RESERVES YET. THAT'S A
10 DIFFERENT ISSUE. YOU'RE TRYING TO TELL ME THAT -- OKAY. THAT'S
11 ONE THING OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO PAY FOR WHAT WE OWE IN THE
12 PAST. BUT GOING FORWARD, YOU'RE SAYING YOU HAVE 100 MILLION
13 DOLLARS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE IN SURPLUS. THAT COULDN'T
14 POSSIBLY INCLUDE THE MONEY THAT WE NOW HAVE TO PAY SINCE WE
15 LOST THE LAWSUIT TO ALL THE CONTRACT CITIES. I MEAN SO YOUR
16 100 MILLION IS CUT IN HALF BECAUSE YOU SAID YOU'RE GOING TO
17 HAVE TO PAY THAT GOING FORWARD. SO IT'S ONLY 50 MILLION.

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO. I THINK WE NEED TIME TO EXPLAIN THAT.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'LL TAKE THE TIME. YOU STARTED OUT YOU SAID I'M
22 GOING TO HAVE 100 MILLION DOLLARS, CORRECT?

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO, WHAT I MENTIONED IS THE REPORT YOU HAD IN
25 YOUR HANDS, I BELIEVE THAT WAS A FIVE-YEAR FORECAST, ALTHOUGH



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IT SHOWS A POTENTIAL DEFICIT NEXT YEAR, AS YOU GET TO OUT
2 YEARS, IT DOES SHOW THAT WE'LL HAVE -- THE COUNTY WILL BE IN A
3 POSITIVE POSITION. I DON'T HAVE THE REPORT WITH ME.

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND THAT. AND I UNDERSTAND A POSITIVE
6 POSITION. YOU SAID A HUNDRED MILLION, BILL.

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** CAN WE GET BACK TO RANCHO?

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT THE FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION. YOU COULDN'T HAVE
11 PROJECTED THE 50 MILLION DOLLAR LOSS THAT WE JUST RECEIVED
12 FROM THE SUPREME COURT ON THIS LAWSUIT. THAT'S 50 MILLION
13 DOLLARS. SO EVEN IN THE BEST OF CIRCUMSTANCES, SO YOU NOW ONLY
14 HAVE 50 MILLION.

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO BECAUSE AS I MENTIONED AS WE GET INTO
17 CLOSED SESSION AND WE TALK ABOUT THIS LAWSUIT, WE WILL HAVE A
18 DISCUSSION ON WHERE THE MONEY TO SETTLE THAT JUDGMENT WILL
19 COME FROM. BUT THAT WOULD NOT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE OUT YEAR
20 FORECAST. I HAPPEN TO HAVE THE MULTI-YEAR FORECAST.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU GOT THE QUIT PLAYING WITH THESE NUMBERS
23 BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF EMPLOYEES LISTENING AND A LOT IF
24 THOSE EMPLOYEES BELONG TO UNIONS AND THEY'RE LISTENING TO HOW
25 YOU HAVE SOME 50 MILLION DOLLARS SOMEWHERE PUT AWAY THAT ALL



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 OF A SUDDEN IT'S NOT GOING TO AFFECT OUR BUDGET. THAT'S WHAT
2 YOU'RE SAYING TO ME.

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I THINK WE ALL KNOW THAT WITH THE DISSOLUTION
5 OF THE C.R.A., THERE WAS A DOLLAR AMOUNT THAT CAME BACK TO US
6 THAT I WOULD RECOMMEND USING THAT TO PAY THIS PARTICULAR
7 JUDGMENT.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** I KNOW. BUT IT'S LIKE ANYTHING ELSE, WHEN NEW
10 MONEY STARTS COMING IN, EVERYBODY WANTS TO FIND NEW WAYS TO
11 SPEND IT. I THINK YOU'VE GOT FIVE WAYS HERE ON THIS BOARD OF
12 SUPERVISORS AS TO HOW TO SPEND THAT REDEVELOPMENT MONEY. I
13 THINK MARK HAS COME UP WITH ALREADY TWO MOTIONS TO TELL YOU
14 HOW TO SPEND THAT MONEY. SO YOU GOT TO BE CAREFUL. WE HAVEN'T
15 EVEN GOTTEN TO THAT POINT. SO NOW YOU'RE GOING TO TELL THEM
16 THAT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE THAT MONEY. YOU'RE GOING TO PUT
17 SOME WHERE ELSE. BUT THAT IS A STRATEGY. AND I DON'T HAVE A
18 PROBLEM WITH THAT KIND OF STRATEGY.

19

20 **SUP. KNABE:** CAN WE GET BACK TO RANCHO?

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** LET ME GET BACK TO RANCHO, DON. WHAT I'D LIKE TO
23 DO AND AFTER ALL OF THIS DISCUSSION THAT I THINK YOU NEED TO
24 KNOW THE NUMBERS. THE NUMBERS ARE VERY CLEAR. THEY'RE NOT
25 HOPEFUL NUMBERS. THEY'RE VERY REAL NUMBERS. AND ONE THING WE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DO KNOW IS THERE ISN'T A NEEDS ASSESSMENT FOR THE FULL RANCHO.
2 I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH BRINGING IT UP TO THE SEISMIC
3 NEED. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS TO BIFURCATE THESE ISSUES,
4 DON -- DON? WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO I'M TALKING TO YOU BECAUSE YOU
5 ASKED ME TO SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT RANCHO.

6

7 **DON KNABE:** YOU CAN TALK TO ANYBODY YOU WANT.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** I AM SPEAKING TO YOU.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** I'VE BEEN A GOOD LISTENER THROUGH A LONG PERIOD OF
12 TIME HERE.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. SO YOU SAW THE NUMBERS AND I THINK
15 WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REALLY MAKE RANCHO WORK. BUT THE
16 ISSUE IS WE SHOULD BIFURCATE IT, THESE NUMBERS AT THIS POINT
17 IN TIME. GO WITH THE SEISMIC REHABILITATION THAT WE NEED TO DO
18 AND MOVE THAT PORTION FORWARD. BUT PUT THE REMAINING CAPACITY
19 AND EXPANSION INTO A REVIEW OF PRIORITIES. BECAUSE UNTIL WE
20 GET IF REAL NUMBER, IF IN FACT RANCHO, ALL OF THOSE BEDS WERE
21 GOING TO BE USED FOR REHAB BUT DR. KATZ AND EVERYONE WILL TELL
22 YOU YOU WILL NEVER, EVER GET TO THAT NUMBER, EVER, SO
23 CONSEQUENTLY, BUT IF I'M WRONG, THEN LET'S DO THE NEEDS
24 ASSESSMENT THAT CAN PROVE IT WRONG. SO MY OPTION AND OFFER,
25 DON, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, IS LET'S BIFURCATE THESE ISSUES,



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LET'S GO WITH WHAT THE REAL NEEDS ARE TODAY UNDER THE SEISMIC
2 REHAB. AND LET'S LIMIT IT. I JUST THINK THAT WE NEED TO START
3 LOOKING. AND I THINK THAT BILL HAS TO BRING US A REAL PLAN AND
4 STRATEGY ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING GET THESE CAPITAL COSTS. WE
5 HAVE OTHER ISSUES THAT ARE PENDING HERE BESIDES THE JAIL
6 EXPANSION ISSUE THAT WE HAVE THAT WE'VE NEVER REALLY DISCUSSED
7 TO WHAT WE'RE GOING TO PAY AND HOW MUCH MONEY. I HOPE THAT
8 WILL COME HERE. IT NEEDS TO COME HERE. WE HAVE ON THE LIST
9 THAT BILL GAVE US, WE HAVE THE DATA RECOVERY CENTER THAT WE
10 NEED TO DISCUSS. AND THAT'S A BIG ONE. AND A VERY IMPORTANT
11 PART. WE ALSO HAVE -- AND AS YOU KNOW, THE FIRE DISTRICT
12 HEADQUARTERS THAT IS ALSO ON THERE. AND OF COURSE WE ALSO HAVE
13 THE SOUTH CAMPUS OF RANCHO, AS WELL. WHAT'S NOT ON THERE RIGHT
14 NOW IS L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. WHICH I THINK HAS TO BE THROWN INTO
15 THE EQUATION. WE HAVE THE MUSIC CENTER PLAZA AND ALL KINDS OF
16 OTHER ISSUES THAT ARE ON HERE. AND I THINK WHAT WE NEED TO DO
17 IS FIGURE OUT HOW MUCH CAN WE PUT ON OUR CREDIT CARD? AND WHAT
18 IS THE PRIORITY? I THINK THIS IS THE KIND OF RESPONSIBLE
19 ACTION THAT WE SHOULD TAKE AS THOSE THAT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR
20 GOVERNANCE LIKE OURSELVES. RIGHT NOW, YOU CAN MOVE FORWARD
21 WITH A PORTION OF IT. BUT YOU DON'T NEED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
22 THE ENTIRE 404 MILLION. SO WHAT I'M ASKING YOU, DON, UNDER THE
23 RANCHO LOS AMIGOS, I'M ASKING YOU TO BIFURCATE THOSE ISSUES
24 AND LET'S ALL GET TOGETHER ON THE SAME PAGE SO WE HAVE A CLEAR
25 UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE FUTURE IS GOING TO COST US ON THIS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CREDIT CARD. YOU AND I MAY NOT BE SERVING HERE DURING THAT
2 PERIOD OF TIME. BUT THERE'S NO REASON WHY WE SHOULD
3 STRAIGHTJACKET THE FUTURE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO MAKE THE
4 BEST DECISIONS POSSIBLE, GIVING THEM ALL OF THIS DEBT FOR
5 POTENTIALLY THINGS WE DON'T NEED IS NOT THE WAY TO GO. SO I'M
6 ASKING YOU TO BIFURCATE THEM.

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT IN TIME, I'M NOT SURE
9 THAT I AGREE WITH YOU. WELL FIRST, I DON'T AGREE. I TOTALLY
10 UNDERSTAND THIS IS NOT AN EXPANSION.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT IS AN EXPANSION.

13

14 **SUP. KNABE:** NO, IT'S NOT. YOU WON'T LISTEN TO THAT. BUT IT'S
15 NOT AN EXPANSION.

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT IS AN EXPANSION.

18

19 **SUP. KNABE:** IT'S AN EXPANSION IN YOUR EYES BUT NOT AN
20 EXPANSION IN WHAT WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO DO AS IT RELATES TO
21 INFRASTRUCTURE AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA:** EVEN MR. FUJIOKA MENTIONED AN EXPANSION THAT WAS
24 MENTIONED IN THE 2009.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. KNABE:** I DON'T REMEMBER DR. KATZ SAYING IT. DR. KATZ, IS
2 IT AN EXPANSION?

3

4 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** IT IS NOT AN EXPANSION OF BEDS. I ASSUME
5 WHAT THE SUPERVISOR MEANT WAS AN EXPANSION IN SCOPE?

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT THE SCOPE'S BEEN THERE SINCE 2006.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND, DON. BUT, YOU KNOW, L.A. COUNTY
10 U.S.C. WHEN I CAME IN 1991 HAD 1,400 BEDS.

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** WE'VE LIVED THAT MEMORY.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** I WASN'T GOING FOR FOR 1,400 BEDS. I WAS GOING
15 FOR AS-NEEDED 750 DOCUMENTED AS NEEDED. BUT WE'VE POINTED OUT
16 HERE IS THAT AT THIS POINT IN TIME, EVEN WITH SOLID MARKETING
17 FOR A STATE-OF-THE-ART FACILITY, RIGHT NOW THE ONLY WAY THAT
18 YOU WOULD FILL THOSE BEDS IS BY HAVING THE OVERFLOW FROM
19 EITHER M.L.K. OR L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. WE'RE GOING TO REBUILD
20 MARTIN LUTHER KING HOSPITAL. THAT'S ALREADY BEING PAID FOR. SO
21 THAT OVERFLOW IS NOT GOING THERE. AND I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT'S
22 WHERE WE WANT TO CONTINUE TO DO THAT. OF COURSE, WE STILL HAVE
23 A LOT OF OUTSTANDING CONTRACTS AT L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. FOR THOSE
24 OVERFLOW BEDS THAT WE'RE PAYING FOR. AGAIN, I'M ASKING THE
25 BOARD, AS WELL. WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO ACT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RESPONSIBLE IN A MANNER THAT MAKES SENSE. BIFURCATE THIS ISSUE
2 AT THIS POINT IN TIME. LET'S LOOK AT ALL OF OUR CAPITAL
3 PROJECTS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THIS TWO PERCENT AND WHAT WE
4 ABSOLUTELY NEED TO DO TODAY AND WHAT WE CAN AFFORD TO PAY IN
5 LIGHT OF LAW SUITS, IN LIGHT OF RETIREE HEALTH, IN LIGHT OF
6 OTHER FISCAL CLIFFS THAT WE'RE GOING TO GO UNDER, POTENTIALLY
7 THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW HOW IT'S GOING
8 TO GO. I THINK IT'S A REASONABLE REQUEST. AND SO I HAVE A
9 MOTION THAT ASKS TO DO THAT.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** I'LL LOOK AT THE MOTION. I HAVEN'T SEEN IT.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** LET ME READ IT, OKAY?

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT AS IT RELATES FROM CAPACITY, AGAIN I'M READING
16 FROM THE FACT SHEET. "ON THE INPATIENT SIDE THE LICENSED BED
17 WILL BE REDUCED FROM 233 FROM A CURRENT 395 TO 162. OUTPATIENT
18 REMAINS UNCHANGED AT APPROXIMATELY 75,000 VISITS ANNUALLY."

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S CORRECT. THE PROBLEM IS THAT YOU DON'T
21 HAVE THAT MANY PEOPLE THERE EVEN TODAY. RICK, YOU MAY KNOW
22 THE NUMBER OF REHAB PATIENTS THAT WE HAVE AT RANCHO RIGHT NOW.

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** RIGHT NOW WE HAVE 142.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** NO THAT'S NOT TRUE.

2

3 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S TRUE.

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S HOW MANY BEDS ARE USED BUT NOT FOR REHAB.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** YEAH, THE TRANSFER FROM L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. IS 34.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** NO.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** I GUESS WE'RE NOT READING FROM THE SAME DOCUMENT.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** IS THAT WHAT YOU HAVE TODAY?

14

15 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** THE MOST RECENT -- ANY ONE DAY, IT'S BETTER
16 TO GENERALLY LOOK AT THESE THINGS ON THE BASIS OF A MONTH
17 BECAUSE ANY ONE DAY, MONDAY IS DIFFERENT THAN FRIDAY. SO FOR
18 66.2 OF REHAB, 86.8 FOR NONREHAB FOR A TOTAL CENSUS OF 153 IS
19 THE AVERAGE DAILY CENSUS FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S WHAT YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT, DON, IS THE
22 AVERAGES.

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE IT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO THE NUMBER IS NOT CORRECT IS WHAT I'M SAYING.

2

3 **SUP. KNABE:** I'M JUST LOOKING AT A DAILY CENSUS. OKAY, SO I
4 CAN'T LOOK AT THAT. JUST LOOK AT THE NUMBERS YOU WANT ME TO
5 LOOK AT THAT, I UNDERSTAND.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** OF COURSE YOU CAN, YOU CAN ALWAYS LOOK AT THAT.
8 IT'S JUST LIKE ANYONE CAN LOOK AT NUMBERS ANY WHICH WAY THEY
9 WANT.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** I KNOW. WE'VE HEARD THAT FOR THE LAST HOUR OR SO.
12 YOU'RE LOOKING AT NUMBERS DIFFERENTLY THAN SOME OF US. LET ME
13 SEE YOUR MOTION.

14

15 **SUP. MOLINA:** HEY, THIS IS A VERY REAL DOCUMENT. IT'S SEVEN
16 BILLION DOLLARS, ALL RIGHT? THE DEBT THAT GOES WITH IT IS VERY
17 REAL. THIS IS ANOTHER VERY REAL DOCUMENT, DON. IT'S CALLED
18 RETIREE HEALTH.

19

20 **SUP. KNABE:** I UNDERSTAND. I'VE SAID THE SAME THINGS AS YOU DO.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND IT'S A REAL NUMBER. THESE ARE NOT HOPEFUL
23 NUMBERS. THIS IS NOT WHAT MR. WECKER IS SAYING ABOUT BEING
24 HOPEFUL. THESE ARE REAL NUMBERS. THAT LAWSUIT IS A REAL
25 LAWSUIT. WE REALLY LOST IT. THOSE ARE REAL NUMBERS. THE REAL



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 NUMBER OF REHAB IS AROUND 60 TO 65 PEOPLE. IT ISN'T 100 AND
2 SOME ODD. YES, IT'S BEING REDUCED, BUT IT'S BEING EXPANDED AT
3 THE SAME TIME. THAT'S THE REALITY. SO, NO, I'M LOOKING AT REAL
4 NUMBERS. RIGHT, MR. WECKER? NOT HOPEFUL NUMBERS. OKAY ON
5 AMENDMENT TO ITEM NUMBER 24 I'D LIKE TO RECOMMEND THAT WE
6 PROCEED WITH THE SEISMIC RENOVATION AND THE INPATIENT
7 CONSOLIDATION AT RANCHO LOS AMIGOS. RIGHT NOW IT IS
8 RECOMMENDED. "WHILE 162 MILLION SEISMIC WORK AND INPATIENT
9 CONSOLIDATION IS ON A CRITICAL TIMELINE REQUIRING SUBMITTAL TO
10 OSHPOD BY DECEMBER 31ST," I AM TOLD. "THE OUTPATIENT
11 ADMINISTRATIVE CONSOLIDATION IS NOT IN A CRITICAL PATH. THE
12 OUTPATIENT COMPONENT SHOULD UNDERGO REVIEW WITHIN THE CONTEXT
13 OF THE COUNTY NEEDS AND THE ANTICIPATED RESOURCES UNDER THE
14 AFFORDABLE CARE ACT PRIOR TO RECEIVING FINAL APPROVAL BY THE
15 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. IN ADDITION, THE C.E.O. HAS PROVIDED A
16 NOVEMBER 26, 2012 REPORT LISTING APPROXIMATELY SEVEN BILLION
17 DOLLARS OF PIPELINE CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT ARE IN VARIOUS
18 STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT AND APPROVAL. IT IS HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT
19 THE COUNTY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO AFFORD TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL
20 PROJECTS LISTED. IT WOULD BE PRUDENT AND USEFUL TO PRIORITIZE
21 THE VARIOUS CAPITAL PROJECTS ACCORDING TO THEIR NEED IN
22 CONTINUING CRUCIAL AND DESIRED COUNTY SERVICES. I THEREFOR
23 MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, NUMBER ONE, APPROVE ONLY
24 THE ITEMS IN AGENDA ITEM 24 NECESSARY FOR THE CONTINUATION OF
25 THE 162 MILLION INPATIENT CONSOLIDATION AND SEISMIC RETROFIT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PROJECT AND THAT WE DIRECT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
2 TO CONDUCT AN ANALYSIS OF THE OUTPATIENT AND THE
3 ADMINISTRATIVE CONSOLIDATION TO DETERMINE IF IT WILL BE THE
4 HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF HEALTH CARE FUNDING UNDER THE
5 AFFORDABLE CARE ACT AND RETURN TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
6 WITH RECOMMENDATIONS WITHIN 90 DAYS. THIRD OF ALL, THIRD, IS
7 THAT WE DIRECT THE C.E.O. TO CONDUCT AN ANALYSIS OF THE
8 CAPITAL PROJECTS CONTAINED IN THE NOVEMBER 26, 2012 REPORT TO
9 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITH A RECOMMENDED PRIORITY ORDER FOR
10 COMPLETION IN A MANNER THAT ADDRESSES COUNTY-CRITICAL NEEDS
11 AND PRIORITIES AND IDENTIFIES THE FUNDING SOURCE ANTICIPATED
12 TO PAY FOR THE DEBT SERVICE FOR EACH OF THESE."

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MR. ANTONOVICH?

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** I WOULD JUST SAY THAT IF YOU WERE RUNNING A
17 BUSINESS, YOU'D WANT TO HAVE EVERYTHING BEFORE YOU BEFORE YOU
18 MAKE COMMITMENTS, FINANCIAL COMMITMENTS. WHAT IS HAPPENING IN
19 2013 AND 2014, WE DON'T KNOW. BUT INDICATORS INDICATE THAT
20 IT'S NOT GOING TO BE GOOD. CALIFORNIA HAS A SEVERE PROBLEM
21 WITH THE RECENT PASSAGE OF THE TAX INCREASES. HOW THAT IS
22 GOING TO IMPACT JOB CREATION, JOB CREATION IS WHAT CREATES THE
23 TAXATION THAT FUNDS GOVERNMENT. I WOULD RATHER HAVE US LOOK AT
24 ALL OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS AND THEN WE DO A PRIORITY LIST AS
25 TO NEED AND WHAT WE COULD AFFORD AND CAN AFFORD. THE DAY OF



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE WISH LIST IS OVER. NO, THE DAY OF DOING PIECEMEAL IS OVER.
2 WE OUGHT TO BE DOING IT IN A COMPREHENSIVE WAY. THIS IS WHAT
3 CAUSED THE STATE TO GO BANKRUPT. AND THIS IS WHAT HAS CAUSED
4 MANY OF OUR MUNICIPALITIES TO BE ON THE VERGE OF BANKRUPTCY.
5 AND YOU HAVE IN SAN BERNADINO CITY AMONG OTHERS WHO HAS
6 ALREADY FILED FOR BANKRUPTCY. THE DAY OF GETTING A NEW CREDIT
7 CARD TO PAY AN OLD DEBT IS OVER. SEISMIC, MOVING FORWARD ON
8 THAT, BUT THE OTHERS, LOOKING AT ALL OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS,
9 ALL OF THEM AND COVERS ALL FIVE SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS AND
10 COMING UP WITH A PRIORITY LIST THAT WE DO IT IN THE OPEN IS
11 GOING TO BE AN ECONOMIC FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY THAT WE HAVE TO
12 TAKE BECAUSE WE ARE THE ONES THAT HAVE TO MAKE THOSE DECISIONS
13 OTHERWISE WE'RE GOING TO END UP LIKE THE STOCKTONS AND THE SAN
14 BERNADINOS.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IS THAT IT, MIKE?

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** YES.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? CAN I JUST
21 ASK A QUESTION, A PRACTICAL QUESTION? IS THERE -- MR. FUJIOKA,
22 IS THERE A WAY IN A HYBRID, THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS NOW AND
23 WHAT'S CONTAINED IN SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S MOTION, IS THERE A WAY
24 IN WHICH YOU COULD APPROVE FINALLY THAT PORTION OF WHICH IS A
25 PRIORITY PIECE OF THIS, THE SEISMIC REHAB, AND DO THE THINGS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT ARE CALLED FOR IN THIS MOTION BUT AT THE SAME TIME HAVE
2 THE WORK THAT'S BEING DONE NOW ON MR. KNABE'S -- NOT ON MR.
3 KNABE'S, BUT ON THE RANCHO, THE OTHER PARTS OF THE RANCHO
4 PROJECT SO THAT THERE'S NO TIME LOST BETWEEN NOW AND THE 90
5 DAYS OR WHATEVER THE TIME FRAME WOULD BE? THERE'S PRELIMINARY
6 WORK, I ASSUME, THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE BEFORE WE EVER GET TO
7 THE -- OF ANY CAPITAL PROJECT BEFORE WE GET TO THE FINANCING
8 PIECE, IS THAT CORRECT?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES. YOU COULD MOVE FORWARD WITH --

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SO THE ANSWER IS YES. SO WHAT I'M
13 SUGGESTING, JUST A SUGGESTION TO TRY TO FIND IF THERE'S A WAY
14 IF THERE'S CONSENSUS HERE, THAT YOU KEEP -- THAT WE KEEP DOING
15 WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE ON THE BALANCE OF THIS PROJECT BEYOND
16 THE 162 MILLION SO THAT WHEN THE 90 DAYS COMES BACK AND
17 ASSUMING THIS IS GOING TO BE ONE OF THE TOP PRIORITIES, WHICH
18 ARGUABLY IT WILL BE. I WON'T PREJUDGE. I'M NOT THAT
19 KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THIS PROJECT. BUT SO THAT IN 90 DAYS, IF WE
20 CHOOSE TO PROCEED WITH THE BALANCE OF THE PROJECT, THAT TIME
21 WILL NOT HAVE BEEN LOST, THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WILL BE
22 SPENT BETWEEN NOW AND THE 90 DAYS IS NEGLIGIBLE COMPARED TO
23 THE OVERALL COST OF THE PROJECT. BUT I THINK TIMING IS OF
24 CONCERN, DON, AND IT WOULD BE TO ALL OF US IF WE WERE TO DO
25 IT, LET'S DO IT, AND NOT WAIT ANOTHER 90 DAYS AFTER THE 90



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DAYS ARE UP TO DO WHAT WE COULD HAVE DONE IN THE
2 SIMULTANEOUSLY WITHIN THESE 90 DAYS. BECAUSE IF WE DO GO
3 FORWARD WITH THIS, WE'VE HEARD A LOT OF CONVERSATION ABOUT
4 THIS TODAY BUT THERE'S ANOTHER PIECE OF THIS CONVERSATION,
5 WHICH IS WHATEVER WE DO IN THE WAY OF DEBT FINANCING, THE
6 INTEREST RATES ARE ALL-TIME LOWS NOW, AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS
7 ARE AT ALL-TIME LOWS NOW. AND SO IF WE'RE GOING TO DO THIS
8 PROJECT, WE MIGHT AS WELL DO IT, ASSUMING WE CAN AFFORD IT, WE
9 MIGHT AS WELL DO IT WHEN THE INTEREST RATES ARE LOW AND THE
10 COST OF MONEY IS AT AN ALL-TIME LOW BECAUSE IF WE DO IT -- IF
11 WE INEVITABLY HAVE TO DO THIS AND WE WAIT A YEAR WHEN INTEREST
12 RATES START TO CLIMB AGAIN, AS THEY INEVITABLY WILL WHEN WE
13 GET TO THE INFLATION THAT WE'RE INEVITABLY GOING TO
14 EXPERIENCE, WE JUST DON'T KNOW WHEN, BUT IT'S COMING. AND IT
15 WILL CERTAINLY BE IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS, NOW'S THE TIME TO
16 STRIKE WHILE THE IRON IS HOT. THIS IS THE SAME ARGUMENT A
17 NUMBER OF US MADE ON MEASURE J, WHICH I KNOW THAT YOU'RE
18 INTIMATELY FAMILIAR WITH, MR. KNABE, AS WE ARE AT 27,000 VOTES
19 SHORT OF THE REQUIRED TWO-THIRDS. BUT IT'S TRUE THAT THE COST
20 OF MONEY IS LOW, RECORD LOWS. COST OF CONSTRUCTION IS LOW. AND
21 SO I DON'T WANT TO -- IF THERE'S GOING TO BE A BIFURCATION OF
22 THIS, I DON'T WANT THE PROJECT TO SUFFER AND THE PROJECT'S
23 COST TO CLIMB AS A RESULT. SO I'M JUST LOOKING FOR A MIDDLE
24 GROUND HERE.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. KNABE:** I UNDERSTAND. NORMALLY I'D LOOK AT THIS AND WOULD
2 NOT HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE 90 DAYS IN THE PRIORITY BECAUSE I
3 THINK RANCHO AS A POTENTIAL REVENUE PRODUCER FOR THE COUNTY IN
4 THE CENTER OF EXCELLENCE AND THIS WHOLE AFFORDABLE HEALTHCARE
5 ACT IN DRAWING PATIENTS BOTH THE INSURED AND UNINSURED WOULD
6 NOT HAVE A PROBLEM WITH. BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY THIS
7 PROJECT HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR A LONG TIME. AT SOME POINT
8 SUPERVISOR MOLINA WILL HAVE TO EITHER ACCEPT PREMISE OR NOT
9 ACCEPT IT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OTHER THAN
10 TO RANK RANCHO NUMBER ONE ON PROJECTS AND COUNTY U.S.C. ON
11 NUMBER TWO WOULD CHANGE HER MIND.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** THE REVERSE. HE JUST ASKED SO I THOUGHT I'D TELL
14 YOU. [LAUGHTER.]

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S THE REALITY HERE. BUT AGAIN THE INFORMATION
17 IS THERE. AND I WOULD ASSUME THAT THAT PRIORITY THING COULD
18 COME BACK QUICKER THAN 90 DAYS.

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT'S NOT THERE. I WISH IT WERE THERE.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WHAT DO YOU SUGGEST, 45 DAYS?

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. KNABE:** DEPENDS ON WHAT YOU WANT TO ACCEPT AND WHAT YOU
2 DON'T WANT TO ACCEPT. YOU HAD YOUR HOUR. LET ME HAVE MY FIVE
3 MINUTES.

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** FAIR ENOUGH. SO IF WE COULD MAYBE REDUCE THAT 90
6 DAYS TO 60 DAYS OR GIVE IT A SHOT BECAUSE THE NUMBERS ARE
7 THERE. WHETHER IT'S FOR HER PROJECT, WHETHER IT'S FOR ALL THE
8 PROJECTS.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** MY PROJECT IS NOT ON THE LIST.

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** THE PROJECTS ARE THERE, I MEAN ALL OF A SUDDEN OUT
13 OF NOWHERE.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION HERE, DON?
16 I'M LOOKING FOR THE CALENDAR HERE. IF YOU CAN DO IT IN A
17 LITTLE LESS THAN 60 DAYS, WE COULD DO IT LIKE AT THE JANUARY
18 20TH MEETING. THAT'S INAUGURATION DAY. NO, I'M SORRY. THAT'S
19 NOVEMBER. JANUARY 22ND. ARE WE ALL GOING TO BE HERE ON JANUARY
20 22ND? NOBODY'S GOING TO GO SIT OUT IN THE COLD AGAIN? ONCE WAS
21 ENOUGH. WHY DON'T WE PUT THIS OVER TO JANUARY 22ND WHICH WOULD
22 BE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 45 AND 60 DAYS.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. KNABE:** IF THEY NEED ADDITIONAL TIME, THEY COULD COME
2 BACK. BUT AGAIN I THINK AT THE END OF THE DAY, MOST OF THE
3 NUMBERS WON'T CHANGE. I WOULD CONCUR WITH THAT.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT.

6

7 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MR CHAIRMAN, CAN I ASK A QUESTION?

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I WILL LET YOU ASK A QUESTION, BUT
10 I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE. SO WITH
11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S AMENDING MOTION MODIFIED TO STATE JANUARY
12 22ND.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** CAN I CLARIFY FOR YOU? DID YOU LOOK AT IT?

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I DID LOOK AT IT. IT'S VERY CLEAR
17 TO ME THE MOTION STANDS AS-IS WITH ONE EXCEPTION, WE TAKE 90
18 DAYS AND TURN IT TO JANUARY 22ND.

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THAT DOESN'T WORK?

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** CONDUCT AN ANALYSIS OF THE OUTPATIENT AND
2 DETERMINE IF IT'S THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF HEALTHCARE
3 FUNDING. SO YOU CAN DO THAT BY JANUARY 22ND?

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** IT'S 60 DAYS.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S A LITTLE LESS THAN 60. YOU
8 WANT TO MAKE IT 60 DAYS, WHAT WOULD MAKE IT 60 DAYS?

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'M JUST ASKING YOU. Y'ALL CAN DO IT, THIS
11 ANALYSIS?

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IS 22ND IS 60 DAYS FROM NOW? HOW
14 ABOUT THAT? I SHOULD BUY A LOTTERY TICKET TODAY.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** COULD WE ASK DR. KATZ IF THEY CAN DO THAT?
17 AND ALSO, DR. KATZ, IN THAT TIME FRAME, COULD YOU GIVE US YOUR
18 LIST OF PRIORITIES OF WHAT YOU WOULD RECOMMEND THE BOARD
19 SUPPORT?

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S BASICALLY WHAT SHE'S ASKING FOR.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO, IT'S NOT. THAT'S THE REASON.

24

25 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S WHAT SHE WANTS.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** YES.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** I WANT TO HEAR FROM THE DEPARTMENT WHAT THEY
7 ARE RECOMMENDING.

8

9 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** VERY GOOD, SUPERVISOR. AND I THINK NEXT
10 WEEK'S REPORT ON HEALTH REFORM IS A PERFECT OPPORTUNITY
11 BECAUSE THEN WE'LL GO THROUGH ALL OF THE THINGS THAT WE THINK
12 ARE NEEDED IN ORDER TO SUCCEED UNDER HEALTH REFORM AND THERE
13 IS TREMENDOUS FINANCIAL REASON FOR US ALL TO WANT TO SUCCEED.
14 SO I'LL MAKE SURE THAT'S INCLUDED. THANK YOU.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. SO WE HAVE AN ITEM
17 BEFORE US AS AMENDED TO JANUARY 22ND. MR. FUJIOKA?

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AS PART OF THIS EFFORT -- AND THIS IS ASSUMING
20 YOU'RE GOING TO GO FORWARD WITH SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S MOTION
21 WITH THE AMENDMENT, THERE'S A DESIGN ELEMENT THAT IS CRITICAL
22 TO THE OVERALL PROJECT. AND IF WE CAN MODIFY ONE TO ALSO
23 INCLUDE THE DESIGN ELEMENT --

24

25 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I'M SORRY. MODIFY WHAT?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** MODIFY NUMBER ONE WHICH SPEAKS TO THE 162
3 MILLION DOLLAR INPATIENT CONSOLIDATION, WE'D ALSO LIKE TO MAKE
4 SURE THAT THE DESIGN PROCESS CONTINUES.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** HOW MUCH IS THAT?

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE OVERALL WHICH INCLUDES THE INPATIENT
9 FACILITY IS 15 MILLION DOLLARS.

10

11 **SUP. MOLINA:** I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

12

13 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SO HAVING THAT DESIGN CONTINUE.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THAT WAS MY INTENT WHEN I
16 SUGGESTED WHAT I DID.

17

18 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO SPECIFY TO MAKE SURE
19 YOUR INTENT IS THERE.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** DO YOU HAVE THAT UNDERSTANDING?

22

23 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THE WAY I WROTE IT WAS "FOR THE CHIEF
24 EXECUTIVE OFFICE TO KEEP THE PROJECT MOVING FORWARD BUT DON'T



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FINANCE THE FULL PROJECT UNTIL AFTER 60 DAYS WHEN THE BOARD
2 RECEIVES THE ANALYSIS."

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** UPON BOARD ACTION. THE BOARD WILL
5 HAVE TO TAKE OTHER ACTION.

6

7 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OR WE COULD PUT IN--

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** UP TO 15 MILLION DOLLARS I THINK
10 IS WHAT HE'S SAYING. BUT I THINK THAT'S UNDERSTOOD. PUT IT IN
11 AS UP TO 15 MILLION DOLLARS BUT TO KEEP THE PROJECT MOVING ON
12 THE DESIGN SIDE.

13

14 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** UP TO 15 MILLION DOLLARS.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** WAIT, LET ME UNDERSTAND THIS. YOU'RE PUTTING IN
17 50 MILLION DOLLARS?

18

19 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** FIFTEEN. ONE-FIVE AND THAT'S FOR THE
20 DESIGN.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S SEPARATE?

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NO, WHAT I HAD SUGGESTED, JUST TO
25 GO BACK ONE SECOND, WHAT I HAD SUGGESTED AS A MIDDLE GROUND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WAS DO WHAT YOU HAD PROPOSED, THE 162, BUT TO KEEP THE
2 REMAINDER OF THE PROJECT GOING IN TERMS OF THE DESIGN STUFF,
3 THE SOFT STUFF, SOFT COSTS THAT WOULD KEEP IT -- THAT WOULD
4 NOT LOSE IT ANY TIME IF WE DECIDE TO DO IT. AND THAT'S WHAT
5 BILL WAS REFERRING TO WHEN HE SAID -- I ASKED HIM HOW MUCH
6 THAT WOULD COST. HE SAID UP TO 15 MILLION DOLLARS. IT MAY BE
7 LESS THAN THAT. HOPEFULLY WHATEVER IT IS. BUT I ANTICIPATE
8 THAT WE WILL END UP APPROVING THIS AT IN SOME ITERATION ONCE
9 WE GET THIS ANALYSIS DONE. AND IT WILL JUST BE FOOLISH OF US
10 NOT TO KEEP THE PROJECT GOING TO THE EXTENT THAT IT WILL ALLOW
11 US NOT TO LOSE THE TIME, TO DO IT SIMULTANEOUSLY RATHER THAN
12 SEQUENTIALLY, THAT'S ALL. SO THAT'S WHAT THIS ONE PIECE IS.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AND ALSO DR. KATZ IS GOING TO GIVE US HIS
15 RECOMMENDATION.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YES.

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** I HAVE A COMPROMISE THAT I'D LIKE TO PUT ON THE
20 TABLE.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THAT WAS A COMPROMISE.

23

24 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. BUT YOU'RE UPPING THE ANTE ON IT
25 ALL OF THE TIME. LET ME UNDERSTAND. AND WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SEE, AGAIN, THERE ARE GOING TO BE PRIORITIES. THERE ARE
2 PRIORITIES ALL OF THE TIME. BUT RIGHT NOW WE ARE TRANSFERRING
3 PATIENTS OUT OF L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. WE HAVE PRIVATE CONTRACTS
4 THAT WE'RE PAYING MORE MONEY THAN OUR OWN BEDS COST TO PRIVATE
5 ENTITIES FOR OUR PATIENTS. WE HAVE THEM AT RANCHO. AND WE HAVE
6 THEM AT PRIVATE HOSPITALS. LET'S PUT ON THE TABLE AS A
7 COMPROMISE, AS WELL, L.A. COUNTY U.S.C., LET THEM COME BACK.
8 AND RIGHT NOW IT'S NOT ON THE LIST OF SEVEN BILLION. IT'S NOT
9 ON THE LIST.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT WOULD BE PART OF HIS PRIORITY. YOU ASKED HIM
12 TO DO. THAT'S WHAT MIKE ASKED HIM TO DO.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. BUT WHAT I'M SAYING IS IN THESE 60
15 DAYS, LET'S PUT IT ON THERE. IT'S NOT ON THE LIST. AGAIN, I
16 DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THIS AMENDMENT OR THIS ADJUSTMENT
17 THAT YOU'VE MADE, BUT, AGAIN, LET'S PUT L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. ON
18 THE LIST. IT'S NOT ON THERE NOW.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THERE ARE THINGS THAT ARE ON THE
21 LIST THAT WILL NEVER MAKE THE LIST.

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I?

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** CAN I FINISH FOR A SECOND? THIS IS
2 A RIDICULOUS LIST. AND WE ALL KNOW IT. SO LET'S QUIT BEATING
3 AROUND THE BUSH. AND THERE ARE THINGS THAT MAY GET ON THE LIST
4 AFTER THE ANALYSIS IS DONE BY DR. KATZ AND HIS TEAM THAT
5 AREN'T ON THE LIST NOW. LET IT GO THE WAY IT'S GOING. IN 60
6 DAYS YOU CAN MAKE THAT MOTION IN 60 DAYS.

7

8 **SUP. MOLINA:** WELL BUT LET ME UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY.

9

10 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. CHAIR, IF I COULD BE RECOGNIZED?

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS AND THEN
13 SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

14

15 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** I WOULD THINK THAT THE DEPARTMENT HEAD FOR
16 HEALTH SERVICES UNDERSTANDS THAT THE INSTRUCTIONS ARE TO BE
17 COMPREHENSIVE IN THE APPROACH TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LIST.
18 WOULD THAT NOT BE CORRECT?

19

20 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES, SIR.

21

22 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** AND AT THAT POINT IT WOULD BE INCLUSIVE OF
23 COUNTY U.S.C. AND WHATEVER ELSE IT IS DEEMED, THAT YOU DEEM
24 APPROPRIATE IN YOUR ATTEMPT TO BE RESPONSIVE TO THE BOARD. IS
25 THAT A REASONABLE ASSUMPTION FOR THE BOARD TO MAKE?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES, SIR.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** SO, MR. CHAIR, IT WOULD SEEM TO ME
5 PURSUANT TO THE MOTION OF THE AMENDMENT THAT YOU HAVE MADE,
6 THE REFINEMENT OF THE MOTION THAT WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR
7 MOLINA, THAT ESSENTIALLY MOVES IT TO 60 DAYS OVER RATHER THAN
8 90, AND THE ADDITIONAL PIECE TO BE COMPREHENSIVE, THAT IS TO
9 SAY INCLUSIVE OF L.A.U.D.S. WHATEVER ELSE THE DEPARTMENT HEAD
10 IN HIS DUE DILIGENCE DEEMS APPROPRIATE IF IN FACT THAT IS OUR
11 OPERATING UNDERSTANDING, I SENSE THAT WE HAVE REACHED
12 CONSENSUS IN ORDER TO CLAIM IT AND MOVE FORWARD.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THAT'S FINE WITH ME.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** INCLUSIVE OF.

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** INCLUSIVE OF MARK'S RECOMMENDATION.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** INCLUSIVE OF?

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** PROJECTS THAT MAY NOT EVEN BE ON THE LIST
23 THAT THE DOCTOR FEELS OUGHT TO BE ON THE LIST BUT HE WILL COME
24 BACK WITH A COMPREHENSIVE LIST.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** YES, SIR.

2

3 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MOVE THE AGENDA, MR. CHAIR.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IS THERE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? ALL
6 RIGHT. SO WHO'S MOVING THIS?

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** I'LL MOVE IT.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** KNABE WILL MOVE, RIDLEY-THOMAS
11 WILL SECOND AS AMENDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.
12 OKAY. IT IS NOW 1:55 P.M. AND SOMEWHERE IT IS MIDNIGHT. YEAH.
13 YOU'RE DONE, WECKER, GET OUT OF HERE BEFORE WE ASK YOU ANOTHER
14 QUESTION.

15

16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THAT WAS ON ITEM 24.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** DID YOU WANT TO VOTE NO ON THAT?

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO ON 23.

21

22 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** TWENTY-THREE IS--

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** SO 24 IS DONE.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** RIGHT.

2

3 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** TWENTY-THREE IS? THE HEALTH
4 RECORDS, OKAY. KNABE MOVES, I'LL SECOND ON ITEM 23. SUPERVISOR
5 ANTONOVICH VOTING NO. IT IS APPROVED 4-1. THEN WE HAVE 29?

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT WAS A DISCUSSION THAT WAS ALREADY.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THAT WASN'T AN ACTION ITEM. THAT
10 WAS JUST A DISCUSSION ITEM.

11

12 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** IT WAS JUST RECEIVE A AND FILE.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WITHOUT OBJECTION, RECEIVE AND
15 FILE.

16

17 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND THEN SUPERVISOR KNABE ...

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND I HAVE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YOU'RE COMING UP.

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** TWENTY-TWO IS THE S.E.A. MAPS.

24

25 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** RELATED TO ITEMS 26 AND 27.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YES, OKAY. SO WE HAVE THAT BEFORE
3 US. DO YOU WANT TO TAKE THAT UP NOW?

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** YES. BECAUSE MY INTENTION IS TO ABSTAIN. BUT I DO
6 NEED -- I THINK WE NEED SOME CLARIFICATION ON THIS IS THE
7 DEPARTMENT HERE? IS HE HERE?

8

9 **MITCH GLAZER:** YES, MITCH GLAZER REPRESENTING REGIONAL
10 PLANNING.

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** I UNDERSTAND ON THIS ONE-VALLEY, ONE-VISION KIND
13 OF AN OPPORTUNITY. BUT I REALLY THINK IT'S PREMATURE TO
14 CONSIDER THE S.E.A. UPDATE PIECE BEFORE WE'RE ABLE TO DO THE
15 FULL COMPREHENSIVE S.E. UPDATE WHICH IS COMING LATER THIS
16 YEAR. WE ARE REALLY DICTATING WHAT THAT FUTURE MAY BE WITHOUT
17 A FULL DISCUSSION OF WHAT THE FINAL PLAN IS. I JUST THINK THAT
18 WE HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING THE COMPREHENSIVE NATURE OF THE
19 THING, OUR METHODOLOGY AND CRITERIA FOR SCREENING THE PROPERTY
20 AND SO ON AND WE ARE REALLY BEING PREMATURE. YOU I WILL ASK
21 THE DEPARTMENT WHY ARE YOU DOING THIS AT THIS POINT WITHOUT A
22 FULL DISCUSSION OF HOW YOU'RE GOING TO DECIDE UPDATED FEE
23 SCHEDULES, APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESS, NONE OF THAT HAS BEEN
24 DETERMINED. YET YOU WANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A ONE-VALLEY
25 ONE-VISION.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1
2 **MITCH GLAZER:** YES, SUPERVISOR, I CAN RESPOND TO THAT BRIEFLY.
3 THE PROPOSED EXPANSION MUCH S.E.A. BOUNDARIES IS BASED ON
4 APPROXIMATELY 12 YEARS OF STUDY AND REVIEW. THOSE STUDIES ARE
5 AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE. SINCE THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY AREA
6 PLAN UPDATE WAS MOVING AHEAD OF THE GENERAL PLAN UPDATE, WE
7 FELT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO INCLUDE THE EXPANDED
8 BOUNDARIES AND TO CLARIFY YOU ARE CORRECT WE ARE ONLY
9 PROPOSING TO EXPAND THE BOUNDARIES. WE ARE NOT PROPOSING TO
10 CHANGE THE ORDINANCE OR THE PROCESS, THAT IS AN UPCOMING
11 EFFORT THAT'S TIED TO THE GENERAL PLAN. IN TERMS OF KEEPING
12 THE EXISTING S.E.A. BOUNDARIES, I KNOW THIS ISSUE WAS RAISED
13 AT THE PUBLIC HEARING IN FEBRUARY. IN THE ADDENDUM TO OUR
14 FINAL E.I.R. WE EXPLAIN THAT RETENTION OF THE EXISTING S.E.A.
15 BOUNDARIES WAS CONSIDERED AS AN ALTERNATIVE BUT IT WAS
16 REJECTED AGAIN BECAUSE OF THE STUDIES THAT WERE CONDUCTED AND
17 ALSO THE EXPANDED S.E.A. BOUNDARIES ARE SUPPORTIVE OF THE
18 GOALS OF THE AREA PLAN UPDATE. AS PART OF SUPERVISOR
19 ANTONOVICH'S APPROVAL MOTION, HE DID ASK THE DEPARTMENT TO
20 INVESTIGATE SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT YOU AND HE BOTH RAISED
21 WITH REGARD TO SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREA TECHNICAL ADVISORY
22 COMMITTEE. THERE HAVE BEEN ISSUES WITH REGARD TO QUORUM ET
23 CETERA. WE DID REPORT BACK. THAT REPORT WAS SUBMITTED AS DATED
24 MAY 2, 2012. SO THE DEPARTMENT IS CURRENTLY UNDERTAKING
25 NUMEROUS IMPROVEMENTS TO THE (INAUDIBLE) PROCESS. AND WE'RE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DOING THAT RIGHT NOW. WE'RE NOT WAITING FOR THE ORDINANCE TO
2 DO THAT. SO THAT REPORT WAS OUR ATTEMPT TO ADDRESS THE
3 CONCERNS THAT YOU AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH RAISED.

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND THE EXPANSION OR LOOKING AT
6 THAT. BUT YOU'RE EXPANDING IT WITHOUT THE CRITERIA BEING --
7 THERE'S NO CRITERIA ON THE TABLE FOR THE EXPANSION OTHER THAN
8 THAT'S WHAT YOU GUYS WANT TO DO.

9

10 **MITCH GLAZER:** SUPERVISOR, AS I MENTIONED, THERE WERE EXTENSIVE
11 STUDIES THAT WERE CONDUCTED AND THEY ARE AVAILABLE ON OUR
12 WEBSITE.

13

14 **SUP. KNABE:** ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO ABSTAIN.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** WE WOULD LIKE YOU TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD
19 AT NEXT MONTH'S PLANNING HEARING AND PROVIDE A STATUS UPDATE
20 ON YOUR CURRENT RECOMMENDATIONS.

21

22 **MITCH GLAZER:** WE'D BE HAPPY TO DO THAT.

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MOVE THE ITEM WITH THAT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S THE ITEMS, RIGHT?

2

3 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** IT'S THE ITEMS, THERE ARE THREE: 22, 26 AND
4 27.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** COULD WE VOTE ON THEM ALL AT ONCE?

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** YES.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. I'LL
11 SECOND IT. WITH ONE ABSTENTION, KNABE ABSTAINING, IT'S 4-0
12 WITH ONE ABSTENTION.

13

14 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S AMENDMENT.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YES, AS AMENDED.

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** I'M HOLDING ITEM 15.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** FIFTEEN?

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** THIS TASKFORCE? IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING-

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YOU HAVE A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC,
25 DO YOU WANT TO HEAR FROM THEM FIRST?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** YEAH, GO AHEAD.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC THAT
5 WANTS TO BE HEARD. TERESIJA SIGMUND? IS THAT PERSON HERE?
6 OKAY.

7

8 **TERESIJA SIGMUND:** RECOMMENDATIONS. WHY WOULD THE D.C.F.S. BE
9 INSTRUCTED TO FORM A TASKFORCE ADDRESSING SEX TRAFFICKING
10 WHERE THESE ARE CRIMES THAT FALL INTO THE FIELD OF THE POLICE
11 WORK? THE D.C.F.S. HISTORY SHOWS THAT ARE IN THE BUSINESS OF
12 COVERING UP THEIR FAILINGS, NOT EXPOSING THEM. DO NOT SUPPORT
13 THE COVER UP TASKFORCE. IT'S ONLY PURPOSE WOULD BE TO HIDE
14 THEIR TRACKS ON ORDER TO AVOID COST OF LITIGATION. WE DO NOT
15 NEED TO CREATE THIS FUZZY WARM FEELING AROUND CHRISTMAS SEASON
16 THAT WE WILL HELP POOR LITTLE SEX ABUSED CHILDREN TO MAKE
17 OURSELVES FEEL BETTER. WE NEED TO ADDRESS REALITY. WHAT IS THE
18 REALITY OF THE D.C.F.S.? THE FACT IS THAT PARENTS SUCH AS
19 MYSELF WHO COMPLAIN ABOUT THE CHILDREN'S MISTREATMENT IN
20 FOSTER CARE GOT A TON OF BRICKS THROWN AT THEIR HEAD. I HAD
21 AND HAVE SOLID PROOF OF MY CHILDREN'S PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL
22 ABUSE AND WHEN CONFRONTING D.C.F.S. WITH IT, LOST ALL MY
23 VISITATION AND NURSING MY BABY IN A SEXUAL MANNER WHILE
24 TALKING ABOUT A HAIRCUT. SO THAT TASKFORCE, WATCH OUT, JUST
25 MIGHT BE TARGETING MOTHERS IN NURSING THEIR OWN BABY. THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CAUSE FOR THE ATTORNEY INVESTIGATING ME AS I UNDERSTOOD TO BE
2 ACCUSED OF A CRIME AS PEDOPHILIA IS BUT MS. _____ WAS
3 TRYING TO INVESTIGATE THIS LITIGATION AND TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS
4 NEVER PHONED BACK BY THE D.C.F.S. SO MUCH FOR COLLABORATION
5 BETWEEN DEPARTMENTS. AFTER MY SEVEN YEAR OLD SLIT HIS WRIST
6 AND AS HE WAS REDETAINED BY THE D.C.F.S. RIGHT AFTER THE JUDGE
7 GAVE MY CHILDREN BACK TO ME BY PLACING AN APPEAL. AND HE LOST
8 A LOT OF WEIGHT. HE LOOKED LIKE A CONCENTRATION CAMP CHILD. I
9 WAS PUNISHED FOR CONFRONTING THE D.C.F.S. FOR HIS CONDITION. IN
10 FACT HELEN MAXWELL LAUGHED IN MY FACE, SUSPENDED MY VISIT AND
11 ASKED THE COURT TO STOP ME FROM SEEING THEM AGAIN. IF YOU
12 TRULY WISH TO HELP THESE POOR SEX SLAVES KEEP THE D.C.F.S. OUT
13 OF IT. THE L.A.P.D. OR THE F.B.I. NEED TO INVESTIGATE THESE
14 CRIMINALS AS IT WAS D.C.F.S. RESPONSIBILITY IN THE FIRST PLACE
15 TO PUT CHILDREN INTO SAFE PLACE. SO YOU ALREADY FAILED ONCE.
16 WHY LET THEM FAIL TWICE? MY 3 YEAR OLD MOVED HIS BUTT VERY
17 SEXUALLY WHILE HE LOUDLY YELLS THE FEMALE CAT WORD THAT ALSO
18 MEANS A FEMALE SOMETHING ELSE. WHOM IS HE ENTERTAINING WITH
19 SUCH BEHAVIOR? WHERE IS THE D.C.F.S. CONCERNED ABOUT THIS 3
20 YEAR OLD SEXUALIZATION? ARE THESE THE SAME PEOPLE YOU WILL
21 ENTRUST WITH THE RESPONSIBILITY TO RESCUE SEXUALLY EXPLOITED
22 CHILDREN? BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DOES NOT HAVE A HANDLE ON THE
23 D.C.F.S. WHATSOEVER. THEY DO WHAT THEY WANT. IF YOU QUESTION
24 MY STATEMENTS SEE ME AFTER AND I WILL SHOW YOU FROM COURT
25 TRANSCRIPTS AND REPORTS OF THE VALIDITY OF MY STATEMENTS. I



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ALSO HAVE COPIES FROM OTHER CASES AND PEOPLE AS WE SAY IN
2 GERMANY [SPEAKING GERMAN]. WE DO NOT NEED A HOT-BUTTON SUBJECT
3 PRESENTED TO US TO PRETEND WE WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE. WHY HAVE
4 A SIX-MONTH REVIEW AS RECOMMENDED?

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. YOUR TIME IS UP. THANK YOU.
7 MR. KNABE.

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** I UNDERSTAND THAT MIKE HAS AGREED WITH AN
10 AMENDMENT I'D LIKE TO MAKE. I HAVE BEEN VERY INVOLVED WITH
11 THIS ISSUE FOR ALMOST A YEAR NOW. PROBATION HAS BEEN INVOLVED
12 FOR TWO YEARS. IN THE PAST TWO YEARS, PROBATION HAS SECURED
13 FUNDING FOR A DEDICATED COURTROOM TO ALLOW THESE POOR VICTIMS
14 TO BE TREATED AS VICTIMS. THEY HAVE TRAINED OVER 1,600 COUNTY
15 STAFF ACROSS ALL OUR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND D.C.F.S., THE
16 HEALTH DEPARTMENT, MENTAL HEALTH, CORE PERSONNEL L. THEY'VE
17 HELD A NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON EMPOWERMENT. THEY DEVELOPED
18 CURRICULUM TO HEAL THE VICTIMS, TO WORK WITH THE GIRLS WHO ARE
19 MOST AT RISK. AND AS YOU ALL KNOW, WE'VE DONE THROUGH CLEAR
20 CHANNEL AS WELL AS LAMAR ADVERTISING, THEY HAVE DONATED
21 HUNDREDS OF BILLBOARDS ACROSS LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO DEAL WITH
22 THIS ISSUE AS WELL AS AT THE M.T.A. AND METROLINK WE DID THE
23 FLYER ASPECT, AS WELL. SO THE AMENDMENT THAT I HAD WAS, YOU
24 KNOW, IT'S A NICE EASY THING JUST TO DO A TASKFORCE. THERE'S A
25 LOT OF TASKFORCE ON THIS ISSUE. I'M WILLING TO GO WITH IT AS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LONG AS THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT CAN COCHAIR IT ALONG WITH
2 D.C.F.S.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** AND THAT'S YOUR MOTION?

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** YES.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THAT'S ALL RIGHT. YOU COULD MAKE
9 IT WITH THE MAKER OF THE ORIGINAL MOTION?

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** WE'LL ACCEPT IT AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT. BUT
12 LET ME STATE THAT ACCORDING TO THE DEPARTMENT MUCH JUSTICE AND
13 F.B.I., THE AVERAGE AGE OF ENTRY IS 12 YEARS OLD. TWELVE YEARS
14 OF AGE. AND THE LIFE EXPECTANCY OF THIS TYPE OF CHILD, SEVEN
15 YEARS, WHICH OF THE 174 THAT WERE ARRESTED IN 2010, NEARLY 70
16 PERCENT WERE PART OR HAD CONTACT AT ONE TIME WITH THE COUNTY
17 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. THESE ARE KIDS
18 THAT HAVE HAD A HISTORY OF ABUSE AND YET THE SYSTEM CONTINUES
19 TO ABUSE THEM. THE TASKFORCE WOULD COORDINATE WITH THE
20 EXISTING WORKING GROUPS AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS THAT HAVE BEEN
21 WORKING ON THIS ISSUE. IT WOULD INCLUDE LAW ENFORCEMENT BUT
22 HEALTH AGENCIES, AS WELL. THE L.A. P.D., DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
23 OFFICE, CHIEFS ASSOCIATION AS WELL AS OTHER RELEVANT GROUPS
24 DEALING WITH MENTAL HEALTH AND HEALTH INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON
25 NEGLECT AND ABUSE, THE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH. THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE, MANY TIMES THE SYSTEM TREATS THESE KIDS
2 AS CRIMINALS WHEN THEY HAVE BEEN VICTIMIZED BY CRIMINALS AND
3 THE SYSTEM OUGHT TO BE WORKING AGAINST THOSE WHO FORCE THESE
4 YOUNG PEOPLE INTO PROSTITUTION. AND THAT'S WHERE THE PENALTY
5 LIES. THE VICTIMS, THEY NEED TO HAVE HEALTHCARE. THEY ARE
6 WALKING CESSPOOLS OF S.T.D.'S AND OTHER TYPES OF ILLNESSES AND
7 DISEASES. THESE CHILDREN NEED MENTAL AND HEALTH, PHYSICAL
8 TREATMENT. THEY NEED TO BE BROKEN OUT OF THIS CYCLE. THOSE WHO
9 ARE HUSTLING THESE YOUNG PEOPLE NEED TO GO TO PRISON FOR A
10 LONG, LONG TIME. NOT A COUNTY JAIL BUT A STATE PENITENTIARY.
11 THERE ARE REPORTS MUCH THESE INDIVIDUALS PIMPING THESE YOUNG
12 KIDS AS YOUNG AS 12 AT STAPLES AT LAKER GAMES AND OTHERS.
13 THAT'S WHY IT'S A VERY SERIOUS ISSUE. AND THERE HAVE BEEN
14 BILLBOARDS ARE WONDERFUL, BUT WHAT IS NEEDED IS TREATMENT. AND
15 THAT THESE YOUNG PEOPLE ARE GOING TO GET OUT OF THIS PATTI
16 HEARST SYNDROME AND BE ABLE TO GET BACK TO A NORMAL PRODUCTIVE
17 LIFE NOT CONTINUE TO BE ABUSED AS THEY HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST.
18 SO I ACCEPT SUPERVISOR KNABE'S FRIENDLY AMENDMENT AND WOULD
19 ASK THAT WE SUPPORT THIS.

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** I UNDERSTAND THE BILLBOARD IS JUST A PIECE OF IT.
22 BUT THEY'RE A BIG PIECE OF IT. THAT'S WHAT'S BEEN SO GREAT
23 ABOUT THIS DEDICATED COURTROOM BECAUSE IN THE COURTROOM, YOU
24 DON'T JUST HAVE THE PUBLIC DEFENDER AND THE D.A., YOU HAVE THE
25 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, YOU HAVE MENTAL HEALTH FOLKS, YOU HAVE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HEALTH SERVICES, YOU HAVE HOUSING IS A HUGE ISSUE BECAUSE
2 OTHERWISE THESE PIMPS ARE SITTING RIGHT OUT IN THE PARKING LOT
3 WAITING FOR THEM JUST TO SLAP THEIR WRIST INSIDE AND THEY GO
4 BACK OUT AND PUT THEM OUT ON THE STREET. SO THE WRAP-AROUND
5 SERVICE IS TO THIS WHOLE ISSUE. I WAS ATTENDING A FUND-RAISER
6 FOR A PROGRAM WE DO INSIDE THE HALLS FOR THESE GIRLS WHEN ONE
7 OF THE PROBATION OFFICERS GOT BEAT AND A 10-YEAR-OLD THAT HAD
8 JUST BEEN PICKED UP ON THE STREET. PIMPED OUT THERE. THEY
9 IDENTIFY THEM WITH TATTOOS AND EVERYTHING ELSE. WITH THAT,
10 I'LL SECOND THE MOTION.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** DR. JAMISON IN LAS VEGAS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF
13 LAS VEGAS IS WORKING ON A SIMILAR PROGRAM. AND, AGAIN, IT'S
14 THE TREATMENT OF THESE INDIVIDUALS THAT IS SO IMPORTANT. THE
15 TREATMENT TO GET THEM BACK INTO A HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT AND NOT
16 BE SUBMITTED TO A CRIMINAL TYPE OF INCARCERATION. THEY NEED
17 TREATMENT AND HELP. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO DO. SO I
18 ACCEPT THAT AMENDMENT AND THAT'S WITH MY VOTE.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD SUPERVISOR
21 RIDLEY-THOMAS?

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. LET ME ACKNOWLEDGE
24 BOTH SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND KNABE IN TERMS OF THEIR FOCUS
25 ON THIS ISSUE. MY STAFF AND I WERE RECENTLY AT A CONFERENCE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CONVENED BY THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL, MAJOR EFFORT ON THIS
2 PRECISE SUBJECT. I THINK WE COULD NOT BE MORE TIMELY IN OUR
3 FOCUS. I THINK WE NEED TO WORK ON EDUCATING EACH OTHER ON THE
4 REALITIES OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
5 WE KNOW WHERE SOME OF THE PROBLEMS ARE MOST ACUTE. AND IT IS
6 MY HOPE THAT THE CONVENING OF THE TASKFORCE, THE COLLABORATIVE
7 APPROACH ON THE PART OF OUR RESPECTIVE DEPARTMENTS WOULD YIELD
8 POSITIVE RESULTS. SUPERVISOR KNABE KNOWS FULL WELL, WHAT GOES
9 ON IN CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE FOURTH DISTRICT. THE SHERIFF HAS
10 MADE IT CLEAR AS TO SOME OF THE DETAILS, THE HORRID DETAILS
11 INVOLVING THESE MINORS. I'M VERY HOPEFUL THAT THESE TWO
12 MOTIONS WILL MOVE US CLOSER TO ARRESTING THE PROBLEM IN A
13 COMPREHENSIVE WAY. MR. CHAIRMAN, COLLEAGUES, IN JANUARY
14 FOLLOWING THE FOLLOW-UP REPORT FROM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
15 CONFERENCE, I HOPE TO COLLABORATE WITH THE FOUR OF YOU IN
16 BRINGING WHAT I TRUST WILL BE AN ENHANCEMENT TO THE WORK
17 THAT'S CURRENTLY BEING CONSIDERED. I'M PLEASED TO SUPPORT THAT
18 WHICH IS BEFORE US. I THINK IT'S OF THE HIGHEST IMPORTANCE. WE
19 COULDN'T DO ENOUGH TO PROTECT THESE CHILDREN FROM THESE
20 PREDATORS.

21
22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT ANY FURTHER
23 DISCUSSION, ANTONOVICH MOVES. KNABE SECONDS. WITHOUT
24 OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE AS AMENDED. OKAY. SUPERVISOR
25 ANTONOVICH, ADJOURNING MOTIONS?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** BEFORE WE GET THERE, MR. CHAIRMAN, ON ITEM
3 NUMBER 28, THIS ONE THERE WAS A SPEAKER. SHE DID SPEAK ON IT.
4 BUT IF WE COULD CONTINUE IT ONE WE CAN TO DECEMBER 4TH?

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MR CHARIMAN, I'D LIKE TO ADJOURN IN THE
9 MEMORY OF ANOTHER VERY FINE ONE OF OUR GREAT LEADER IN THE
10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. [ARAM] PETER KEZIRIAN WHO PASSED AWAY
11 AT THE AGE OF 48 UNEXPECTEDLY. HE WAS THE STRATEGIC VICE
12 PRESIDENT FOR THE COOPERATIVE OF AMERICAN-PHYSICIANS-MUTUAL
13 PROTECTION TRUST, MEMBER OF THE PACIFIC COUNCIL ON
14 INTERNATIONAL POLICY, A MEMBER OF THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN
15 RELATIONS, SERVED ON THE ARMENIAN ASSEMBLY BOARD OF TRUSTEES,
16 ACTIVE IN THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IN THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY IN
17 PASADENA. HIS LAW DEGREE WAS FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN
18 CALIFORNIA AND HE HAD JOINED THE INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM
19 DEBEVOISE AND PLIMPTON. HE SERVED BOTH GOVERNOR DEUKMEJIAN AND
20 GOVERNOR WILSON. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE MICHELLE, HIS
21 MOTHER MARILYN AND HIS FOUR BROTHERS, MICHAEL, ERIC, STEVEN
22 AND DOUGLAS. PETER WAS A GREAT LEADER, A GREAT ROLE MODEL.
23 COULD HAVE BEEN A FUTURE GOVERNOR IN THIS STATE. WONDERFUL
24 PERSON. AND JUST DIED UNEXPECTEDLY. ANOTHER, GLADYS ANDERSON,
25 LONG TIME RESIDENT OF TUJUNGA PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 82 AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER THREE CHILDREN LINDA, BRUCE AND BRIAN.
2 GLADYS AND HER LATE HUSBAND TED WERE ACTIVE VERY IN THE
3 SUNLAND-TUJUNGA COMMUNITY IN ALL OF THE VARIOUS ACTIVITIES.
4 SISTER PATRICIA ARNOLD OF SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH OF CARONDELET
5 PASSED AWAY ON NOVEMBER 18. SHE WAS AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
6 TEACHER FOR FIVE YEARS AT BISHOP CONATY HIGH SCHOOL FOR FIVE
7 YEARS AND AFTER RECEIVING HER DOCTORATE IN COUNSELING JOINED
8 THE FACULTY AT MOUNT ST. MARY'S COLLEGE WHERE MY AUNT, SISTER
9 ST. GEORGE WAS ALSO A PROFESSOR. AND SISTER PATRICIA ARNOLD
10 SHE WAS A PSYCHOLOGY PROFESSOR AND PERSONNEL DIRECTOR AND
11 MINISTERED AT SAINT MEL'S PARISH FOR 10 YEARS. CLINT DAVIS
12 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 52, A LONGTIME RESIDENT OF PALMDALE.
13 MARY ROSE MOLINA PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 83. SHE TAUGHT ALSO
14 A FORMER EDUCATOR, KINDERGARTEN FIRST GRADE FOR TEN YEARS
15 BEFORE JOINING WITH HER HUSBAND, THE COFOUNDER OF THE MOLINA
16 MEDICAL CENTERS. AND SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER CHILDREN. AND
17 ALICE BROADMAN, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 85. SHE IS SURVIVED
18 BY HER SISTER HELEN. SHE AND HER LATE HUSBAND WALTER WERE
19 QUITE ACTIVE IN THE LOS FELIZ- GRIFFITH PARK COMMUNITY FOR
20 MANY YEARS AND QUITE INVOLVED IN THE POLITICAL ACTIVITIES OF
21 C.R.A. AND _____. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.
24 HE'S NOT HOLDING ANYTHING. ARE WE DONE WITH THE AGENDA MOSTLY
25 HERE?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** DID YOU WANT READ AN URGENCY MOTION?

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** YES, OH YES. THERE WAS AN ARTICLE THAT
5 APPEARED IN THE NEWSPAPER OVER THE THANKSGIVING HOLIDAY ABOUT
6 A FEMALE SHERIFF'S CAPTAIN WHO ALLEGEDLY PROVIDED CONFIDENTIAL
7 INFORMATION TO KNOWN CRIMINALS EXISTING LEGAL CASES THAT WE
8 OUGHT TO DISCUSS IN EXECUTIVE SESSION. SO DISCUSSING THIS WITH
9 OUR COUNTY COUNSEL, HE SUGGESTED I MAKE THIS STATEMENT AND
10 THEN MOVE THAT WE TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION AND THE NEED FOR THIS
11 BEFORE THE BOARD.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MAKE THE FINDING THAT IT CAME TO
14 THE ATTENTION OF THE BOARD AFTER THE POSTING OF THE AGENDA IS.
15 THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT? UNANIMOUS VOTE. NOW WE HAVE THE -
16 - THAT'S ALL WE NEED TO DO. SO WE WILL HAVE IT IN CLOSED
17 SESSION.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** BUT SHE HAS AN ADJOURNMENT MOTION.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** I KNOW WE ARE NOT GOING INTO
22 CLOSED SESSION YET. JUST DEALING WITH THIS MOTION. OKAY. YES,
23 YES, YES. I'M ALMOST FINISHED. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** ALL RIGHT. I HAVE ONE ADJOURNING MOTION. I THINK
2 DON WOULD PROBABLY JOIN ME ON THIS. I WOULD ASK FOR MEMBERS TO
3 JOIN ME AS WELL, MARIA GUERRERO. SHE IS A FORMER MEMBER OF
4 L.A. CARE BOARD. I THINK SHE SERVED WITH YOU BOARD OF
5 GOVERNORS AND A LONG-STANDING COMMUNITY ACTIVIST. I WAS PROUD
6 TO SERVE WITH MARIA ON THE L.A. CARE BOARD, REGRETTABLY FOR
7 ONLY TWO DISTINGUISHED TERMS OF SERVICE. SHE RESIGNED FROM THE
8 BOARD LAST MONTH DUE TO PROLONGED ILLNESS. MARIA WAS A STAUNCH
9 ADVOCATE FOR HEALTH CARE FOR VULNERABLE COMMUNITIES. SHE
10 WORKED TIRELESSLY TO REMOVE BARRIERS OF HEALTH CARE ACCESS.
11 AND ONE OF HER CROWNING ACHIEVEMENTS INCLUDED THE
12 ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MAR VISTA GARDENS CLINIC AND THE SENIOR
13 CENTER AT CULVER CITY. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST
14 CONDOLENCES TO MARIA, HER FRIENDS AND AS WELL AS ALL OF HER
15 COLLEAGUES AND ALL OF THOSE AT L.A. CARE.

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** I DID NOT KNOW THAT. SHE WAS A VERY SPECIAL LADY.
18 TRUE ADVOCATE FOR WAS IT SUDDEN?

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** SUDDEN. SHE HAD AN ILLNESS BUT JUST RECENTLY.
21 VERY SPECIAL.

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** VERY SPECIAL LADY.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** ALL MEMBERS. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
2 UNANIMOUS VOTE. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. CHAIRMAN, PLEASE JOIN ME AND
5 COLLEAGUES JOIN ME IN MEMORY OF PASTOR EMIL FRANK, BORN
6 DECEMBER 25, 1919. HE PASSED ON NOVEMBER 18TH AT THE AGE OF
7 92. CANADIAN BY BIRTH, GRADUATED FROM TRINITY EVANGELICAL
8 DIVINITY SCHOOL IN MINNEAPOLIS WHERE HE EARNED HIS MASTER'S OF
9 DIVINITITY. HE WAS ALSO THE FIRST TO GRADUATE HIGH SCHOOL IN
10 HIS FAMILY. HE MARRIED THE LOVE OF HIS LIFE MURIEL OLGA FRANK
11 IN 1947 WHERE THEY SERVED AS MISSIONARIES IN OKLAHOMA. EMIL
12 ACCEPTED HIS FIRST PASTORATE AT THE PAYSON PARK EVANGELICAL
13 FREE CHURCH IN PORTLAND, MAINE AND SERVED FOR 11 YEARS THERE.
14 THEIR CHILDREN WERE BORN, THE FAMILY ACCEPTED A NEW ASSIGNMENT
15 TO A FREE CHURCH IN RURAL LONG ISLAND. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS
16 SISTER, ERNA, SISTER-IN-LAWS LOIS AND OLGA, CHILDREN DOUGLAS,
17 LARRY, FRANK, SERVED THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES IN THE CAPACITY
18 OF DEPUTY MAYOR FOR NEIGHBORHOOD AND COMMUNITY SERVICES.
19 COLLEEN AND HIS GRANDCHILDREN HARRY, WHITNEY, JULIAN, ELLIOTT,
20 CARLSON MOORE. HE WAS VERY MUCH A PART OF ALL OF THEIR LIVES
21 AND WILL BE MISSED DEEPLY. PASTOR EMIL FRANK. THANK YOU, MR.
22 CHAIRMAN.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NO OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE. WE
2 HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT NOW. SO I'M GOING CALL FOUR PEOPLE AT A
3 TIME. JOSEPH TEIXEIRA? IRENE PANG. TERRY GRAY AND ERIC PREVEN.

4
5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. YOU'RE ON.

6
7 **JOSEPH TEIXEIRA:** THREE MINUTES, SIR?

8
9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** TWO MINUTES.

10
11 **JOSEPH TEIXEIRA:** MY NAME IS JOSEPH TEIXEIRA, SECOND DISTRICT.
12 A FEW YEARS AGO, ONLY BECAUSE I IGNORED THE LIVES AND TRICKERY
13 OF THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE, MY PROPERTY TAX APPEAL WAS LOWERED
14 BY 50,000 DOLLARS. TWO WEEKS AGO, I HAD A HEARING ON ANOTHER
15 PROPERTY TAX APPEAL AND THE UNPROFESSIONALISM OF THE
16 ASSESSOR'S OFFICE WAS UNBELIEVABLE. FOR SIX MONTHS PRIOR TO MY
17 HEARING, THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE REPEATEDLY VIOLATED STATE LAW,
18 REFUSING TO EVEN RESPOND TO MY REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RECORDS. AT
19 MY HEARING, THE ASSESSOR'S REPRESENTATIVE, HARLEY AUTEN WAS
20 REPEATEDLY BELLIGERENT WITH THE APPEALS BOARD AND MYSELF.
21 AFTER HE INSULTED ME I DEMANDED THAT THE BOARD TO CONTROL MR.
22 AUTEN WHICH CAUSED HIM TO RISE FROM HIS CHAIR, IN THE MIDDLE
23 OF A HEARING, HURRIDLY WALK DOWN TOWARDS ME AND ANGRY TELL ME
24 "YOU WANT TO STEP OUTSIDE?" UNQUOTE. A LEGAL DEFINITION OF
25 ASSAULT IS A THREAT OF HARM COMBINED WITH AN ABILITY TO CARRY



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 OUT THAT THREAT. MR. AUTEN'S OBVIOUS THREAT TO FIGHT ME AS HE
2 MOVED TO WITHIN FIVE FEET OF ME MADE ME FEAR FOR MY SAFETY. I
3 IMMEDIATELY ASKED FOR A POLICE OFFICER AND SAID THAT ON TAPE I
4 WANTED TO PERFORM A CITIZEN'S ARREST ON AUTEN. BUT STAFF
5 REPEATEDLY REFUSED TO CALL FOR POLICE. WHEN DEPUTY ROMERO
6 FINALLY SHOWED UP SHE DIDN'T ARREST AUTEN, DIDN'T EVEN
7 QUESTION ME ABOUT IF ASSAULT. ROMERO DIDN'T EVEN ALLOW ME TO
8 FILE A COMPLAINT. SO I REFUSED TO CONTINUE WITH THE HEARING
9 AND LEFT TO TRY TO FILE A COMPLAINT ELSEWHERE. BUT UPSTAIRS
10 TWO OTHER DEPUTIES, INCLUDING A SERGEANT BREEZE NOT ONLY
11 REFUSED TO FILE A REPORT, BUT TRIED TO KEEP ME FROM FILING A
12 COMPLAINT. NONE OF THESE THREE DEPUTIES DISPUTED THAT MR.
13 AUTEN COMMITTED ALL THE COMPONENTS THE ASSAULT. BUT HE WAS NOT
14 ARRESTE NOR DETAINED AND SERGEANT BREEZE MADE A POINT TO TELL
15 ME THAT SHE WAS NOT GOING TO FILE THE REPORT. I BELIEVE MR.
16 AUTEN WAS A COUNTY EMPLOYEE AND THAT'S WHY HE WAS NOT
17 ARRESTED. AND SINCE THEN THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE HAS NOT
18 REPONDED TO MY COMPLAINTS OF ASSAULT. I WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE
19 BOARD IS GOING TO DO INCLUDING MY BOARD MEMBER MR. RIDLEY-
20 THOMAS ABOUT ASSESSOR'S EMPLOYEES LYING TO TAXPAYERS,
21 ILLEGALLY CONTROLLING DOCUMENTS AND TRYING TO START FIGHTS AND
22 DEPUTIES TRYING TO COVER IT UP.

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. IRENE PANG?

25



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **IRENE PANG:** THANK YOU GOOD AFTERNOON TO EVERYONE. MY NAME IS
2 IRENE PANG. (INAUDIBLE) SUMMARY FOR THE WORK DONE FOR MY
3 FAMILY MEMBERS AND MYSELF. TODAY THE WORKING IN INJURY AND THE
4 DAMAGES MADE TO THEIR WALL NEED TO COVER. SECOND, (INAUDIBLE)
5 ENJOY MY FAMILY MEMBER IMAGE AND POSITION. THEY ARE AGAINST
6 THE LAW. THE THING IMPORTANT IS THAT TO ENJOY THE ABILITY AND
7 (INAUDIBLE) OF THE GOVERNMENT SUPPORT. NUMBER THIRD, SAME
8 HAPPENED TO ME. THEY BOUGHT MY PROCEDURE TO TAKE IN DIFFERENT
9 FORMS SUCH AS SOME OF THEM THEY (INAUDIBLE) FOR WORKING. AND
10 THOSE THE -- FOR THE TICKET. THOSE TICKET IS NOT APPLIED TO
11 THE SITUATION. NUMBER 4, THEIR CASE TO THE U.S. BANK AND
12 MYSELF WHEN I PAID AN ANNUAL FEE FOR THE SAFE DEPOSIT BOX ON
13 NOVEMBER 24TH THIS YEAR. THE STAFF OVER U.S. BANK ASKED ME TO
14 CLOSE THE SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX SINCE I, THE OWNER OF THE SAFETY
15 DEPOSIT BOX WITHOUT ANY VIOLATION AND PAY ON TIME THE OWNER OF
16 THE SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX HAD THE WIRE TO OPEN AND USING THE
17 SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX. TO CLOSE THE SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX IS THE
18 U.S. BANK INTENDS TO TAKE THE RESPONSE TO REPLACE 360 DOLLARS
19 THEY LOST IN THE LOCKED SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX. ANYTHING LOST IN
20 THE LOCKED SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX AND DURING THE BUSINESS
21 OPERATION BY U.S. BANK THAT ANYTHING LOST THEY REPAY AND
22 COVER-

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME IS UP.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **IRENE PANG:** BY U.S. BANK.

2

3 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** YOUR TIME IS UP. TERRY GRAY?

4

5 **ERIC PREVEN:** I BELIEVE IT'S ME.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NO, IT'S NOT YOU, IS TERRY GRAY

8 HERE? ALL RIGHT. BEFORE YOU START, MR. PREVEN, LET ME CALL UP

9 THREE OTHER PEOPLE. BARBARA SANDERS, TERESIJA SIGMUND, AND

10 JOHN WALSH. GO AHEAD, MR. PREVEN?

11

12 **ERIC PREVEN:** COULD SOMEBODY HELP MISS PANG WITH HER SAFETY

13 DEPOSIT BOX. IT'S ERIC PREVIN THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM

14 DISTRICT 3. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS CLEAR. SHE'S GOT A VALID

15 CONCERN THERE. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THAT TODAY'S MEETING

16 WAS RATHER ENCOURAGING ON ONE LEVEL. OBVIOUSLY IT'S

17 EMBARRASSING, BUT SUPERVISOR MOLINA, ON THE PHONE NOW, RAISED

18 SOME VERY SUBSTANTIAL CONCERNS ABOUT THE BOND COST GOING

19 FORWARD AND THEN OUR SUPERVISOR FROM THE THIRD DISTRICT

20 POINTED OUT THAT THE LIST COMPILED BY THE C.E.O. WAS, I

21 BELIEVE I'M QUOTING HERE, HERE "RIDICULOUS", WHICH IS

22 WORRISOME FOR US, OF COURSE. AND THEN SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS

23 WHO IS CHUCKLING HIMSELF INTO A STATE OF TELEPHONIC

24 CONVENIENCE --

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MR. PREVEN.

2

3 **ERIC PREVEN:** I'M STAYING ON POINT.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** STICK TO THE POINT.

6

7 **ERIC PREVEN:** SIR, PLEASE NOBODY WANTS TO GET TO THE POINT
8 QUICKER THAN ME.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WELL YOU SURE HAVE A FUNNY WAY OF
11 SHOWING IT.

12

13 **ERIC PREVEN:** MISS MOLINA HAS THREE AND A QUARTER HOURS, I'M
14 ENTITLED TO THREE MINUTES OR TWO OR A MINUTE AND A HALF.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** NOW YOU KNOW HOW THE REST OF US
17 FEEL.

18

19 **ERIC PREVEN:** I KNOW BELIEVE ME IT'S NOT A NICE DAY IN
20 HOLLYWOOD. BUT LET ME SAY.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S A BEAUTIFUL DAY IN HOLLYWOOD.

23

24 **ERIC PREVEN:** I ASSUME IT IS. BUT A.E.C.O.M. WHICH IS AN
25 ORGANIZATION THAT ALSO ELICITS LAUGHTER FROM SUPERVISOR



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RIDLEY-THOMAS IS A GROUP THAT A COUPLE OF I GUESS IT WAS
2 MONTHS AGO WE ASKED THEM TO PREPARE AN INFRASTRUCTURE TO-DO
3 LIST. NOW THAT DOES NOT SEEM TO BE 100 PERCENT INNCLUDED IN
4 THIS PARTICULAR FORM. BUT AGAIN I'M VERY FOCUSED ONLY SOME OF
5 THESE JAIL ISSUES ON SOME OF THIS LACK OF TRANSPARENCY ON
6 SUPERVISOR KNABE'S RANCHO UPGRADE. WE DO OF COURSE SUPPORT THE
7 SEISMIC. EVERYBODY OF COURSE RESPECTS THIS GREAT HOSPITAL. WE
8 HAVE TO STEP FORWARD. POOR DR. KATZ, THIS GUY OVER THE
9 HOLIDAYS HE'S GOT 60 DAYS TO COME UP WITH A LIST. AND WE KNOW
10 COUNTY U.S.C. WILL BE ON THE LIST IF HE KNOWS WHAT'S GOOD FOR
11 HIM. AND CERTAINLY WE KNOW WHAT'S GOOD FOR THE PEOPLE OF LOS
12 ANGELES, WHICH IS MORE OF THIS KIND OF DISCUSSION. I WOULD
13 LIKE TO THANK MAYOR ANTONOVICH FOR IDENTIFYING FOR THE PUBLIC
14 THAT THE DENOMINATOR THAT MR. FUJIOKA INSERTED, SHOULD BE A
15 MUCH SMALLER NUMBER. AND THAT WHEN WE LOOK AT THAT, IT IS
16 CLOSER TO SIX PERCENT COST OF BONDS. WHICH IS SUBSTANTIAL
17 PROBLEM.

18
19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. BARBARA SANDERS?

20
21 **BARBARA SANDERS:** YES, GOOD AFTERNOON. IT'S BEEN A LONG
22 MORNING. I'M HERE BECAUSE MY SON IS FINALLY BEING RELEASED
23 FROM D.C.F.S. AFTER TWO YEARS. IT'S BEEN AN INTERESTING TIME
24 FOR ME, A GREAT EDUCATION, NOT A HAPPY EDUCATION, I'M AFRAID
25 TO SAY I'S REALLY INTERESTING TO NOTICE WHEN I SIT IN THE BACK



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE REALLY PAYING ATTENTION. AND I'M KIND OF
2 CONVINCED THAT TODAY A LOT OF THINGS HAVE BEEN SAID THAT DRAW
3 THAT ATTENTION. SO NOW THAT WE'RE BEING RELEASED FROM
4 D.C.F.S., I FIND THAT THE SITUATION WITH PEOPLE WHO I KNOW IS
5 MUCH MORE DRASTIC, TWO YEARS IN D.C.F.S. IS NOTHING WHEN
6 SOMEONE YOU KNOW AND HOLD DEAR TO YOUR HEART HAS HAD HER
7 CHILDREN TAKEN AWAY FROM HER FOR TWO YEARS. HAS HAD HER
8 CHILDREN TAKEN AWAY FOR TWO YEARS ON FALSE ALLEGATIONS. HOW
9 DOES THIS HAPPEN IN OUR COUNTRY AND IN THIS STATE AND IN
10 CALIFORNIA? I DO NOT UNDERSTAND IT. I DON'T KNOW HOW SHE
11 FUNCTIONS. I DON'T KNOW HOW SHE GETS THROUGH THIS. BUT SOMEHOW
12 SHE DOES. AND ALL ALONG SHE'S JUST TRYING TO GET HER CHILDREN
13 BACK. WHERE ARE THE PEOPLE WHO ARE SUPPOSED TO BE HELPFUL
14 HERE? WE SHOWED A PICTURE OF HER SON THAT SHE TOOK AT HER LAST
15 -- AT A VISIT ABOUT FOUR WEEKS AGO TOTALLY FULL OF BITES. I
16 ASKED ALDO, LOOK AT THIS CHILD. HE NEEDS TO HAVE MEDICAL CARE.
17 "WELL, WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO?" I SAID "WELL WHAT WOULD YOU
18 DO IF THIS WAS YOUR CHILD?" "WELL I WOULD GET HELP." WELL THAT
19 CHILD WAS NEVER TAKEN CARE OF. DC.F.S. NEVER WENT TO THAT
20 HOUSE TO SEE WHAT WAS GOING ON. THE CHILD NEVER GOT MEDICAL
21 CARE. IT'S A FRIGHTENING SITUATION. HOW CAN THIS BE? AND STILL
22 HAVE A MOTHER FUNCTION AND FIGHT FOR HER CHILDREN TO COME
23 HOME. I HOPE EVERYBODY'S PAYING ATTENTION. THANK YOU VERY
24 MUCH.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. MISS SIGMUND?

2

3 **TERESIJA SIGMUND:** IN MY FIGHT WITH THE CORRUPT D.C.F.S. I'VE
4 ENCOUNTERED WORKERS WILLING TO LIE, LIE, LIE TO THE COURT IN
5 THEIR REPORTS OR IN PERSON. MORE SURPRISINGLY, THOUGH, NOTHING
6 EVER HAPPENS TO THESE WORKERS. THE A.R.A. HELEN MAXWELL HAS NO
7 QUALMS DEPRIVING A PARENT AND CHILDREN OF THEIR RIGHTS. BUT
8 RATHER FINDS IT FUNNY WHEN YOU REMIND HER OF THE COURT'S
9 DISDAIN FOR HER WORKER'S CONDUCT AS WELL AS MY CHILDREN'S POOR
10 HEALTH CONDITION. MY NEW SOCIAL WORKER TRIES VERY HARD TO DO
11 HER JOB AND ALSO ENCOUNTERED PROBLEMS AND TRYING TO KEEP A
12 GROUP OF ILL-WILLED WORKERS AWAY FROM MY FAMILY AND ME. DUE TO
13 A WORKER NAMED FREIDA'S OBSESSION TO DISTURB OUR VISITATION MY
14 SOCIAL WORKER TAPES UP THE GLASS IN THE VISITATION ROOM IN
15 ORDER TO KEEP ORDER. IMAGINE MY SURPRISE WHEN THE A.R.A. HELEN
16 MAXWELL CAME OUT OF HER OFFICE INSTRUCTING MY WORKER TO PUT
17 THE PAPER DOWN. IT WAS A FLIMSY BUILDING CODE VIOLATION
18 EXCUSE. THE A.R.A. NEVER TOOK ACTION TO STOP THE RELIGIOUS
19 RIGHTS VIOLATION AGAINST MY FAMILY. NEVER CAME OUT TO SEE MY
20 CHILDREN'S MULTIPLE VERY VISIBLE INJURIES, BOILS AND RASHES
21 ALL OVER THEIR BODIES, NEVER CAME OUT TO STOP THE GUARD FROM
22 SEXUALLY AND EMOTIONALLY HARRASSING ME AND MY SON. NEVER
23 STOPPED THE SOCIAL WORKER FRIEDA'S HARASSING OF OUR VISIT
24 TIME. BUT WHEN THE NEW SOCIAL WORKER STOPPED THE ABUSE OF MY
25 FAMILY AND ME, SHE CHARGES RIGHT OUT CONCERNS MUCH A BUILDING



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CODE VIOLATION THAT DOES NOT EVEN EXIST. INTERESTINGLY, THE
2 A.R.A. AT THE D.C.F. HAS NOT ONE ISSUE WITH HUMAN RIGHTS
3 VIOLATIONS OR ABUSE OF CHILDREN IN THE SYSTEM. BUT WE WOULD
4 NOT WANT A BUILDING CODE VIOLATION POTENTIALLY. IT TAKES A
5 SPECIAL KIND OF PERSON TO BE THIS CALLOUS. HOW IS THERE NO
6 CHECK AND BALANCES? WHERE WOULD MY SOCIAL WORKER OR WHO WOULD
7 SHE TALK TO AND ABLE TO GO TO IN ORDER TO TO ASK FOR HELP
8 PREVENTING COWORKERS MISCONDUCT WHEN THE A.R.A. IS HELEN
9 MAXWELL?

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** OKAY. THANK YOU. JOHN WALSH?

12

13 **JOHN WALSH:** JOHN WALSH BLOGGING AT HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG. SEE
14 WHO'S THROWING THE PIE AT RUPERT MURDOCK'S FACE? HE WILL BE
15 THE NEW OWNER OF THE L.A. TIMES. FIRST OF ALL, EVERYBODY, TUNE
16 IN CALIFORNIA CONNECTED TOMORROW. IT'S GOING TO BE AN EXPOSE.
17 I'LL GIVE YOU A HINT. IT'S NOT ABOUT MOLINA, IT'S NOT ABOUT
18 ANTONOVICH. IT'S NOT ABOUT YAROSLAVSKY. IT'S NOT ABOUT RIDLEY-
19 THOMAS. GUESS WHO IT IS ABOUT WHOSE SON IT IS ABOUT ON THE
20 SUPERVISOR'S BOARD. FIRST OF ALL, MIKE, YOU'RE A TEACHER, I'M
21 A TEACHER. THE MATHEMATICS I'M SEEING IN THE L.A. TIMES ABOUT
22 MEASURE J., THERE ARE ONLY 82,000 VOTES LEFT TO COUNT, OKAY?
23 THEY COUNTED OVER 2-1/2 MILLION VOTES AND IT'S 1 PERCENT
24 BEHIND AND THEY SAY IT'S NECK AND NECK. IN FACT IF ALL 80,000
25 PEOPLE, UNPROCESSED VOTES, UNPROCESSED, IF ALL 80,000 WENT FOR



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PROPOSITION J, IT WOULD STILL LOSE. IT WOULD NEED OVER 100
2 PERCENT. CHECK IT OUT. I REALLY FEEL SORRY FOR YOU, MR.
3 FUJIOKA, YOU HAVE GOT TO COME TO THE M.T.A. BOARD AND SEE THE
4 WAY THEY TREAT STAFF THERE, THE WAY THEY KISS THEIR BACKSIDES
5 OVER THERE. BECAUSE THEY'RE GETTING CONTRACTS, OKAY? IN OTHER
6 WORDS, THESE PEOPLE ARE GETTING CONTRACTS, DEALS MADE. YOU GO
7 AND YOU WATCH THAT. NOBODY -- MISS MOLINA MIGHT SPEND 15
8 MINUTES TALKING AT THE MEETING. SHE GRILLS YOU FOR AN HOUR AND
9 A HALF BECAUSE YOU'RE HONEST. THAT'S WHY SHE GRILLS YOU. AND
10 INCIDENTALLY, I HAVE TO SAY SOMETHING NICE ABOUT YOU. ABOUT
11 THIS WHOLE BUILDING. NEVER IN THE HISTORY OF THIS BUILDING
12 WILL YOU FIND AN 11-YEAR-OLD CHILD LOST, SCREAMING, CRYING,
13 THE WAY THE MAYOR'S GOD CHILD WAS FOUND WHILE THEY WERE HAVING
14 A PARTY DRINKING ALCOHOL AT CITY COUNCIL. I MEAN, THIS IS
15 GARBAGE. THE MAYOR WAS GARBAGE. AN 11-YEAR-OLD CHILD FOUND AND
16 THEN GETTING BACK TO HER DRUNKEN MOTHER.
17 HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG. SHE WAS SCREWING THE MAYOR.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** HECTOR DELADO? DANIEL JONES?
20 ARNOLD SACHS. MR. DELADO?

21

22 **SPEAKER:** YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISOR AND EVERYBODY. I HEAR
23 MANY COMMENTS AND I LIKE IT MORE AND MORE. I CAME HERE TO
24 ADDRESS THE FORECLOSURE ISSUE. MY SALE DAY HAS BEEN MOVED.
25 THANKS TO THE CONGRESSMAN'S OFFICE. MY FAMILY IS BEING



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DESTROYED BECAUSE MY GRANDSON IS GOING TO GO AWAY FROM THE
2 STATE. THE MOTHER IS ALCOHOLIC. THE FATHER IS ALCOHOLIC. AND I
3 HAVE A NINE-YEAR-OLD I'M LOOKING AFTER THAT LIVES IN MY
4 PROPERTY. I WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT TO ALL OF YOU THAT YOU
5 UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M TRYING TO SAY. I HEAR ON THE AGENDA, I
6 HEAR SOME OF YOU COMMENT ABOUT SPENDING MONEY WITHOUT HAVING
7 IT. THAT TAUGHT ME A LESSON IN AMERICA THAT I HAVE A VERY
8 VALUABLE HOME. I TOOK A LINE OF CREDIT TO FIX THE CHIMNEY, THE
9 WHOLE STRUCTURE DUE TO THE '94 DAMAGE OF THE EARTHQUAKE. I
10 LIVED THERE FOR 41 YEARS. I LIVE IN AMERICA FOR 47 YEARS. AND
11 I WANT TO ADDRESS YOU ABOUT THE ISSUE OF SPENDING MONEY
12 WITHOUT HAVING IT. BECAUSE I TOOK A LINE MUCH CREDIT FROM MY
13 PROPERTY DUE THAT THE BANK PUSHED THE LOAN ON NONCONFORMING,
14 NO DOCUMENTATION NEEDED ON THE HOUSE AND ALL THE FRAUDULENT
15 CHARGES THAT THEY ORCHESTRATED TO HAVE US IN TROUBLE NOW. I
16 THINK THAT I AGREE WITH ALL OF YOU THAT WE SHOULD PUT A FREEZE
17 ON THE FORECLOSURE ISSUE AND ON FUTURE PROJECTS UNLESS WE HAVE
18 THE FUNDS TO FUND IT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. DANIEL JONES? IS HE
21 HERE? ARNOLD SACHS.

22

23 **ARNOLD SACHS:** THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON. ARNOLD SACHS.
24 FASCINATING DISCUSSION THIS AFTERNOON. AN HOUR AND A HALF,
25 MINIMUM, DEBATING A THE CAPITAL PROJECT LIST, PAYING FOR THE



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 PROJECTS, ONLY TO HEAR TOWARDS THE END OF THE DISCUSSION WE'RE
2 LOOKING AT A RIDICULOUS LIST. WHY WOULD YOU CONSIDER CAPITAL
3 PROJECT LIST A RIDICULOUS LIST? WOULDN'T YOU WANT YOUR STAFF
4 TO MAKE A LIST THAT IS REALISTIC? I GUESS NOT. SUPERVISOR
5 MIKE, DON'T CALL IT CRAPPY ANTONOVICH, LAMENTS THE BANKRUPTCY
6 FILING OF SAN BERNADINO, BUT HE DOESN'T LAMENT THE 22 BILLION
7 DOLLARS OF UNFUNDED HEALTHCARE THAT THE COUNTY IS IN THE HOLE
8 TO THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA BRINGS FORWARD. WHAT CREDIT CARD IS
9 THAT ON, BY THE WAY? TWENTY-TWO BILLION DOLLARS IN UNFUNDED
10 HEALTH CARE. JOBS CREATE REVENUE. TAXES TO RUN THE GOVERNMENT.
11 METRO, ACCORDING TO THEIR BUDGET RECAP, 650 MILLION DOLLARS IN
12 2011-12 FISCAL YEAR. IN ORDER TO REACH THE 1.3 BILLION DOLLARS
13 THAT YOU PROJECTED METRO TO HAVE, THAT MEANS YOU HAVE TO COME
14 UP WITH 700 MILLION DOLLARS IN SALES TAX REVENUE, WHICH, 10
15 PERCENT. WHICH MEANS YOU'D HAVE TO HAVE 7 BILLION DOLLARS IN
16 SALES. DO YOU HAVE A PLAN FOR THAT? YOU GOT A PROJECT FOR
17 THAT? CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT, MAYBE. NAH. WHEN ARE YOU GOING INTO
18 CLOSED SESSION TO MAKE A DEFINITIVE OBLIGATION WITH YOUR
19 UNIONS AND EMPLOYEES. WE DON'T WORRY ABOUT THAT. MEASURE J?
20 WHAT ABOUT THE FUNDS FROM PROP A AND PROP C? THE OTHER HALF
21 CENT. MEASURE J TO COVER THE SHORTFALL OF THE 500 MILLION
22 DOLLARS.

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, MR. SACHS, YOUR TIME IS
25 UP.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **ARNOLD SACHS:** BY THE WAY TIME IS UP? SO IS YOURS.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** MR. ANTONOVICH?

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** ALSO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF FORMER
7 LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFF WILLIAM GLEASON. HIS LAST
8 ASSIGNMENT WAS THE HOMICIDE BUREAU. AND DEPUTY ELAINE LUNDBY
9 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 80. SHE WAS A RETIRED DEPUTY WITH
10 THE L.A. COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WITH THE TECHNICAL
11 SERVICES DIVISION. AND JAMES ANDREW PARKER FORMER COUNTY
12 EMPLOYEE IN THE HEALTH SERVICES DEPARTMENT.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN:** WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
15 TAKE US INTO CLOSED SESSION.

16

17 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS
18 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
19 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM NUMBER CS-1 AND CS-
20 2, CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING
21 LITIGATION AND ITEM NUMBER CS-3, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL
22 REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION, ONE CASE. ITEM
23 NUMBER CS-4, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING
24 INITIATION OF LITIGATION, ONE CASE. AND ITEM NUMBER CS-5,
25 DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 POSTED AGENDA. IN ADDITION THE BOARD MADE A FINDING OF AN
2 URGENCY IN THE NEED TO DISCUSS ITEM NUMBER CS-6, CONFERENCE
3 WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION, JACOBO
4 VERSUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE
5 SECTION 54956.9. THANK YOU.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION NOVEMBER 27, 2012**

2

3

4

5 No reportable action was taken on items CS-1, CS-2, CS-5 or
6 CS-6.

7

8 CS-3. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
9 (Subdivision (b) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

10 Significant exposure to litigation (one case) (12-5422)

11

12 The Board authorized settlement of the matter. The details of
13 the settlement will be available once finalized by all
14 parties.

15

16 The vote of the Board was 3-2 with Supervisors Molina, Ridley-
17 Thomas and Knabe voting Aye and Supervisors Antonovich and
18 Yaroslavsky abstaining.

19

20 CS-4. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
21 (Subdivision (c) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

22 Initiation of litigation (one case) (12-5423)

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 The Board authorized the County to file a petition for writ of
2 mandate. The details will be disclosed to any person upon
3 inquiry once the writ is formally filed.

4

5 The vote of the Board was unanimous with all Supervisors being
6 present.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number
2 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do
3 hereby certify:

4 That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
5 Angeles County Board of Supervisors November 27, 2012,
6 were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7 direction and supervision;

8 That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
9 in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to
10 the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by
11 me.

12 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13 related to any party to the said action; nor
14 in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16 30th day of November 2012, for the County records to be used
17 only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18 as on file of the office of the reporter.

19

20 JENNIFER A. HINES

21 CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR

22

23

24

25